

# Giapetto Toys

© D. L. Bricker, 2002  
 Dept of Mechanical & Industrial Engineering  
 The University of Iowa

Giapetto, Inc. manufactures and sells two wooden products:

- sets of toy soldiers
- toy trains,

using only two resources:

- lumber
- labor.

The toys can be made from either

- Grade **A** lumber, *or* (allowing for scrap)
- Grade **B** lumber:

Resource \ product	Soldier Set	Train
Grade A Lumber	3 board feet	5 board feet
Grade B Lumber	4 board feet	8 board feet
Labor	2 hours	4 hours

90,000 hours of labor will be available for production.

A shipment of 150,000 board feet of lumber will be received before production begins.

The quality of the lumber is uncertain, however, and will not be determined until *after* the production is scheduled.

Based upon past experience with the supplier, the following cases with their probabilities have been identified:

Case:	1	2	3
Probability	25%	50%	25%
Grade A	125,000 bd ft	100,000 bd ft	75,000 bd ft
Grade B	25,000 bd ft	50,000 bd ft	75,000 bd ft

Demand for the products is also uncertain, with two cases having been identified:

Case:	1	2
Probability	40%	60%
Sets of soldiers	40,000	50,000
Toy trains	60,000	80,000

The revenue from sale of toy trains is \$50 and that from a set of toy soldiers is \$40.

Production quantities of the two products must be fixed *before* the lumber arrives and *before* the levels of demand are known.

After the lumber quality has been determined and the demand experienced, the company has the following *recourses* available:

- o Buy sets of toy soldiers from another supplier at \$35 each
- o Buy trains at \$45 each from another supplier
- o Schedule overtime at an additional cost of \$10/hour

The six scenarios which can occur, with their probabilities.

Scenario #	Probability	Lumber quality	Demand
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			

b. Compute the expected value of the random elements of the problem, and solve the LP to determine the optimal production quantities if the expected values were to occur:

# sets of toy soldiers	# wooden trains
_____	_____

What is the optimal value of the LP? \$ \_\_\_\_\_

c. Using the production quantities found in (b), compute the optimal *recourses* for each scenario, the profit for each scenario.

Scenario	# soldier sets purchased	# trains purchased	overtime labor	profit
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				

Expected profit: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

d. Find the production quantities which maximize the expected profits by solving the "deterministic equivalent" LP.

- What are the production quantities?

# sets of toy soldiers	# wooden trains
_____	_____

- What are the dimensions of the LP tableau? \_\_\_\_\_ × \_\_\_\_\_
- What is the maximum expected profit? \$ \_\_\_\_\_
- What is the recourse if the lumber is of the lowest quality (case #1) and the demand is the higher estimate (case #2)?

Scenario	# soldier sets purchased	# trains purchased
_____	_____	_____

- What is the *value of the stochastic solution (VSS)*, i.e., the difference in expected profit when using the stochastic LP solution compared to the LP using the expected values? \$ \_\_\_\_\_

### Model definition:

#### Decision variables

- **First Stage:**

$X_1$  = # of sets of soldiers to be produced

$X_2$  = # toy trains to be produced

- **Second Stage (Recourse):**

$Y_{1A}$  &  $Y_{1B}$  = # of sets of soldiers to be produced from Grade A and Grade B lumber, respectively

$Y_{2A}$  &  $Y_{2B}$  = # of toy trains to be produced from Grade A and Grade B lumber, respectively

$Z_1$  &  $Z_2$  = # of sets of soldiers and trains, respectively, to be purchased from outside source

$S_1$  &  $S_2$  = # of sets of soldiers and trains, respectively, sold

T = # hours of overtime used

**Second Stage Optimization Problem:**

After X has selected in the first stage, and we have observed the random values of

- A & B (the quantities of Grades A & B lumber, respectively), and
- D<sub>1</sub> and D<sub>2</sub> (the demands for toy soldiers and trains, respectively).

Find the maximum revenue minus costs:

$$Q(X) = \text{Maximum } 40S_1 + 50S_2 - 35Z_1 - 45Z_2 - 10T$$

subject to

$$\text{Production schedule is fulfilled: } \begin{cases} Y_{1A} + Y_{1B} = X_1 \\ Y_{2A} + Y_{2B} = X_2 \end{cases}$$

Lumber resource constraints:  
where A & B are the random available quantities of Grades A & B lumber, in board feet.

$$\text{Labor resource constraint: } 2X_1 + 4X_2 - T = 90000$$

$$\text{Sales consist of produced \& purchased products: } \begin{cases} S_1 = Y_{1A} + Y_{1B} + Z_1 \\ S_2 = Y_{2A} + Y_{2B} + Z_2 \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Sales limited by demand: } \begin{cases} S_1 \leq D_1 \\ S_2 \leq D_2 \end{cases}$$

where D<sub>1</sub> & D<sub>2</sub> are the random demands for the two products.

$$\text{nonnegativity: } Y_{1A}, Y_{1B}, Y_{2A}, Y_{2B}, S_1, S_2, Z_1, Z_2, \& T \geq 0$$

**First-stage Optimization Problem:**

$$\text{Maximize } \sum_{k \in K} p_k Q_k(X)$$

where K is the set of scenarios, and p<sub>k</sub> the probability of scenario #k.

Giapetto Toys

First-stage data:  
A,B=  
1 1 > 0

i	variable	cost
1	X[1]	0
2	X[2]	0

Objective: Minimize

Second-stage data  
K= # scenarios = 6  
The following data vary by scenario: h

i	var.	g
1	Y1A	0
2	Y1B	0
3	Y2A	0
4	Y2B	0
5	Z1	35
6	Z2	45
7	S1	-40
8	S2	-50
9	T	10

Technology matrix T  
(coefficients of X in 2nd stage) =

-1	0
0	-1
0	0
0	0
2	4
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0

Technology matrix W (coefficients of Y in 2nd stage) =

1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	4	0	8	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1
1	1	0	0	1	0	-1	0	0
0	0	1	1	0	1	0	-1	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0

Right-hand-sides in second stage =

k	p[k]	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
---	------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---



```

-----
1 0.1 0 0 125000 25000 90000 0 0 40000 60000
2 0.2 0 0 100000 50000 90000 0 0 40000 60000
3 0.1 0 0 75000 75000 90000 0 0 40000 60000
4 0.15 0 0 125000 25000 90000 0 0 50000 80000
5 0.3 0 0 100000 50000 90000 0 0 50000 80000
6 0.15 0 0 75000 75000 90000 0 0 50000 80000
-----

```

Note: h varies by scenario

Optimal Solution

(Found by solving deterministic equivalent problem directly, without decomposition)  
Total objective function: ~2091250

Stage One: nonzero variables:

```

i  variable  value
-----
1  X[1]      40000
2  X[2]      2250
3  surplus_1 42250
-----

```

Second Stage: nonzero variables

```

Scenario #1
i  variable  value
-----

```

```

1 Y1A      38250
2 Y1B      1750
4 Y2B      2250
6 Z2       57750
7 S1       40000
8 S2       60000
10 slack_3 10250
12 slack_5 1000
-----

```

Scenario #2

```

i  variable  value
-----
1 Y1A      32000
2 Y1B      8000
4 Y2B      2250
6 Z2       57750
7 S1       40000
-----

```

```

8 S2       60000
10 slack_3 4000
12 slack_5 1000
-----

```

Scenario #3

```

i  variable  value
-----
1 Y1A      21250
2 Y1B      18750
3 Y2A      2250
6 Z2       57750
7 S1       40000
8 S2       60000
12 slack_5 1000
-----

```

Scenario #4

```

i  variable  value
-----
1 Y1A      38250
2 Y1B      1750
4 Y2B      2250
5 Z1       10000
6 Z2       77750
7 S1       50000
8 S2       80000
10 slack_3 10250
12 slack_5 1000
-----

```

Scenario #5

```

i  variable  value
-----
1 Y1A      32000
-----

```

```

2 Y1B      8000
4 Y2B      2250
5 Z1       10000
6 Z2       77750
7 S1       50000
8 S2       80000
10 slack_3 4000
12 slack_5 1000
-----

```

Scenario #6

```

i  variable  value
-----
1 Y1A      21250
2 Y1B      18750
3 Y2A      2250
5 Z1       10000
6 Z2       77750
7 S1       50000
8 S2       80000
12 slack_5 1000
-----

```

Solution with Perfect Information

Solution for scenario #1

Optimal cost: ~2023750

Stage One: nonzero variables:

```

i  value  name
-----

```

```

1 40000.00 X[1]
2 4750.00 X[2]
3 44750.00 surplus_1
-----

```

Second-stage: nonzero variables

```

i  value  name
-----
1 33750.00 Y1A
2 6250.00 Y1B
3 4750.00 Y2A
6 55250.00 Z2
7 40000.00 S1
8 60000.00 S2
9 9000.00 T
-----

```

Solution for scenario #2

Optimal cost: ~2017500

Stage One: nonzero variables:

```

i  value  name
-----
1 40000.00 X[1]
2 3500.00 X[2]
3 43500.00 surplus_1
-----

```

Second-stage: nonzero variables

```

i  value  name
-----
1 27500.00 Y1A
2 12500.00 Y1B
3 3500.00 Y2A
-----

```

```

6 56500.00 Z2
7 40000.00 S1
8 60000.00 S2
9 4000.00 T
-----

```

Solution for scenario #3

Optimal cost: ~2001250

Stage One: nonzero variables:

```

i  value  name
-----
1 40000.00 X[1]
2 2250.00 X[2]
3 42250.00 surplus_1
-----

```

Second-stage: nonzero variables

```

i  value  name
-----
1 21250.00 Y1A
2 18750.00 Y1B
3 2250.00 Y2A
6 57750.00 Z2
7 40000.00 S1
8 60000.00 S2
12 1000.00 slack_5
-----

```

Solution for scenario #4

Optimal cost: ~2268750

Stage One: nonzero variables:

```

i  value  name
-----

```

```

1 47916.67 X[1]
3 47916.67 surplus_1
-----

```

Second-stage: nonzero variables

```

i  value  name
-----
1 41666.67 Y1A
2 6250.00 Y1B
5 2083.33 Z1
6 80000.00 Z2
7 50000.00 S1
8 80000.00 S2
9 5833.33 T
-----

```

Solution for scenario #5

Optimal cost: ~2237500

Stage One: nonzero variables:

```

i  value  name
-----
1 45833.33 X[1]
3 45833.33 surplus_1
-----

```

Second-stage: nonzero variables

```

i  value  name
-----
1 33333.33 Y1A
2 12500.00 Y1B
5 4166.67 Z1
-----

```

```

6 80000.00 Z2
7 50000.00 S1
8 80000.00 S2
9 1666.67 T
-----

```

Solution for scenario #6

Optimal cost: ~2181250

Stage One: nonzero variables:

```

i  value  name
-----
1 43750.00 X[1]
3 43750.00 surplus_1
-----

```

Second-stage: nonzero variables

```

i  value  name
-----
1 25000.00 Y1A
2 18750.00 Y1B
5 6250.00 Z1
6 80000.00 Z2
7 50000.00 S1
8 80000.00 S2
12 2500.00 slack_5
-----

```

Expected cost with perfect information: ~2144750

Certainty-Equivalent Tableau

-----  
 Giapetto Toys

b	z	1	2	3	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4
0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35	45	-40	-50	10	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	-1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
100000	0	0	0	0	3	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
50000	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
90000	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	1	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
46000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
72000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

Optimal Solution

Found by solving certainty equivalent problem,  
 i.e., replacing all random parameters by their expected values.

-----  
 Total objective function: ~2177500

Stage One: nonzero variables:

i	variable	value
1	X[1]	45833.33333
3	surplus_1	45833.33333

-----  
 Second Stage: nonzero variables

i	variable	value
---	----------	-------

1	Y1A	33333.3333333
2	Y1B	12500.0000000
5	Z1	166.6666667
6	Z2	72000.0000000
7	S1	46000.0000000
8	S2	72000.0000000
9	T	1666.6666667