



QP: Minimize  $\frac{1}{2} x^T Q x + c^T x$   
 subject to  $Ax \geq b$

i.e., Minimize  $f(x)$   
 s.t.  $g(x) \leq 0$   
 $x \in X$

where  $\begin{cases} f(x) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x + c^T x \\ g(x) = b - Ax \leq 0 \\ X = \mathbb{R}^n \end{cases}$

Assume that  $Q$  is positive semidefinite, so that  $f(x)$  is convex.

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

**Lagrangian Function**

$$L(x, \lambda) = f(x) + \lambda^T g(x) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x + c^T x + \lambda^T (b - Ax)$$

**Dual Objective Function**

$$\widehat{L}(\lambda) = \min_x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x + c^T x + \lambda^T (b - Ax) \right\}$$

For each value of  $\lambda$ , an unconstrained minimization of a convex quadratic function must be performed!

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Because of the convexity of the Lagrangian function, the optimal  $x$  must be a stationary point of the Lagrangian function:

$$\nabla_x L(\bar{x}(\lambda), \lambda) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \widehat{L}(\lambda) = L(\bar{x}(\lambda), \lambda)$$

i.e., for each  $\lambda$ , we must choose  $x$  to satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_x L(x, \lambda) &= Qx + c - A^T \lambda = 0 \\ \Rightarrow x^T (Qx + c - A^T \lambda) &= x^T (0) \\ \Rightarrow x^T Qx + x^T c - x^T A^T \lambda &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow x^T Qx + c^T x - \lambda^T A x &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

**Dual Objective Function**

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{L}(\lambda) &= \min_x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x + c^T x + \lambda^T (b - Ax) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x + c^T x + \lambda^T (b - Ax) \end{aligned}$$

where  $x$  is chosen to satisfy  $x^T Qx + c^T x - \lambda^T A x = 0$

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

**Dual Objective Function**

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{L}(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x + c^T x + \lambda^T (b - Ax) \\ \text{where } x^T Qx + c^T x - \lambda^T A x &= 0 \\ &= \lambda^T b - \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x + \underbrace{x^T Q x + c^T x - \lambda^T A x}_{=0} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\widehat{L}(\lambda) = \lambda^T b - \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x \quad \text{where } x \text{ must satisfy } Qx + c - A^T \lambda = 0$$

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

**LAGRANGIAN DUAL OF QP**

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Maximize } \widehat{L}(\lambda) \\ &\lambda \geq 0 \\ &\text{Maximize } \lambda^T b - \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x \\ &\text{subject to } Qx + c - A^T \lambda = 0 \\ &\lambda \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

That is, the Lagrangian dual of the quadratic programming problem QP is another quadratic programming problem with only nonnegativity constraints!

If  $Q$  is positive definite, i.e.,  $f(x)$  is strictly convex, then  $Q$  is nonsingular, and

$$Qx + c - A^T \lambda = 0$$

can be solved by inverting  $Q$ :

$$\bar{x}(\lambda) = Q^{-1} [A^T \lambda - c]$$

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

$$\bar{x}(\lambda) = Q^{-1} [A^T \lambda - c]$$

This can be used to eliminate  $x$  from the statement of the Dual Problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Maximize } & \lambda^T b - \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x = b^T \lambda \\ & - \frac{1}{2} [Q^{-1}(A^T \lambda - c)]^T Q [Q^{-1}(A^T \lambda - c)] \\ \text{subject to } & \lambda \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Thus the dual problem can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Maximize } & e^T \lambda + \frac{1}{2} \lambda^T D \lambda - \frac{1}{2} c^T Q^{-1} c \\ \text{subject to } & \lambda \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

*constant*

where  $\begin{cases} e = b + A Q^{-1} c \\ D = -A Q^{-1} A^T \end{cases}$

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

However,

Note that in QP we included no explicit nonnegativity constraints... if  $x \geq 0$  is to be included, we must include in the constraints

$$\begin{bmatrix} A \\ I \end{bmatrix} x \geq \begin{bmatrix} b \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

This adds  $n$  primal constraints  $\Rightarrow$  # of dual variables will be  $m+n$ .

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

To write the dual QP, we must compute

$$\begin{cases} e = b + A Q^{-1} c \\ D = -A Q^{-1} A^T \end{cases}$$

using  $\begin{cases} Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, c = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} \\ A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, b = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{cases}$

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

So the dual objective, expressed in terms of  $\lambda$ , is

$$\begin{aligned} & b^T \lambda - \frac{1}{2} [Q^{-1}(A^T \lambda - c)]^T Q [Q^{-1}(A^T \lambda - c)] \\ & = b^T \lambda - \frac{1}{2} [(A^T \lambda - c)^T Q^{-1} (A^T \lambda - c)] \\ & = b^T \lambda - \frac{1}{2} [\lambda^T A Q^{-1} A^T \lambda - 2 c^T Q^{-1} A^T \lambda + c^T Q^{-1} c] \\ & = [b^T + c^T Q^{-1} A^T] \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^T [A Q^{-1} A^T] \lambda - \frac{1}{2} c^T Q^{-1} c \end{aligned}$$

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Compare the sizes of the two problems:

**PRIMAL:**  
n variables  
m constraints  
(inequalities)

**DUAL:**  
m variables  
m constraints  
(nonnegativity)

It would appear that the Dual QP problem is more computationally attractive...

*especially if the number of primal variables is more than the number of constraints!*

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

**EXAMPLE**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Minimize } & \frac{1}{2} x_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} x_2^2 - 2x_1 - 2x_2 \\ \text{subject to } & \begin{cases} 0 \leq x_1 \leq 1 \\ 0 \leq x_2 \leq 1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

that is,  $\text{Minimize } \frac{1}{2} x^T \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} x + \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}^T x$

subject to  $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} x \geq \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

$$\begin{aligned} D = -A Q^{-1} A^T & = - \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ & = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}^{-1}\mathbf{c} &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ -2 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -2 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Dual QP Problem

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Maximize} & \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -2 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}^T \lambda + \frac{1}{2} \lambda^T \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \lambda \\ \text{subject to} & \lambda \geq 0 \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Maximize } \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - 2\lambda_3 - 2\lambda_4 \\ \quad - \frac{1}{2} [\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2 + \lambda_4^2] + \lambda_1\lambda_3 + \lambda_2\lambda_4 \\ \text{subject to } \lambda_1 \geq 0, \lambda_2 \geq 0, \lambda_3 \geq 0, \lambda_4 \geq 0 \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

After finding the optimal dual solution ,  
we can compute the optimal primal solution:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}^*(\lambda^*) &= \mathbf{Q}^{-1}[\mathbf{A}^T\lambda^* - \mathbf{c}] \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \left( \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \lambda^* - \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ \Rightarrow & \begin{cases} x_1^* = -\lambda_1^* + \lambda_3^* + 2 \\ x_2^* = -\lambda_2^* + \lambda_4^* + 2 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998