

## Machine Replacement Problem

### Markov Decision Model

This Hypercard stack was prepared by:  
 Dennis L. Bricker,  
 Dept. of Industrial Engineering,  
 University of Iowa,  
 Iowa City, Iowa 52242  
 e-mail: dbricker@icaen.uiowa.edu

**Machine Replacement Problem**

At the beginning of each month, a machine is inspected and classified as:

- 1) Good as new
- 2) Operable, with minor deterioration
- 3) Operable, with major deterioration
- 4) Inoperable

©D. Bricker,U. of Iowa, 1998

**Machine Replacement Problem**

After determining the state of the machine, a decision must be made:

- 1) Keep the machine another month
- 2) Replace the machine with a new machine

©D. Bricker,U. of Iowa, 1998

**Machine Replacement Problem**

A replacement machine costs \$3000, minus trade-in value:

- \$1000 if in state 2
- 500 if in state 3
- 0 if in state 4

Monthly operating costs are \$100, \$200, and \$500 for a machine in states 1, 2, & 3, respectively.

©D. Bricker,U. of Iowa, 1998

**Machine Replacement Problem**

Survival probabilities

		State			
		1	2	3	4
State	from:	0.75	0.1875	0.0625	0
	2	—	0.75	0.1875	0.0625
	3	—	—	0.75	0.25

*What is the optimal replacement policy?*

©D. Bricker,U. of Iowa, 1998

**States**

i	name
1	Good as new
2	Minor deterioration
3	Major deterioration
4	Failed

**Actions**

k	name
1	Keep
2	Replace

©D. Bricker,U. of Iowa, 1998

**Cost Matrix**

k	name	1	2	3	4
1	Keep	100	200	500	9999
2	Replace	9999	2100	2600	3100

(Rows ~ actions, Columns ~ states)

A value of 9999 above signals an infeasible action in a state.

*(includes cost of operating the new machine, if decision is to replace)*

©D. Bricker,U. of Iowa, 1998

**Transition Probabilities**

**Action: Keep**

		to			
		1	2	3	4
from	1	0.75	0.1875	0.0625	0
	2	0	0.75	0.1875	0.0625
	3	0	0	0.75	0.25
	4	0	0	0	1

**Action: Replace**

		to			
		1	2	3	4
from	1	0.75	0.1875	0.0625	0
	2	0.75	0.1875	0.0625	0
	3	0.75	0.1875	0.0625	0
	4	0.75	0.1875	0.0625	0

*Rows are identical, since each month begins with a new machine, regardless of current condition*

©D. Bricker,U. of Iowa, 1998

Linear Programming Approach

Policy Iteration Method

### Linear Programming Model

*What is the policy which minimizes the average cost/month in steady state?*

$$\text{Minimize } \sum_{i=1}^N C_i^k X_i^k$$

where

$X_i^k$  = probability that machine is in state #i and decision #k is selected  
↻

©D. Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

©D. Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

#### LP Tableau

k:	1	1	2	1	2	2		RHS
i:	1	2	2	3	3	4		S
Min	100	200	2100	500	2600	3100		
	0.25	0	-0.75	0	-0.75	-0.75	0	0
	-0.1875	0.25	-0.8125	0	-0.1875	-0.1875	0	0
	-0.0625	-0.1875	-0.0625	0.25	0.9375	-0.0625	0	0
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

$X_i^k$  = probability that machine is in state #i and decision #k is selected

©D. Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Iteration 0 *Initial policy: keep until the machine fails*

basic: ★★                      ★                      ★

k:	1	1	2	1	2	2		
i:	1	2	2	3	3	4		rhs
Min	0	0	541.463	0	-304.878	0		-548.78
	1	0	-2.04878	0	-1.17073	0		0.292683
	0	1	1.95122	0	-1.17073	0		0.292683
	0	0	0.780488	1	2.73171	0		0.317073
	0	0	0.317073	0	0.609756	1		0.097561

*Initial basis is obtained by selecting one basic variable for each state.*

©D. Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

*Steadystate distribution resulting from this policy*

#### Iteration 0

Policy: (Cost= 548.78 )

State	Action	P{i}
1 Good as new	1 Keep	0.292683
2 Minor deterioration	1 Keep	0.292683
3 Major deterioration	1 Keep	0.317073
4 Failed	2 Replace	0.097561

*Choose a column having negative reduced cost, and enter it into the basis:*

basic ★★                      ★                      ★

k:	1	1	2	1	2	2		
i:	1	2	2	3	3	4		rhs
Min	0	0	541.463	0	-304.878	0		-548.78
	1	0	-2.04878	0	-1.17073	0		0.292683
	0	1	1.95122	0	-1.17073	0		0.292683
	0	0	0.780488	1	2.73171	0		0.317073
	0	0	0.317073	0	0.609756	1		0.097561

*choose pivot row, using minimum ratio test*

↑

$$\text{minimum } \left\{ \frac{0.31707}{2.7317}, \frac{0.09756}{0.60975} \right\} = \frac{0.31707}{2.7317} = 0.116071$$

©D. Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

©D. Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

#### Iteration 1

★★    ★★

k:	1	1	2	1	2	2		
i:	1	2	2	3	3	4		rhs
Min	0	0	628.571	111.607	0	0		-513.393
	1	0	-1.71429	0.428571	0	0		0.428571
	0	1	2.28571	0.428571	0	0		0.428571
	0	0	0.285714	0.366071	1	0		0.116071
	0	0	0.142857	-0.223214	0	1		0.0267857

i~state, k~action

$X_3^2$  has replaced  $X_3^1$  in the basis

©D. Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

#### Iteration 1

Policy: (Cost= 513.393 )

State	Action	P{i}
1 Good as new	1 Keep	0.428571
2 Minor deterioration	1 Keep	0.428571
3 Major deterioration	2 Replace	0.116071
4 Failed	2 Replace	0.0267857

©D. Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

	★★		★★		
k:	1	2	1	2	
i:	1	2	3	4	rhs
Min	0	0	628.571	111.607	0
	1	0	-1.71429	0.428571	0
	0	1	2.28571	0.428571	0
	0	0	0.285714	0.366071	1
	0	0	0.142857	-0.223214	0
					0.0267857

i~state, k~action

*Reduced costs are nonnegative...  
the optimality condition is satisfied!*

©D. Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Optimal Policy

State	Action
1 Good as new	1 Keep
2 Minor deterioration	1 Keep
3 Major deterioration	2 Replace
4 Failed	2 Replace



©D. Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Policy Iteration Method

Average cost per month

Present value of all future costs



©D. Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Machine Replacement Example

State	Action
1 Good as new	1 Keep
2 Minor deterioration	1 Keep
3 Major deterioration	1 Keep
4 Failed	2 Replace

$g(R) = 548.78$

i	$V_i$
1	-3000
2	-1541.46
3	-195.122
4	0



©D. Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Machine Replacement Example

Policy Improvement Step: Evaluation of alternate actions

State #2, Minor deterioration

Current Policy: action #1, Keep

$g(R)+V_i(R) = -992.683$

k	name	$C'$	$\Delta C$
1	Keep	-992.683	0
2	Replace	-451.22	541.463

*no improvement can be achieved by changing action in this state.*

$C'[k]$  = cost if action k is selected for one stage  
 $\Delta C[k]$  = improvement (if <0)

©D. Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Machine Replacement Example

Policy Improvement Step: Evaluation of alternate actions

State #3, Major deterioration

Current Policy: action #1, Keep

$g(R)+V_i(R) = 353.659$

k	name	$C'$	$\Delta C$
1	Keep	353.659	0
2	Replace	48.7805	-304.878

*improvement*

$C'[k]$  = cost if action k is selected for one stage  
 $\Delta C[k]$  = improvement (if <0)

©D. Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Machine Replacement Example

State	Action
1 Good as new	1 Keep
2 Minor deterioration	1 Keep
3 Major deterioration	2 Replace
4 Failed	2 Replace

*new policy*

Value Determination

$g(R) = 513.393$

i	$V_i$
1	-3000
2	-1628.57
3	-500
4	0

©D. Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Machine Replacement Example

Policy Improvement Step: Evaluation of alternate actions

State #2, Minor deterioration

Current Policy: action #1, Keep

$g(R)+V_i(R) = -1115.18$

k	name	$C'$	$\Delta C$
1	Keep	-1115.18	0
2	Replace	-486.607	628.571

*no improvement can be achieved by changing action in this state.*

$C'[k]$  = cost if action k is selected for one stage  
 $\Delta C[k]$  = improvement (if <0)

©D. Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

**Machine Replacement Example**

Policy Improvement Step: Evaluation of alternate actions

**State #3, Major deterioration**

Current Policy: action #2, Replace  
 $g(R) + V_i(R) = 13.3929$

k	name	C'	$\Delta C$
1	Keep	125	111.607
2	Replace	13.3929	0

*no improvement can be achieved by changing action in this state.*

$C'[k]$  = cost if action k is selected for one stage  
 $\Delta C[k]$  = improvement (if <0)

↩ **The current policy is optimal!**

©D. Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

*Let's begin with an initial policy:  
 keep machine until it fails  
 i.e.,  $R = (1, 1, 1, 2)$*

	State	Action
1	Good as new	1 Keep
2	Minor deterioration	1 Keep
3	Major deterioration	1 Keep
4	Failed	2 Replace

Discount factor = 0.985222  
 (rate of return = 1.5%)

©D. Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

$$P^R = \begin{bmatrix} 0.75 & 0.1875 & 0.0625 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.75 & 0.1875 & 0.0625 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.75 & 0.25 \\ 0.75 & 0.1875 & 0.0625 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$v_i(R) = C_i^{k_i} + \beta \sum_{j \in S} p_{ij}^{k_i} v_j(R) \quad \forall i \in S$$

©D. Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

i	$V_i$
1	35567.4
2	36960.8
3	38299.4
4	38567.4

That is, \$35,567.40 invested at 1.5% per month interest would sufficient to pay all future operation and replacement cost for the machine, if it is initially in state 1, i.e., "good as new"

©D. Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Minimizing:

Present value of all future costs  
 i.e., MDP with discounting is used.

Assume a rate of return of 1.5% per month  
 (18% per year)

$$\beta = \frac{1}{1+r} = \frac{1}{1.015} = 0.985222$$

That is, the present value of a \$1 cost next month is \$0.985222

↩

©D. Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

**Value Determination**

Solve the system of equations:

$$v_i(R) = C_i^{k_i} + \beta \sum_{j \in S} p_{ij}^{k_i} v_j(R) \quad \forall i \in S$$

©D. Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

$$v_i(R) = C_i^{k_i} + \beta \sum_{j \in S} p_{ij}^{k_i} v_j(R) \quad \forall i \in S$$

$$\begin{cases} v_1 = 100 + 0.98522(0.75v_1 + 0.1875v_2 + 0.0625v_3) \\ v_2 = 200 + 0.98522(0.75v_2 + 0.1875v_3 + 0.0625v_4) \\ v_3 = 500 + 0.98522(0.75v_3 + 0.25v_4) \\ v_4 = 3100 + 0.98522(0.75v_1 + 0.1875v_2 + 0.0625v_3) \end{cases}$$

Solution:

i	$V_i$
1	35567.4
2	36960.8
3	38299.4
4	38567.4

©D. Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

**Policy Improvement**

Policy Improvement Step: Evaluation of alternate actions

**State #2, Minor deterioration**

Current Policy: action #1, Keep

Evaluate alternative action: #2, Replace

$$v_i' = C_i^{k_i'} + \beta \sum_j p_{ij}^{k_i'} v_j \quad i=2, k_i'=2$$

©D. Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

i	V <sub>i</sub>
1	35567.4
2	36960.8
3	38299.4
4	38567.4

$$v_i' = C_i^{k_i} + \beta \sum_j p_{ij}^{k_i} v_j \quad i=2, k_i'=2$$

$$v_2' = 2100 + 0.98522 (0.75v_1 + 0.1875v_2 + 0.675v_3) = 2100 + 0.98522 (0.75 \times 35567.4 + 0.1875 \times 36960.8 + 0.675 \times 38299.4) = 37567.40$$

That is, if we are initially in state 2 and replace the machine, but thereafter follow the original policy R, the present value of all future costs is \$27,567.40

©D. Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

### Policy Improvement

k	name	V'	ΔV
1	Keep	36960.8	0
2	Replace	37567.4	606.62

V'(k) = total discounted cost if action k is selected for one stage, & current policy is followed thereafter

ΔV(k) = improvement (if <0)

Since  $v_2' > v_2$ , the current policy for this state should not be changed.

©D. Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

### Policy Improvement

State #3, Major deterioration

Current Policy: action #1, Keep

Evaluate the alternate action: Replace

k	name	V'	ΔV
1	Keep	38299.4	0
2	Replace	38067.4	-232.035

Since  $v_3' < v_3$ , i.e.,  $\Delta v_3 = v_3' - v_3 < 0$ , the policy in this state should be changed to "replace"

©D. Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

### Value Determination

Discount factor = 0.985222  
(rate of return = 1.5%)

New policy:

	State	Action
1	Good as new	1 Keep
2	Minor deterioration	1 Keep
3	Major deterioration	2 Replace
4	Failed	2 Replace

New present values:

i	V <sub>i</sub>
1	33799.4
2	35128.6
3	36299.4
4	36799.4

©D. Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

### Policy Improvement

State #2, Minor deterioration

Current Policy: action #1, Keep

k	name	V'	ΔV
1	Keep	35128.6	0
2	Replace	35799.4	670.718

V'(k) = total discounted cost if action k is selected for one stage, & current policy is followed thereafter  
ΔV(k) = improvement (if <0)

The policy for this state should not be changed.

©D. Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

### Policy Improvement

State #3, Major deterioration

Current Policy: action #2, Replace

k	name	V'	ΔV
1	Keep	36386.1	86.709
2	Replace	36299.4	0

V'(k) = total discounted cost if action k is selected for one stage, & current policy is followed thereafter  
ΔV(k) = improvement (if <0)

The policy for this state should not be changed.

©D. Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

### Policy Improvement

No improvement is possible, so the current policy:

	State	Action
1	Good as new	1 Keep
2	Minor deterioration	1 Keep
3	Major deterioration	2 Replace
4	Failed	2 Replace

is optimal!



©D. Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998