

Markov Decision Problem

Linear Programming Method

This Hypercard stack was prepared by:
 Dennis L. Bricker,
 Dept. of Industrial Engineering,
 University of Iowa,
 Iowa City, Iowa 52242
 e-mail: dbricker@icaen.uiowa.edu

author

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Linear Programming Algorithm without Discounting

Optimizes the "average", i.e., expected, cost or return per period in steady state.

Linear Programming Algorithm with Discounting

Optimizes the present value of all future expected costs

LP model of MDP

Assume that, using the optimal policy, a steady state distribution exists.

Define "randomized" or "mixed" strategies:

X_i^k = joint probability, in steady state, of being in state i and selecting action $k \in K_i$



©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

LP Model

$$\text{Maximize } \sum_{i \in S} \sum_{k \in K_i} C_i^k X_i^k$$

$$\sum_{k \in K_j} X_j^k = \sum_{i \in S} \sum_{k \in K_i} p_{ij}^k X_i^k \quad \forall j \in S$$

$$\sum_{i \in S} \sum_{k \in K_i} X_i^k = 1$$

$$X_i^k \geq 0$$

One constraint is redundant, and can be eliminated.

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Transition Probabilities

Taxi Problem

Action: Cruise

to	1	2	3
from	0.5	0.25	0.25
1	0.5	0	0.5
2	0.25	0.25	0.5
3			

Action: Cabstand

to	1	2	3
from	0.0625	0.75	0.1875
1	0.0625	0.875	0.0625
2	0.125	0.75	0.125
3			

Action: Wait for call

to	1	2	3
from	0.25	0.125	0.625
1	0	1	0
2	0.75	0.0625	0.1875
3			

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Cost Matrix

Taxi Problem

k	name	1	2	3
1	Cruise	-8	-16	-7
2	Cabstand	-2.75	-15	-4
3	Wait for call	-4.25	999	-4.5

(Rows ~ actions, Columns ~ states)

A value of 999 above signals an infeasible action in a state.

Expected returns for each i & k

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

LP Tableau

Taxi Problem

k:	1	2	3	1	2	1	2	3	RHS
i:	1	1	1	2	2	3	3	3	
Min	-8	-2.75	-4.25	-16	-15	-7	-4	-4.5	
	0.5	0.9375	0.75	-0.5	-0.0625	-0.25	-0.125	-0.75	0
	-0.25	-0.75	-0.125	1	0.125	-0.25	-0.75	-0.0625	0
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Iteration 0

LP Tableau

Initial basic feasible solution

k:	1	2	3	1	2	1	2	3	RHS
i:	1	1	1	2	2	3	3	3	
Min	0	2.1	5.01667	0	-4.95	0	-0.566667	3.23333	9.2
	1	1.45	1.36667	0	0.35	0	0.0333333	-0.616667	0.4
	0	-0.4	0.1	1	0.3	0	-0.4	0.15	0.2
	0	-0.05	-0.466667	0	0.35	1	1.36667	1.46667	0.4

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Initial policy: in each city, select "cruise" ("greedy" policy)

Iteration 0

Policy: (Cost= -9.2)

State	Action	P{i}
1 Town A	1 Cruise	0.4
2 Town B	1 Cruise	0.2
3 Town C	1 Cruise	0.4

initial basic solution $\begin{cases} X_1^1 = 0.4 \\ X_2^1 = 0.2 \\ X_3^1 = 0.4 \end{cases}$

Initial policy: in each city, select "cruise" ("greedy" policy)

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Iteration 0

LP Tableau

basic: ★ ★ ★

k:	1	2	3	1	2	1	2	3	RHS
i:	1	1	1	2	2	3	3	3	
Min	0	2.1	5.01667	0	-4.95	0	-0.566667	3.23333	9.2
	1	1.45	1.36667	0	0.35	0	0.0333333	-0.616667	0.4
	0	-0.4	0.1	1	0.3	0	-0.4	0.15	0.2
	0	-0.05	-0.466667	0	0.35	1	1.36667	1.46667	0.4

↑
 minimum $\left\{ \frac{0.4}{0.35}, \frac{0.2}{0.3}, \frac{0.4}{0.35} \right\} = \frac{0.2}{0.3}$

X_2^2 enters the basis, replacing X_1^1

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Iteration 1

LP Tableau

★ ★★

k:	1	2	3	1	2	1	2	3	rhs
i:	1	1	1	2	2	3	3	3	
Min	0	-4.5	6.66666	16.5	0	0	-7.16666	5.70833	12.5
	1	1.91666	1.25	-1.16667	0	0	0.5	-0.791666	0.166666
	0	-1.33333	0.33333	3.33333	1	0	-1.33333	0.5	0.666666
	0	0.41666	-0.58333	-1.16667	0	1	1.83333	1.29167	0.166666

basic solution $\begin{cases} X_1^1 = 1/6 \\ X_2^2 = 2/3 \\ X_3^1 = 1/6 \end{cases}$

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Iteration 1

Policy: (Cost= -12.5)

State	Action	P{i}
1 Town A	1 Cruise	0.166667
2 Town B	2 Cabstand	0.666667
3 Town C	1 Cruise	0.166667

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Iteration 1

LP Tableau

★ ★★

k:	1	2	3	1	2	1	2	3	rhs
i:	1	1	1	2	2	3	3	3	
Min	0	-4.5	6.66666	16.5	0	0	-7.16666	5.70833	12.5
	1	1.91666	1.25	-1.16667	0	0	0.5	-0.791666	0.166666
	0	-1.33333	0.33333	3.33333	1	0	-1.33333	0.5	0.666666
	0	0.41666	-0.58333	-1.16667	0	1	1.83333	1.29167	0.166666

↑
 minimum $\left\{ \frac{0.1666}{0.5}, \frac{0.1666}{1.833} \right\} = \frac{0.1666}{1.833}$

X_3^1 enters the basis, replacing X_1^1

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Iteration 2

LP Tableau

★ ★★

k:	1	2	3	1	2	1	2	3	rhs
i:	1	1	1	2	2	3	3	3	
Min	0	-2.8712	4.3863	11.9394	0	3.9090	0	10.7576	13.1515
	1	1.8030	1.4090	-0.8484	0	-0.2727	0	-1.1439	0.1212
	0	-1.0303	-0.0909	2.4848	1	0.7272	0	1.4393	0.7878
	0	0.2272	-0.3181	-0.6363	0	0.5454	1	0.7045	0.0909

Note that for every state, there is a variable in the basis for only one action!

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Iteration 2

LP Tableau

Iteration 2

Policy: (Cost= -13.1515)

State	Action	P{i}
1 Town A	1 Cruise	0.121212
2 Town B	2 Cabstand	0.787879
3 Town C	2 Cabstand	0.0909091

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

LP Tableau

	★		★		★				
k:	1	2	3	1	2	1	2	3	
i:	1	1	1	2	2	3	3	3	rhs
Min	1.59244	0	6.63025	10.5882	0	3.4747	0	8.9359	13.3445
	0.55462	1	0.78151	-0.4705	0	-0.1512	0	-0.6344	0.06722
	0.57142	0	0.71428	2	1	0.5714	0	0.7857	0.85714
	-0.12605	0	-0.49579	-0.5294	0	0.5798	1	0.8487	0.07563

*Reduced costs are all nonnegative...
the optimality condition is satisfied!*

Optimal Policy

Iteration 3

Policy: (Cost= -13.3445)

State	Action	P{i}
1 Town A	2 Cabstand	0.0672269
2 Town B	2 Cabstand	0.857143
3 Town C	2 Cabstand	0.0756303

The optimal policy found by the simplex LP algorithm is deterministic, not randomized, i.e., for each state, only one action is specified.



©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

LP Algorithm for MDP with discounting

Determining a policy which minimizes the *present value* of all future costs over an infinitely long planning horizon.

Note: existence of a steady state distribution is *not* assumed!



©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

The present value of future costs (i.e., the discounted future costs) will depend upon the initial state of the system.

Define

α_j = probability that system is initially in state j

Note: If the initial state is known, then $\alpha = [0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots 0]$

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Decision variables

$\lambda_j^k(n)$ = Joint probability that system is in state j in period n and action $k \in K_j$ is selected

Note that this definition of the decision variables does not assume that the same policy is optimal for every stage!

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Define

β = discount factor = $\frac{1}{1+r}$
where r = rate of return per stage

Then the present value of a cost Y which is incurred 1 period hence is βY
2 periods hence is $\beta^2 Y$
⋮
n periods hence is $\beta^n Y$

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

If C_j^k = cost of action k in state j

then

$$\sum_j \sum_{k \in K_j} C_j^k \lambda_j^k(n) = \text{expected cost during stage (period) } n$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta^n \sum_j \sum_{k \in K_j} C_j^k \lambda_j^k(n) = \text{present value of all costs in periods } n=0, 1, 2, \dots$$

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Our objective is therefore to minimize the discounted future expected costs:

$$\sum_j \sum_{k \in K_j} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta^n C_j^k \lambda_j^k(n) \right]$$

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Constraints

For each state j at stage $n=0$: $\sum_{k \in K_j} \lambda_j^k(0) = \alpha_j$

For each state j at stage $n, n=1,2,\dots$

$$\sum_{k \in K_j} \lambda_j^k(n) = \sum_i \sum_{k \in K_i} p_{ij}^k \lambda_i^k(n-1)$$

Probability that system is in state j at stage n

Probability that system makes transition from state i in stage $n-1$ to state j in stage n

Note that there is an infinite number of constraints, as well as infinitely many variables!

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

For each pair of state j and action k , consider the sequence of probabilities

$$\{\lambda_j^k(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$$

Its z -transform is $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \lambda_j^k(n)$

Define a new set of decision variables

$$x_j^k = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta^n \lambda_j^k(n)$$

i.e., the z -transform of $\{\lambda_j^k(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ evaluated at β

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Constraints

In order to reduce the set of constraints to a finite number

(with finitely many variables), perform the following operations:

- For each pair j & n , multiply the corresponding constraint by β^n

$$\begin{cases} \beta^0 \sum_{k \in K_j} \lambda_j^k(0) = \beta^0 \alpha_j & \text{for each state } j \\ \sum_{k \in K_j} \beta^n \lambda_j^k(n) = \beta \sum_i \sum_{k \in K_i} p_{ij}^k \beta^{n-1} \lambda_i^k(n-1) & \text{for each state } j \text{ \& } n \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

- Rearrange the order of summation in this new constraint:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in K_j} \beta^n \lambda_j^k(n) = \alpha_j + \beta \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_i \sum_{k \in K_i} p_{ij}^k \beta^{n-1} \lambda_i^k(n-1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{k \in K_j} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta^n \lambda_j^k(n) = \alpha_j + \beta \sum_i \sum_{k \in K_i} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{ij}^k \beta^{n-1} \lambda_i^k(n-1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{k \in K_j} x_j^k = \alpha_j + \beta \sum_i \sum_{k \in K_i} x_i^k \quad \text{for all } j$$

since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{ij}^k \beta^{n-1} \lambda_i^k(n-1) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta^n \lambda_i^k(n)$

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

In order to reduce the size of the LP to finite proportions, we will utilize the z -transform.

The z -transform of the sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is the function

$$F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n a_n$$

[See *Queueing Systems, Vol. 1, Appendix 1* by L. Kleinrock]

Note that, given F , we can reconstruct the sequence:

$$a_n = \frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^n F(0)}{dz^n}$$

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

We are then able to rewrite our objective function

$$\sum_j \sum_{k \in K_j} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta^n C_j^k \lambda_j^k(n) \right]$$

with a finite number of terms:

$$\sum_j \sum_{k \in K_j} C_j^k x_j^k$$

where

$$x_j^k = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta^n \lambda_j^k(n)$$

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

- For each state j , sum the equations over n :

$$\begin{cases} \beta^0 \sum_{k \in K_j} \lambda_j^k(0) = \beta^0 \alpha_j & \text{for each state } j \\ \sum_{k \in K_j} \beta^n \lambda_j^k(n) = \beta \sum_i \sum_{k \in K_i} p_{ij}^k \beta^{n-1} \lambda_i^k(n-1) & \text{for each state } j \text{ \& } n \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in K_j} \beta^n \lambda_j^k(n) = \alpha_j + \beta \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_i \sum_{k \in K_i} p_{ij}^k \beta^{n-1} \lambda_i^k(n-1)$$

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

LP Model

Minimize $\sum_j \sum_{k \in K_j} C_j^k x_j^k$

subject to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in K_j} x_j^k &= \alpha_j + \beta \sum_i \sum_{k \in K_i} p_{ij}^k x_i^k & \text{for all } j \\ x_j^k &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\left\{ \begin{aligned} &\bullet \text{ sum of } x \text{ is not specified to be } 1 \\ &\bullet \text{ no redundant constraint was eliminated from state equations} \end{aligned} \right.$

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Using the "Kronecker delta", i.e.,

$$\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i=j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$$

this LP model may be rewritten:

<p style="margin: 0;">Minimize $\sum_j \sum_{k \in K_j} C_j^k x_j^k$</p> <p style="margin: 0;">subject to</p> $\sum_i \sum_{k \in K_i} (\delta_{ij} - \beta p_{ij}^k) x_i^k = \alpha_j \quad \text{for all } j$ $x_j^k \geq 0$

If x^* is the optimal basic solution, then

$$x_j^{*k} > 0 \text{ (i.e., basic)}$$

implies that

the optimal policy is to select action k when in state j for every stage $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$

i.e., the optimal policy is stationary, same for every time period!

