

**Batch Processing Problem**  
**Markov Decision Model**

This Hypercard stack was prepared by:  
Dennis L. Bricker,  
Dept. of Industrial Engineering,  
University of Iowa,  
Iowa City, Iowa 52242  
e-mail: dbricker@icaen.uiowa.edu

author

Each minute, either zero, one, or two customers arrive at a facility which has a capacity (batch size) of 5.

i=#waiting	0	1	2	3	4
P{0 arrivals}	0.04	0.25	0.44	0.61	0.76
P{1 arrival}	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.2
P{2 arrivals}	0.36	0.25	0.16	0.09	0.04

(Probability of arrival diminishes as the queue lengthens... probability of 2 arrivals is square of probability of one.)

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

There is a "holding cost" per customer of 10¢ per minute, and a processing cost of 40¢ per batch (independent of batch size).

What is the optimal queue length for batch processing?

(The smaller the batches, the more the processing cost, while the larger the batches, the more the holding cost.)

**Markov Decision Model**

**States**

i	name
1	0 waiting
2	1 waiting
3	2 waiting
4	3 waiting
5	4 waiting
6	≥5 waiting

**Actions**

k	name
1	wait another minute
2	process the batch

state defined as number in the queue at the beginning of the stage (minute) before any arrivals

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

**Cost Matrix**

name	1	2	3	4	5	6
wait another minute	0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	999
process batch	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9

A value of 999 above signals an infeasible action in a state.

(Rows ~ actions, Columns ~ states)

includes holding cost for customers in queue at beginning of stage

**Transition Probabilities**

Action: wait another minute

to	1	2	3	4	5	6
f	0.04	0.6	0.36	0	0	0
r	0	0.25	0.5	0.25	0	0
o	0	0	0.44	0.4	0.16	0
2	0	0	0	0.61	0.3	0.09
3	0	0	0	0	0.76	0.24
4	0	0	0	0	0	1
5	0	0	0	0	0	0

to	1	2	3	4	5	6
f	0.04	0.6	0.36	0	0	0
r	0.04	0.6	0.36	0	0	0
o	0.04	0.6	0.36	0	0	0
2	0.04	0.6	0.36	0	0	0
3	0.04	0.6	0.36	0	0	0
4	0.04	0.6	0.36	0	0	0
5	0.04	0.6	0.36	0	0	0

Action: process the batch

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

**LP Tableau**

k	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	2	RHS
i	1	1	2	2	3	3	4	4	5	6
0	0.4	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.6	0.3	0.7	0.4	0.8	0.9
0.96	0.96	0	-0.04	0	-0.04	0	-0.04	0	-0.04	0
-0.6	-0.6	0.75	0.4	0	-0.6	0	-0.6	0	-0.6	0
-0.36	-0.36	-0.5	-0.36	0.56	0.64	0	-0.36	0	-0.36	0
0	0	-0.25	0	-0.4	0	0.39	1	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	-0.16	0	-0.3	0	0.24	1	0
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

k:	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	2		
i:	1	1	2	2	3	3	4	4	5	6	rhs
Min	0	0.4	0	0.2180	0	0.0380	0	-0.1080	0	-0.2187	0
1	1	0	-0.0382	0	-0.0340	0	-0.0276	0	-0.0199	0	0.0047
0	0	1	0.5678	0	-0.6801	0	-0.5528	0	-0.3993	0	0.0958
0	0	0	-0.1081	1	0.6318	0	-0.9379	0	-0.6774	0	0.1625
0	0	0	0.2530	0	0.2120	1	1.2476	0	-0.9507	0	0.2281
0	0	0	0.2441	0	0.6863	0	0.9342	1	2.5266	0	0.3936
0	0	0	0.0813	0	0.1838	0	0.3365	0	0.5208	1	0.1150

Iteration 0

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

initial basic solution corresponds to the policy:  
wait until 5 customers arrive  
before processing a batch

k:	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	2		
i:	1	1	2	2	3	3	4	4	5	6	rhs
Min	0	0.4	0	0.2180	0	0.0380	0	-0.1080	0	-0.2187	0
	1	1	0	-0.0382	0	-0.0340	0	-0.0276	0	-0.0199	0
	0	0	1	0.5678	0	-0.6801	0	-0.5528	0	-0.3993	0
	0	0	0	-0.1081	1	0.6318	0	-0.9379	0	-0.6774	0
	0	0	0	0.2530	0	0.2120	1	1.2476	0	-0.9507	0
	0	0	0	0.2441	0	0.6863	0	0.9342	1	2.5266	0
	0	0	0	0.0813	0	0.1838	0	0.3365	0	0.5208	1
											0.1150

Iteration 0

Policy: (Cost= 0.3715 )

steady state  
distribution

	State	Action	P{i}
1	0 waiting	1 wait another minute	0.00479179
2	1 waiting	1 wait another minute	0.0958357
3	2 waiting	1 wait another minute	0.162578
4	3 waiting	1 wait another minute	0.22818
5	4 waiting	1 wait another minute	0.393611
6	$\geq 5$ waiting	2 process batch	0.115003

Iteration 0

↑  
pivot  
column

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Iteration 1

k:	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	2		
i:	1	1	2	2	3	3	4	4	5	6	rhs
Min	0	0.4	0	0.2391	0	0.0974	0	-0.0271	0.0865	0 0	-0.3374
	1	1	0	-0.0363	0	-0.0285	0	-0.0202	0.0079	0 0	0.0079
	0	0	1	0.6064	0	-0.5716	0	-0.4052	0.1580	0 0	0.1580
	0	0	0	-0.0426	1	0.8159	0	-0.6874	0.2681	0 0	0.2681
	0	0	0	0.3449	0	0.4703	1	1.5992	0.3762	0 0	0.3762
	0	0	0	0.0966	0	0.2716	0	0.3697	0.3957	1 0	0.1557
	0	0	0	0.0310	0	0.0423	0	0.1439	-0.2061	0 1	0.0338

Iteration 1

k:	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	2		
i:	1	1	2	2	3	3	4	4	5	6	rhs
Min	0	0.4	0	0.2391	0	0.0974	0	-0.0271	0.0865	0 0	-0.3374
	1	1	0	-0.0363	0	-0.0285	0	-0.0202	0.0079	0 0	0.0079
	0	0	1	0.6064	0	-0.5716	0	-0.4052	0.1580	0 0	0.1580
	0	0	0	-0.0426	1	0.8159	0	-0.6874	0.2681	0 0	0.2681
	0	0	0	0.3449	0	0.4703	1	1.5992	0.3762	0 0	0.3762
	0	0	0	0.0966	0	0.2716	0	0.3697	0.3957	1 0	0.1557
	0	0	0	0.0310	0	0.0423	0	0.1439	-0.2061	0 1	0.0338

↑  
pivot  
column

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Iteration 1

Policy: (Cost= 0.337422 )

	State	Action	P{i}
1	0 waiting	1 wait another minute	0.00790216
2	1 waiting	1 wait another minute	0.158043
3	2 waiting	1 wait another minute	0.268109
4	3 waiting	1 wait another minute	0.376294
5	4 waiting	2 process batch	0.155786
6	$\geq 5$ waiting	2 process batch	0.0338664

Iteration 2

k:	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	2		
i:	1	1	2	2	3	3	4	4	5	6	rhs
Min	0	0.4	0	0.2450	0	0.1054	0	0.0169	0	0.0929	0 0
	1	1	0	-0.0319	0	-0.0226	0	0.0126	0	0.0126	0 0
	0	0	1	0.6938	0	-0.4524	0	0.2533	0	0.2533	0 0
	0	0	0	0.1055	1	1.0181	0	0.4298	0	0.4298	0 0
	0	0	0	0.2156	0	0.2941	0	0.6252	1	0.2352	0 0
	0	0	0	0.0168	0	0.1628	-0.2312	0	0.3087	1 0	0.06877
	0	0	0	0	0	0	-0.09	0	-0.24	0 1	0

Reduced costs are nonnegative!

Optimal Policy!

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Iteration 2

Policy: (Cost= 0.331041 )

	State	Action	P{i}
2	0 waiting	1 wait another minute	0.0126697
2	1 waiting	1 wait another minute	0.253394
3	2 waiting	1 wait another minute	0.429864
4	3 waiting	2 process batch	0.235294
5	4 waiting	2 process batch	0.0687783
6	$\geq 5$ waiting	2 process batch	0

Optimal Policy!



©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998