# **Discrete-Time Markov Chains**

Models uncertainty in real-world systems that evolve dynamically in time.

Devised by the Russian mathematician A.A. Markov about 100 years ago to model the alternation of vowels and consonants in Pushkin's poetry.

#### **Basic concepts**

- ♦ states
- ♦ transition between states
- ◆ "Markovian" property: the future probabilistic behavior of the system depends *only* upon the present state of the system and *not* on any past history.

DTMC page 1 ©D.Bricker, U. of Iowa, 2001

#### Terminology & Notation:

- $p_{ij} = P\{X_{n+1} = j \mid X_n = i\}$ : (stationary) transition probability that the system is next in state j if it is now in state i.
- $p_{ij}^{(n)} = P\{X_n = j \mid X_0 = i\}$ : n-stage probability, i.e., probability that, at stage n, the system is in state j, given that it is initially in state i. Note that  $p_{ij}^{(1)} \equiv p_{ij}$ .
- $\pi_i = \lim_{n \to \infty} p_{ki}^{(n)}$ , steadystate (equilibrium) distribution of the state of the system, independent of the initial state k
- ① Note that the existence of the limiting steadystate distribution depends upon characteristics of the Markov chain, as described later!

#### Definition:

The stochastic process  $\{X_n, n=0,1,2,...\}$  with state space I is a discrete-time Markov chain if, for each n=0,1,2,...

$$P\{X_{n+1} = j \mid X_0 = i_0, X_1 = i_1, ..., X_n = i_n\} = P\{X_{n+1} = j \mid X_n = i_n\} = p_{i-1}^{n,n+1}$$

for all possible values of  $i_0$ ,  $i_1$ , ...  $i_{n+1}$ .

We will consider only *stationary* (time-homogeneous) transition probabilities, that is, one-step transition probabilities

$$P\{X_{n+1} = j \mid X_n = i\} = p_{ij}$$

independent of the time parameter n.

DTMC page 2 ©D.Bricker, U. of Iowa, 2001

#### Terminology & Notation, continued

- $N_{ij}$  = first-passage time (a random variable): number of stages required to reach state j for the *first* time, given that the process begins in state i
- $f_{ij}^{(n)} = P\{N_{ij} = n\}$ : first-passage probability, the probability distribution of  $N_{ij}$
- $f_{ij} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{ij}^{(n)}$ : probability that a system which is initially in state i will eventually be found in state j.
- $m_{ij} = E\{N_{ij}\}$ : mean first-passage time, the expected value of  $N_{ij}$

DTMC page 3 ©D.Bricker, U. of Iowa, 2001 DTMC page 4 ©D.Bricker, U. of Iowa, 2001

Define the *n*-step transition probabilities

$$p_{ij}^{(n)} = P\{X_n = j \mid X_0 = i\}$$

That is,  $p_{ij}^{(n)}$  is the probability that, if the system begins (at time n=0) in state i, it will be found in state j after n transitions.

Note that generally  $p_{ij}^{(n)} \neq (p_{ij})^n$ ! If, however, we form the matrix P with element  $p_{ij}$  in row i & column j, then we will find that  $p_{ij}^{(n)}$  is the element in row  $i \& \text{column } j \text{ of } P^n$ , i.e., the n<sup>th</sup> power of P. This is the essence of the *Chapman-Kolmogoroff equations*.

Chapman-Kolmogoroff Equations

For all stages n and m, and states i &  $j \in I$ ,

$$p_{ij}^{(n+m)} = \sum_{k \in I} p_{ik}^{(n)} p_{kj}^{(m)}$$

Essentially, this simply states that  $P^{n+m} = P^n P^m$ .

① Example: (s,S) inventory replenishment system

State of system = inventory level, which is reviewed periodically, e.g., at end of business day

Random demands result in transition probability distributions

If inventory  $\leq$  s, the inventory is replenished so as to raise the inventory level to S.

DTMC page 5 ©D.Bricker, U. of Iowa, 2001

# First-Passage Times First-Passage Times

First-Passage Time  $N_{ij}$ : (a random variable) the number of stages required to reach state j for the first time, given that the system begins in state i.

That is,

$$N_{ii} = n \Leftrightarrow X_0 = i, X_k \neq j, \forall k < n, \text{ and } X_n = j$$

Denote by  $f_{ij}^{(n)} = P\{N_{ij} = n\}$  the first-passage probabilities, i.e., the probability distribution of  $N_{ij}$ .

Note that  $f_{ij}^{(1)} = p_{ij} \equiv p_{ij}^{(1)}$  but that, in general,  $f_{ij}^{(n)} \le p_{ij}^{(n)}$ .

©D Bricker U. of Iowa 2001

## One may compute the probabilities $f_{ii}^{(n)}$ recursively.

Given that the initial state  $X_0$  is i, express the probability that the system is in state j at the nth-step by conditioning upon the state k at which the system  $\it first$  reaches state j, using the "Law of Total Probability" which states that

 $p_{ij}^{(n)} = \sum_{k \le n} P\{X_n = j \mid \text{first visit to state j is in stage k}\} P\{\text{first visit to state j is in stage k}\}$ 

$$= \sum_{k \le n} p_{jj}^{(n-k)} \times f_{ij}^{(k)} = \sum_{k < n} p_{jj}^{(n-k)} \times f_{ij}^{(k)} + p_{jj}^{(0)} f_{ij}^{(n)} = \sum_{k < n} p_{jj}^{(n-k)} \times f_{ij}^{(k)} + f_{ij}^{(n)}$$

Solve this equation for  $f_{ii}^{(n)}$ :

DTMC

$$f_{ij}^{(n)} = p_{ij}^{(n)} - \sum_{k < n} p_{jj}^{(n-k)} f_{ij}^{(k)} \text{ where } f_{ij}^{(1)} \equiv p_{ij}$$

Thus, the first-passage probabilities can be computed *recursively*, given sufficient powers of the matrix P.

①Cf. (s,S) inventory replenishment system

DTMC page 7 ©D.Bricker, U. of Iowa, 2001 DTMC page 8 ©D.Bricker, U. of Iowa, 2001

## Mean First-Passage Times Mean First-Passage Times

The expected value of the first-passage time is defined by the infinite sum:

$$m_{ij} \equiv E\left\{N_{ij}\right\} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n f_{ij}^{(n)}$$

The **mean first passage time** can be computed approximately by including a large number of terms in the sum.

Fortunately there is another method which requires solving a finite system of linear equations.

DTMC page 9 ©D.Bricker, U. of Iowa, 2001

① Cf. (s,S) inventory replenishment example.

The mean first passage times can more conveniently be computed by using the "Law of Total Expectation":

$$\begin{split} E\left\{N_{ij}\right\} &= \sum_{k \in I} E\left\{N_{ij} \mid X_1 = k\right\} \times P\left\{X_1 = k\right\} \\ &= E\left\{N_{ij} \mid X_1 = j\right\} P\left\{X_1 = j\right\} + \sum_{k \neq j} E\left\{N_{ij} \mid X_1 = k\right\} P\left\{X_1 = k\right\} \\ &= 1 \times p_{ij} + \sum_{k \neq j} \left[1 + E\left\{N_{kj}\right\}\right] \times p_{ik} \end{split}$$

That is,

$$E\left\{N_{ij}\right\} = p_{ij} + \sum_{k \neq j} p_{ik} + \sum_{k \neq j} E\left\{N_{kj}\right\} p_{ik}$$

$$m_{ij} = 1 + \sum_{k \neq i} p_{ik} m_{kj}$$

For fixed j, this gives us a system of n linear equations in n variables,  $m_{kj}$ ,  $k \in I$ , where n = |I|.

DTMC page 10 ©D.Bricker, U. of Iowa, 2001

# Classification of States


We will restrict our attention to Markov chains with a *finite* number of states.

Define  $f_{ij} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{ij}^{(n)}$ , the probability that the Markov chain will eventually be found in state j if it begins in state i.

State i of a Markov chain may be classified as

- ♦ **recurrent** if  $f_{ii} = 1$ , i.e., the system is certain to return to state i if it begins in state i
- *transient* if  $f_{ii}$  < 1, i.e., there is positive probability that the system, beginning in state i, fails to return to this state.

DTMC page 11 ©D.Bricker, U. of Iowa, 2001 DTMC page 12 ©D.Bricker, U. of Iowa, 2001



If state i is recurrent, and states i & j communicate, then state j is recurrent.

A set of states is *closed* if no state not in the set is reachable from a state in the set in the set

A minimal closed set is a closed set which has no closed proper subsets.

The closed sets are

{1,2,3,4,5,6,7}
{1,2,3}
{1,2,3,4,6,7}
{7}


Note: "minimal" does not refer to the cardinality of the set.... two minimal closed sets may have different cardinality!

A minimal closed set is also said to be irreducible.

DTMC page 14 ©D.Bricker, U. of Iowa, 2001

The concept of minimal closed set gives us another characterization of recurrent states:

page 13



In a Markov chain with finitely many states, a member of a minimal closed set is *recurrent* and other states are *transient* 

©D.Bricker, U. of Iowa, 2001

States 1,2,3, & 7 are recurrent.

DTMC


### Absorbing States

A state which forms a closed set, i.e., which cannot reach another state, is said to be *absorbing*.

If state j is absorbing, then

$$\mathbf{p}_{jj} = \mathbf{p}_{jj}^{(n)} = 1$$

for all n=1, 2, ...



DTMC page 15 ©D.Bricker, U. of Iowa, 2001 DTMC page 16 ©D.Bricker, U. of Iowa, 2001

If a Markov chain has absorbing states, the states might be reordered so that the transition probability matrix P is of the form

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} Q & R \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$$

where the size of the identity matrix I is the number of absorbing states.

When there are more than one absorbing state, a question which is frequently of interest is

"If the system begins in a transient state i, what is the probability that the system eventually reaches (and hence is absorbed) into state j?"

#### **Absorption Probabilities**

When there are r>0 absorbing states, the powers of the transition probability matrix P will be of the form

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} Q & R \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}, P^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} Q^{2} & R + QR \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}, P^{3} = \begin{bmatrix} Q^{3} & R + QR + Q^{2}R \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\dots P^{n} = \begin{bmatrix} Q^{n} & \left( R + QR + Q^{2}R + \dots + Q^{n-1}R \right) \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Q^{n} & \left( I + Q + Q^{2} + \dots + Q^{n-1} \right)R \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$$

But the series

$$(I-Q)(I+Q+Q^2+Q^3+\cdots)=I-Q+Q-Q^2+Q^2-Q^3+Q^3-\cdots=I$$

That is, the infinite series is the inverse of the difference (I-Q):

$$(I-Q)^{-1} = I + Q + Q^2 + Q^3 + \cdots$$

DTMC page 17 ©D.Bricker, U. of Iowa, 2001

DTMC page 18 ©D.Bricker, U. of Iowa, 2001

Define the limit

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P^n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \begin{bmatrix} Q^n & \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} Q^k\right) R \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & ER \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $E = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q^k$ .

That is, the square matrix  $Q^n$  consists of the n-step transition probabilities from a transient state to another transient state, and the  $(n-r]\times r$  matrix A=ER consists of the probabilities of absorption into an absorbing state, beginning from a transient state.

Let states i & j both be transient, and define

 $e_{ij} \ = \mbox{expected \# of visits to state j, given that} \\ \mbox{the system begins in state i} \\ \mbox{(counting initial visit if i=j)}$ 

$$\mathbf{e}_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{p}_{ij}^{(n)}$$

and the  $r \times r$  matrix:

$$E = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q^{n} = (I - Q)^{-1}$$

since 
$$(I - Q)(I + Q + Q^2 + ...) = I + Q - Q + Q^2 - Q^2 + ... = I$$

DTMC page 19 ©D.Bricker, U. of Iowa, 2001 DTMC page 20 ©D.Bricker, U. of Iowa, 2001


#### See examples:

- ◆ Markov chain analysis of a multistage manufacturing system with inspection and reworking. What fraction of the parts which begin the process are eventually scrapped?
- "Passing the Buck"-- what fraction of the operating expenses of a service facility should be allocated to the production units?

#### **Periodicity**

DTMC

The *period* d(i) of state i is the greatest common divisor of all the integers  $n \ge 1$  for which



If  $i \leftrightarrow j$ , then d(i)=d(j).

A Markov chain with d(i)=1 for all i is called aperiodic

DTMC page 21 ©D.Bricker, U. of Iowa, 2001

## Conditions for Existence of Steadystate Distribution

The **Unichain Assumption** concerning a finite-state Markov chain:

The Markov chain has only one minimal closed set of recurrent states and a (possibly empty) set of transient states.

#### Theorem

Let  $\{X_n\}$  be a finite-state aperiodic Markov chain satisfying the Unichain Assumption. Then there exists a probability distribution  $\pi$  such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} = \pi_j$$
 for all j=1,2,...n

## Characterization of the Steadystate Distribution

©D.Bricker, U. of Iowa, 2001

Consider a finite-state aperiodic Markov chain satisfying the Unichain Assumption. Then the limiting distribution  $\pi$  in the previous theorem satisfies the *equilibrium conditions* 

$$\pi_{j} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \pi_{k} p_{kj}$$
 for each j=1,2,...n

or, in matrix representation,

$$\pi = \pi P$$

The vector  $\mathbf{x}$ =0 satisfies these equilibrium conditions; furthermore, if x is a solution, then any scalar multiple of x also satisfies the equations. However, adding the *normalizing* equation

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \pi_{j} = 1$$

uniquely determines the limiting distribution.

DTMC page 23 ©D.Bricker, U. of Iowa, 2001 DTMC page 24 ©D.Bricker, U. of Iowa, 2001

# Computing the Steadystate Distribution

The steadystate equations may be found by solving the system of linear equations

$$\begin{cases} \pi = \pi P \\ \sum_{i} \pi_{i} = 1 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \left( I - P \right)^{T} \pi = 0 \\ \sum_{i} \pi_{i} = 1 \end{cases}$$

#### Notes:

- ◆ The coefficients in each row of the system are obtained from the *columns* of P!
- The equations  $\pi = \pi P$  are not full row rank, and include one *redundant* equation—any one of the equations may be discarded.
- ◆ The system may be solved by Gauss elimination; if extremely large, Gauss-Seidel (successive overrelaxation, SOR) methods may be advantageous.

DTMC page 25 ©D.Bricker, U. of Iowa, 2001

Discarding (arbitrarily) the 1<sup>st</sup> equation and applying **Gauss elimination**:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -0.5 & 0.8 & -0.2 & 0 \\ -0.1 & -0.5 & 0.8 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1.6 & 0.4 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.66 & 0.84 & 0 \\ 0 & 2.6 & 0.6 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1.6 & 0.4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1.27273 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3.90909 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1.6 & 0.4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1.27273 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.25581 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then **back-substitution** yields the solution:

$$\begin{cases} \pi_3 = 0.25581 \\ \pi_2 = 1.27273\pi_2 = 0.32558 \\ \pi_1 = 1.6\pi_2 - 0.4\pi_3 = 0.41861 \end{cases}$$

DTMC page 27 ©D.Bricker, U. of Iowa, 2001

#### Example

Consider the Markov chain with transition probability matrix

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 0.4 & 0.5 & 0.1 \\ 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.5 \\ 0.6 & 0.2 & 0.2 \end{bmatrix}$$

The system of equations determining the steadystate distribution is

$$\begin{cases} \pi = \pi P \\ \sum_{i}^{n} \pi_{i} = 1 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \pi_{1} = 0.4\pi_{1} + 0.3\pi_{2} + 0.6\pi_{3} \\ \pi_{2} = 0.5\pi_{1} + 0.2\pi_{2} + 0.2\pi_{3} \\ \pi_{3} = 0.1\pi_{1} + 0.5\pi_{2} + 0.2\pi_{3} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} 0.6\pi_{1} - 0.3\pi_{2} - 0.6\pi_{3} = 0 \\ -0.5\pi_{1} + 0.8\pi_{2} - 0.2\pi_{3} = 0 \\ -0.1\pi_{1} - 0.5\pi_{2} + 0.8\pi_{3} = 0 \\ \pi_{1} + \pi_{2} + \pi_{3} = 1 \end{cases}$$

DTMC page 26 ©D.Bricker, U. of Iowa, 2001