






SIGNOMIAL GEOMETRIC PROGRAMMING



This Hypercard stack was prepared by:
Dennis L. Bricker,
Dept. of Industrial Engineering,
University of Iowa,
Iowa City, Iowa 52242
e-mail: dbricker@icaen.uiowa.edu

-  Signomial Functions
-  Signomial GP Model
-  Dual of Signomial GP
-  Condensation of Signomial Constraint
-  Successive Approximation Method

Signomial Function

differs from a POSYNOMIAL FUNCTION
in that the coefficients need not be positive.

$$g_k(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i \in [k]} \sigma_i c_i \prod_{j=1}^m x_j^{a_{ij}}$$

where c_i = absolute value of coefficient
 σ_i = sign of coefficient (+1 or -1)

©D.Bricker, U.of IA, 1998

EXAMPLE

$$g_0(\mathbf{x}) = 2x_1^2 x_2^{-1} - 5x_1 x_2$$

For this signomial:

$$\sigma_1 = +1 \quad \sigma_2 = -1$$

$$c_1 = 2 \quad c_2 = 5$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

©D.Bricker, U.of IA, 1998

Primal Signomial GP Problem

Minimize $g_0(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$

subject to $g_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \leq \zeta_k, k=1, 2, \dots, p$

$x_j > 0, j=1, 2, \dots, m$

where $g_k(x) = \sum_{i \in [k]} \sigma_i c_i \prod_{j=1}^m x_j^{a_{ij}}$



signs

$\sigma_i = \pm 1 \forall i$

$\zeta_k = \pm 1 \forall k$

©D.Bricker, U.of IA, 1998

EXAMPLE

Minimize $-2x_1x_2x_3^4x_4^{-1} + x_2^{-1}x_3^{-1} + 5x_1^{1/2}x_4$

subject to $-x_4^{1/2} + x_2^{1/3}x_3 \leq -1$

$x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 > 0$

$$\sigma = [-1, +1, +1, -1, +1]$$

$$c = [2, 1, 5, 1, 1]$$

$$\zeta_0 = ? \quad (\text{sign of objective at optimum})$$

$$\zeta_1 = -1$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 4 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1/2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 1/3 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

©D.Bricker, U.of IA, 1998

**"pseudo" Dual
Signomial
GP Problem**

Maximize $\zeta_0 \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{c_i}{\delta_i} \right)^{\sigma_i} \delta_i \prod_{k=1}^p \lambda_k^{\zeta_k \lambda_k} \right]^{\zeta_0}$
 subject to

$$\sum_{i \in [k]} \sigma_i \delta_i = \zeta_k \lambda_k, \quad k=0, 1, \dots, p$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i a_{ij} \delta_i = 0, \quad j=1, 2, \dots, m$$

$$\delta_i \geq 0, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$\lambda_0 = 1$$

$$\lambda_k \geq 0, \quad k=1, 2, \dots, p$$



©D.Bricker, U.of IA, 1998

For every locally minimum \hat{x} of the primal signomial GP problem, there exists a dual feasible solution $(\hat{\delta}, \hat{\lambda})$ and sign ζ_0 such that

$$g_0(\hat{x}) = v(\hat{\delta}, \hat{\lambda})$$

Furthermore, \hat{x} and $(\hat{\delta}, \hat{\lambda})$ are related by

$$c_i \prod_j \hat{x}_j^{a_{ij}} = \zeta_0 \hat{\delta}_i g_0(\hat{x}) \quad \forall i \in [0]$$

$$c_i \prod_j \hat{x}_j^{a_{ij}} = \frac{\hat{\delta}_i}{\hat{\lambda}_k} \quad \forall i \in [k], k \geq 1$$

©D.Bricker, U.of IA, 1998

A *Weak Duality* theorem would say that

$$g_0(\mathbf{x}) \geq v(\delta, \lambda)$$

for any primal-feasible \mathbf{x}
and dual-feasible δ, λ

This is *NOT* true of the
pseudo-dual
signomial GP problem!

EXAMPLE

Minimize $-2x_1x_2x_3^4x_4^{-1} + x_2^{-1}x_3^{-1} + 5x_1^{1/2}x_4$
subject to $-x_4^{1/2} + x_2^{1/3}x_3 \leq -1$
 $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 > 0$

$$\text{Max } \zeta_0 \left[\left(\frac{2}{\delta_1} \right)^{-\delta_1} \left(\frac{1}{\delta_2} \right)^{\delta_2} \left(\frac{5}{\delta_3} \right)^{\delta_3} \left(\frac{1}{\delta_4} \right)^{-\delta_4} \left(\frac{1}{\delta_5} \right)^{\delta_5} \lambda_1^{-\lambda_1} \right]^{\zeta_0}$$

pseudo-dual objective

$$\delta_i \geq 0, i=1, \dots, 5; \lambda_1 \geq 0$$

EXAMPLE

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Minimize} \quad & -2x_1x_2x_3^4x_4^{-1} + x_2^{-1}x_3^{-1} + 5x_1^{1/2}x_4 \\ \text{subject to} \quad & -x_4^{1/2} + x_2^{1/3}x_3 \leq -1 \\ & x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 > 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_3 &= \zeta_0 \\ -\delta_4 + \delta_5 &= -\lambda_1 \end{aligned}$$

©D.Bricker, U.of IA, 1998

EXAMPLE

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Minimize} \quad & -2x_1x_2x_3^4x_4^{-1} + x_2^{-1}x_3^{-1} + 5x_1^{1/2}x_4 \\ \text{subject to} \quad & -x_4^{1/2} + x_2^{1/3}x_3 \leq -1 \\ & x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 > 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\delta_1 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_3 &= 0 \\ -\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \frac{1}{3}\delta_5 &= 0 \\ -4\delta_1 - \delta_2 + \delta_5 &= 0 \\ \delta_1 + \delta_3 - \frac{1}{2}\delta_4 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

*Orthogonality
Constraints*

©D.Bricker, U.of IA, 1998

$$\text{Maximize } \zeta_0 \left[\left(\frac{2}{\delta_1} \right)^{-\delta_1} \left(\frac{1}{\delta_2} \right)^{\delta_2} \left(\frac{5}{\delta_3} \right)^{\delta_3} \left(\frac{1}{\delta_4} \right)^{-\delta_4} \left(\frac{1}{\delta_5} \right)^{\delta_5} \lambda_1^{-\lambda_1} \right]^{\zeta_0}$$

subject to

$$\begin{aligned} -\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_3 &= \zeta_0 \\ &- \delta_4 + \delta_5 = -\lambda_1 \\ -\delta_1 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_3 &= 0 \\ -\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \frac{1}{3}\delta_5 &= 0 \\ -4\delta_1 - \delta_2 + \delta_5 &= 0 \\ \delta_1 + \delta_3 - \frac{1}{2}\delta_4 &= 0 \\ \delta_i \geq 0, i=1, \dots, 5; \lambda_1 &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

*Pseudo-Dual
Signomial GP
Problem*

terms = 5;
primal variables = 4

\Rightarrow degree of
difficulty
= zero

©D.Bricker, U.of IA, 1998

Let's guess that $\zeta_0 = +1$, i.e., that the optimal primal objective is positive...

The unique solution of the dual constraints is

$$\delta_1^* = 2/3, \delta_2^* = 1/3, \delta_3^* = 4/3, \delta_4^* = 4, \delta_5^* = 3, \lambda_1^* = 1$$

Since $\delta_i \geq 0$ & $\lambda_1 \geq 0$, the guess $\zeta_0 = +1$ was correct.

$$v(\delta^*, \lambda^*) = \left(\frac{2}{2/3} \right)^{-2/3} \left(\frac{1}{1/3} \right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{5}{4/3} \right)^{4/3} \left(\frac{1}{4} \right)^{-4} \left(\frac{1}{3} \right)^3 1^{-1} = 38.322$$

Computing the Primal Optimum

$$\begin{cases} x_1^* = 0.4079 \\ x_2^* = 0.004216 \\ x_3^* = 18.57 \\ x_4^* = 16 \end{cases}$$

©D.Bricker, U.of IA, 1998

Example

Maximize $5x_1^2 - x_2^2 x_3^4$

subject to

$$\frac{-5x_1^2}{x_2^2} + \frac{3x_3}{x_2} \geq 2$$

$$x_1 > 0, x_2 > 0, x_3 > 0$$

©D.Bricker, U.of IA, 1998