## Examples

[5] Water Allocation
[as Production Planning
[5] Transportation Problem (random demand)
([-5) 2-Stage Stochastic Programming

## EXAMPLE

A water system manager

# Water Resources Planning <br> Under Uncertainty 

 must allocate water from a stream to three users:- municipality
- industrial concern
- agricultural sector
$\square$
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| Use | Request | Net Benefit <br> per unit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Municipality | 2 | 100 |
| 2. Industrial | 3 | 50 |
| 3. Agricultural | 5 | 30 |

Let $X_{i}=$ amount of water allocated to use \#i
The optimal allocation might be found by solving the LP:

Max $100 \mathrm{X}_{1}+50 \mathrm{X}_{2}+30 \mathrm{X}_{3}$ subject to $\mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{X}_{2}+\mathrm{X}_{3} \leq \mathrm{Q}$

But the decision must be made before the quantity $Q$ of the available water is known!

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \leq \mathrm{X}_{1} \leq 2 \\
& 0 \leq \mathrm{X}_{2} \leq 3 \\
& 0 \leq \mathrm{X}_{3} \leq 5
\end{aligned}
$$

## Max $100 \mathrm{X}_{1}+50 \mathrm{X}_{2}+30 \mathrm{X}_{3}$ <br> Random variable with known probability distribution

How should the water be
allocated before the quantity avallable is known?

| Streamflow Distribution |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{i}$ | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathbf{i}}$ | $\mathrm{P}\left\{\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{q}_{\mathbf{i}}\right\}$ |
| 1 | 4 | $20 \%$ |
| 2 | 10 | $60 \%$ |
| 3 | 17 | $20 \%$ |


| Use | Request | Loss per <br> unit shortfall |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Municipality | 2 | 250 |
| 2. Industrial | 3 | 75 |
| 3. Agricultural | 5 | 60 |

If more water is promised than can be later delivered, then a loss results from the need either to acquire alternative sources
\&/or to reduce consumption.
What is the "optimal" quantity to allocate to each
use, if $Q$ is not yet $t_{\mathbb{K}}$ known?

## EXAMPLE

## Production Planning with <br> Uncertain Resources

Par, Inc., a manufacturer of golf bags, must schedule production for the next quarter.
$\because$

## PRODUCTION TIME/BAG IN EACH DEPARTMENT

| product |  <br> Dyeing | Sewing | Finishing | Inspect <br> Package |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard | $7 / 10 \mathrm{hr}$ | $1 / 2 \mathrm{hr}$ | 1 hr | $1 / 10 \mathrm{hr}$ |
| Deluxe | 1 hr | $5 / 6 \mathrm{hr}$ | $2 / 3 \mathrm{hr}$ | $1 / 4 \mathrm{hr}$ |

The company can sell as many bags as can be produced at a profit of $\$ 10$ per standard bag and $\$ 9$ per deluxe bag.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Max } 10 \mathrm{X}_{1}+9 \mathrm{X}_{2} \\
\text { subject to } 7 / 10 \mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{X}_{2} \leq 630 \\
1 / 2 \mathrm{X}_{1}+5 / 6 \mathrm{X}_{2} \leq 600 \\
\mathrm{X}_{1}+2 / 3 \mathrm{X}_{2} \leq 708 \\
1 / 10 \mathrm{X}_{1}+1 / 4 \mathrm{X}_{2} \leq 135 \\
\mathrm{X}_{1} \geq 0, \mathrm{X}_{2} \geq 0
\end{array}
$$

| Dept. | Available <br> hrs. |
| :--- | :---: |
| C\&D | 630 |
| SEW | 600 |
| FIN | 708 |
| I\&P | 135 |

Based upon current commitments, the hours available
in each department for the next quarter are computed. However, the firm has submitted bids on two contracts, which if successful would reduce the hours avalable for producing golf bags.

| Contract | probability | Production Hours Reqd |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | C \&D | SEW | FIN | I\&P |
| $\# 1$ | $50 \%$ | 50 | 40 | 80 | 10 |
| $\# 2$ | $40 \%$ | 30 | 50 | 70 | 15 |

A production schedule for standard \& deluxe bags must be chosen before learning which contracts, if any, were awarded to the firm. Afterwards, the production schedule may be modified somewhat, but extra costs are incurred in doing so...

For each scenario, we compute the available hours in each department (subtracting the hours used to fill any contracts which are won)

Available hrs.

|  | scenario |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dept. | \#0 | \#1 | \#2 | \#3 |
| C\&D | 630 | 580 | 600 | 550 |
| SEW | 600 | 560 | 550 | 510 |
| FIN | 708 | 628 | 638 | 558 |
| I\&P | 135 | 125 | 120 | 110 |

## Recourses

- Scheduling overtime in C\&D at $\$ 5 / \mathrm{hr}$ SEW at $\$ 6 / \mathrm{hr}$ FIN at $\$ 8 / \mathrm{hr}$ I\&P at \$4/hr (only 100 hrs OT available in FIN)
- Schedule additional production of standard bags, at a reduced profit of $\$ 8 /$ bag
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## Linear Constraints

Sequence of Events

- x is selected by the decision-maker
- the random variable b is observed
- the decision-maker must choose y so as to satisfy constraint, i.e.

$$
\mathrm{By}=\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{Ax}
$$


$c x+d y$

## Second-Stage Problem

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\phi(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{~b})=\underset{\text { s.t. } \mathrm{By}=\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{Ax}}{\text { Minimum dy }}
\end{array}
$$

Since b is a random variable, so also is $\phi(x, b)$ for fixed $x$.
both x\&bare fixed

## First-Stage Problem

Minimize the sum of the first-stage cost and the expected cost of the 2nd stage:

Minimize $c x+\mathrm{E}_{6}[\phi(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{b})]$

$\mathrm{E}_{6}[\phi(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{b})]$ is the expected cost of the second stage, for fixed $x$
This is generally a nonlinear, but convex, function of $x$.

## Discrete RHS distribution

Suppose that the right-hand-side vector $b$ is "drawn" from a finite set of possible RHSs $\left\{b^{1}, b^{2}, \ldots . b^{k}\right\}$ with probabilities $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots p_{k}$.

Define a second-stage (recourse) vector for each of the possible RHSs: $y^{1}, y^{2}, \ldots y^{k}$
Then the recourses must be selected so that given the first-stage decision $x$, this system of equations is satisfied:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{A} x+B y^{1}= & b^{1} \\
\mathrm{Ax}+\mathrm{B} \mathrm{y}^{2}= & \mathrm{b}^{2} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\mathrm{Ax}+\mathrm{B} y^{k}= & b^{k}
\end{array}\right.
$$
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Notice the b/ock-angu/ar structure of the coefficient matrix...

|  | Question: Could the Dantzig-Ho/fe decomposition technique be used in order to decompose |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimize cx $+p_{1} d y^{1}+p_{2} d y^{2}+\ldots+p_{k} d y^{k}$ |  | this prob/em into $s m a / / e r$ |
| subject to | $A x+B y^{1} \quad=b^{1}$ | subproblems? |
|  | $A x+B y^{2} \quad=b^{2}$ |  |
|  | $A x \quad+B y^{3}=b^{3}$ |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{Ax} \quad+\mathrm{By}=\mathrm{b}^{k}$ |  |
|  | $x \geq 0, y^{1} \geq 0, \ldots, y^{k} \geq 0$ |  |

Dual of the 2-stage stochastic LP problem:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Maximize } b^{1} \mathbf{u}^{1}+b^{2} \mathbf{u}^{2}+\ldots+b^{k} \mathbf{u}^{k} \\
& \text { subject to } A^{\top} \mathbf{u}^{1}+A^{\top} \mathbf{u}^{2}+\ldots+A^{\top} \mathbf{u}^{k} \leq c \\
& B^{\top} \mathbf{u}^{1} \\
& \begin{array}{llr}
\mathrm{B}^{\top} \mathbf{u}^{2} & & \leq \mathrm{p}_{2} \mathrm{~d} \\
& \ddots & \mathrm{~B}^{\top} \mathbf{u}^{k} \leq \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathbf{d}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

a/f ramables unrestrictedin sign
This problem has a structure for which Dantzig-Wolfe decomposition is appropriate!

## Dual of the 2-stage stochastic LP problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Maximize } b^{1} u^{1}+b^{2} u^{2}+\ldots+b^{k} u^{k} \\
& \text { subject to } A^{\top} \mathbf{u}^{1}+A^{\top} \mathbf{u}^{2}+\ldots+A^{\top} u^{k} \leq c \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{rrr}
B^{\top} \mathbf{u}^{1} & & \leq p_{1} d \\
& B^{\top} u^{2} & \\
& \ddots & p_{2} d
\end{array} \\
& \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { subject to } A^{\top} u^{1}+A^{\top} u^{2}+\ldots+A^{\top} u^{k} \leq c \neq l i n k i n g \text { constraints }
$$

a// ma/jables w/nesficted i/ sigh

## Subproblem for Block \# i

Maximize $\left(b^{i}-\omega A^{\top}\right) \mathbf{u}^{i}-\alpha_{i}$

$$
\text { subject to } \quad B^{\top} u^{i} \leq p_{i} d
$$

where $\omega$ is the simplex multiplier vector for the linking constraints, and $\alpha_{i}$ is the simplex multiplier vector for convexity constraint \#i

These subproblems all have the same matrix of constraint coefficients, and the constraint right-handside vectors are all scalar multiples of the same vector d .
קאן

## Solution

## Water Allocation Problem

Define second-stage (recourse) variables

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y_{i}=\text { amount of shortfall in water delivered } \\
& \text { to user } \mathbf{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Max $100 \mathrm{X}_{1}+50 \mathrm{X}_{2}+30 \mathrm{X}_{3}$
 expected pensties tor shorto/
$-E_{Q}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\min 250 Y_{1}+75 Y_{2}+60 Y_{3} \\ \text { s.t. } \\ Y_{1}+Y_{2}+Y_{3} \geq X_{1}+X_{2}+X_{3}-Q \\ 0 \leq Y_{1} \leq X_{1}, 0 \leq Y_{2} \leq X_{2}, 0 \leq Y_{3} \leq X_{3}\end{array}\right\}$ $\because$
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Define a separate recourse variable for each possible outcome:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y_{i}^{j}=\begin{array}{l}
\text { amount of shortfall in water delivered } \\
\text { to user } i \text { if } Q=q_{j}
\end{array} .
\end{aligned}
$$

/n our "deterministic"LP formulation of the problem, then, we must simultaneously select the recourse (i.e., the user who will be denied the promised water) for each of the possible streamflows!

## EQUIVALENT DETERMINISTIC LP

Max $100 \mathrm{X}_{1}+50 \mathrm{X}_{2}+30 \mathrm{X}_{3}-0.2\left(250 \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{1}+75 \mathrm{Y}_{2}^{1}+60 \mathrm{Y}_{3}^{1}\right)$
$-0.6\left(250 \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{2}+75 \mathrm{Y}_{2}^{2}+60 \mathrm{Y}_{3}^{2}\right)-0.2\left(250 \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{3}+75 \mathrm{Y}_{2}^{3}+60 \mathrm{Y}_{3}^{3}\right)$
subject to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
X_{1}+X_{2}+X_{3}-Y_{1}^{1}-Y_{2}^{1}-Y_{3}^{1} \leq 4 \\
X_{1}+X_{2}+X_{3}-Y_{1}^{2}-Y_{2}^{2}-Y_{3}^{2} \leq 10 \\
X_{1}+X_{2}+X_{3}-Y_{1}^{3}-Y_{2}^{3}-Y_{3}^{3} \leq 17 \\
0 \leq Y_{1}^{k} \leq X_{1} \leq 2 \\
0 \leq Y_{2}^{k} \leq X_{2} \leq 3 \\
0 \leq Y_{3}^{k} \leq X_{3} \leq 5
\end{array}\right\} \forall \mathrm{K}=1,2,3
$$

## Optimal Solution

| Use i | Allocation $X_{i}$ | $\begin{array}{lcr} \mathrm{Q}=4 & 10 & 17 \\ \text { Shortfall in Delivery } \end{array}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $Y_{i}^{\prime}$ | $Y_{i}^{2}$ | $Y_{i}^{3}$ |
| 1 Municipal | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 Industrial | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 Agricultural | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |

Objective value $=100(2)+50(3)+30(5)-0.2[75(1)+60(5)]$

$$
=500-0.2(375)=425
$$

## Solution

probability

$\left.$| $0:$ neither bid is |
| :--- | ---: |
| successful |$\quad(1-0.5) \times(1-0.40)=0.30 \right\rvert\,$

Par, Inc.
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## Possible Outcomes <br> ("scenarios")

## Stage 1 Variables

$Y^{i}=\#$ standard bags added to next quarter's prod'n plan $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{CD}}^{\mathrm{i}}=$ hours overtime in cut\&dye
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathrm{i}}$ = hours overtime in sewing
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}^{1}=$ hours overtime in finishing
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{IP}}^{\mathrm{i}}=$ hours overtime in inspect\& pack

Scenario \#O: neither bid is successful

Second-stage problem ( X is fixed)

Max $8 \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{0}-5 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{CD}}^{0}-6 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{S}}^{0}-8 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{F}}^{0}-4 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{IP}}^{0}$
subject to $7 / 10 \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{0}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{CD}}^{0} \leq 630-\left[7 / 10 \mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{X}_{2}\right]$

$$
1 / 2 \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{0}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{S}}^{0} \leq 600-\left[1 / 2 \mathrm{X}_{1}+5 / 6 \mathrm{X}_{2}\right]
$$

$$
\mathrm{Y}_{1}^{0}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}^{0} \leq 708-\left[\mathrm{X}_{1}+2 / 3 \mathrm{X}_{2}\right]
$$

$$
1 / 10 \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{0}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{IP}}^{0} \leq 135-\left[1 / 10 \mathrm{X}_{1}+1 / 4 \mathrm{X}_{2}\right]
$$

$$
\mathrm{Y}_{1}^{0} \geq 0, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{CD}}^{0} \geq 0, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{CD}}^{0} \geq 0, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{S}}^{0} \geq 0,100 \geq \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}^{0} \geq 0, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{IP}}^{0} \geq 0
$$
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Scenario \#1: only bid \#1 is successful

## Second-stage problem ( $X$ is fixed)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Max } 8 \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{1}-5 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{CD}}^{1}-6 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{S}}^{1}-8 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{F}}^{1}-4 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{IP}}^{1} \\
& \text { subject to } 7 / 10 \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{1}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{CD}}^{1} \leq 630-50-\left[7 / 10 \mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{X}_{2}\right] \\
& 1 / 2 \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{1}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{S}}^{1} \leq 600-40-\left[1 / 2 \mathrm{X}_{1}+5 / 6 \mathrm{X}_{2}\right] \\
& \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{1}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}^{1} \leq 708-80-\left[\mathrm{X}_{1}+2 / 3 \mathrm{X}_{2}\right] \\
& 1 / 10 \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{1}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{IF}}^{1} \leq 135-10-\left[1 / 10 \mathrm{X}_{1}+1 / 4 \mathrm{X}_{2}\right] \\
& \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{1} \geq 0, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{CD}}^{1} \geq 0, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{CD}}^{1} \geq 0, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{S}}^{1} \geq 0,100 \geq \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}^{1} \geq 0, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{IP}}^{1} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Scenario \#2: only bid \#2 is successful

Second-stage problem ( $X$ is fixed)

Max $8 \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{2}-5 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{CD}}^{2}-6 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{S}}^{2}-8 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}-4 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{IF}}^{2}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { subject to } 7 / 10 \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{2}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{CD}}^{2} \leq 630-30-\left[7 / 10 \mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{X}_{2}\right] \\
1 / 2 \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{2}-\mathrm{T}_{S}^{2} \leq 600-50-\left[1 / 2 \mathrm{X}_{1}+5 / 6 \mathrm{X}_{2}\right] \\
\mathrm{Y}_{1}^{2}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}^{2} \leq 708-70-\left[\mathrm{X}_{1}+2 / 3 \mathrm{X}_{2}\right] \\
1 / 10 \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{2}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{IP}}^{2} \leq 135-15-\left[1 / 10 \mathrm{X}_{1}+1 / 4 \mathrm{X}_{2}\right] \\
\mathrm{Y}_{1}^{2} \geq 0, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{CD}}^{2} \geq 0, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{CD}}^{2} \geq 0, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{S}}^{2} \geq 0,100 \geq \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}^{2} \geq 0, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{IF}}^{2} \geq 0
\end{gathered}
$$
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Scenario \#3: both bids are successful

Second-stage problem ( X is fixed)

Max $8 \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{3}-5 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{CD}}^{3}-6 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{S}}^{3}-8 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{F}}^{3}-4 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{IP}}^{3}$
subject to $7 / 10 \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{3}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{CD}}^{3} \leq 630-50-30-\left[7 / 10 \mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{X}_{2}\right]$

$$
\begin{gathered}
1 / 2 \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{3}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{S}}^{3} \leq 600-40-50-\left[1 / 2 \mathrm{X}_{1}+5 / 6 \mathrm{X}_{2}\right] \\
\mathrm{Y}_{1}^{3}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}^{3} \leq 708-80-70-\left[\mathrm{X}_{1}+2 / 3 \mathrm{X}_{2}\right] \\
1 / 10 \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{3}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{IP}}^{3} \leq 135-10-15-\left[1 / 10 \mathrm{X}_{1}+1 / 4 \mathrm{X}_{2}\right] \\
\mathrm{Y}_{1}^{3} \geq 0, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{CD}}^{3} \geq 0, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{CD}}^{3} \geq 0, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{S}}^{3} \geq 0,100 \geq \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}^{3} \geq 0, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{IP}}^{3} \geq 0
\end{gathered}
$$
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## Objective

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Max} 10 \mathrm{X}_{1}+9 \mathrm{X}_{2} & +0.3\left(8 \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{0}-5 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{CD}}^{0}-6 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{S}}^{0}-8 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{F}}^{0}-4 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{IP}}^{0}\right) \\
& +0.3\left(8 \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{1}-5 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{CD}}^{1}-6 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{S}}^{1}-8 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{F}}^{1}-4 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{IP}}^{1}\right) \\
& +0.3\left(8 \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{2}-5 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{CD}}^{2}-6 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{S}}^{2}-8 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}-4 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{IP}}^{2}\right) \\
& +0.1\left(8 \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{3}-5 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{CD}}^{3}-6 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{S}}^{3}-8 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{F}}^{3}-4 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{IP}}^{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Equivalent Deterministic Linear Programming Model

| subject to | $7 / 10 \mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{X}_{2}+7 / 10 \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{0}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{CD}}^{0} \leq 630$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { scenario } \\ & \text { \#0 } \end{aligned}$ | $1 / 2 \mathrm{X}_{1}+5 / 6 \mathrm{X}_{2}+1 / 2 \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{0}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{S}}^{0} \leq 600$ |
|  | $\mathrm{X}_{1}+2 / 3 \mathrm{X}_{2}+\mathrm{Y}_{1}^{0}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}^{0} \leq 708$ |
|  | (1/10 $\mathrm{X}_{1}+1 / 4 \mathrm{X}_{2}+1 / 1 \mathrm{~V}_{1} \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{0}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{IP}}^{0} \leq 135$ |
| scenario \#1 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 7 / 10 \mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{X}_{2}+7 / 10 \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{1}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{CD}}^{1} \leq 580 \\ 1 / 2 \mathrm{X}_{1}+5 / 6 \mathrm{X}_{2}+1 / 2 \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{1}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{S}}^{1} \leq 560 \end{array}\right.$ |
|  | $\mathrm{X}_{1}+2 / 3 \mathrm{x}_{2}+\mathrm{Y}_{1}^{1}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}^{1} \leq 628$ |
|  | $1 / 10 \mathrm{X}_{1}+1 / 4 \mathrm{X}_{2}+1 / 10 \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{1}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{IP}}^{1} \leq 12$ |
|  |  |
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{r}
\text { scenario } \\
\text { \#2 }
\end{array}\left\{\begin{array}{r}
7 / 10 \mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{X}_{2}+7 / 10 \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{2}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{CD}}^{2} \leq 600 \\
1 / 2 \mathrm{X}_{1}+5 / 6 \mathrm{X}_{2}+1 / 2 \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{2}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{S}}^{2} \leq 550 \\
\mathrm{X}_{1}+2 / 6 \mathrm{X}_{2}+\mathrm{Y}_{1}^{2}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}^{2} \leq 638 \\
1 / 10 \mathrm{X}_{1}+1 / 4 \mathrm{X}_{2}+1 / 10 \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{2}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{IF}}^{2} \leq 120 \\
\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{F}}^{2} \leq 100
\end{array}\right. \\
& \begin{array}{r}
\text { scenario } \\
\text { \#3 }
\end{array}\left\{\begin{array}{r}
7 / 10 \mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{X}_{2}+7 / 10 \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{3}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{CD}}^{3} \leq 550 \\
1 / 2 \mathrm{X}_{1}+5 / 6 \mathrm{X}_{2}+1 / 2 \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{3}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{S}}^{3} \leq 510 \\
\mathrm{X}_{1}+2 / 3 \mathrm{X}_{2}+\mathrm{Y}_{1}^{3}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}^{3} \leq 558 \\
1 / 10 \mathrm{X}_{1}+1 / 4 \mathrm{X}_{2}+1 / 10 \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{3}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{IP}}^{3} \leq 110 \\
\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{F}}^{2} \leq 100
\end{array}\right. \\
& \mathrm{X}_{1} \geq 0, \mathrm{X}_{2} \geq 0, \mathrm{Y}_{1}^{\mathrm{i}} \geq 0, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{CD}}^{\mathrm{i}} \geq 0, \\
& \text { ©Dennis Bricker, L. of Iowa, } 1998 \\
& \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{CD}}^{\mathrm{i}} \geq 0, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathrm{i}} \geq 0, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{F}}^{\mathrm{i}} \geq 0, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{IF}}^{\dot{1}} \geq 0 \\
& i=0,1,2,3
\end{aligned}
$$

