To compare the effectiveness of the heuristic rules for selecting the set to be added to cover a point having no covering set, a randomly generated problem with 25 points and 100 sets was generated.

> This Hypercard stack was prepared by: Dennis L. Bricker, Dept. of Industrial Engineering, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa 52242 e-mail: dennis-bricker@uiowa.edu



50 iterations of subgradient optimization were performed, and after each iteration, the heuristic algorithm was applied 3 times:

- the least-cost set covering the point was added
- the reduced costs of the 4 least-cost sets were computed, and the set having the smallest reduced cost was added.
- like the second rule, but with zero used as the multiplier of any point already covered.

Best solution found

```
Random SCP (seed= 29155467)
```

```
Total cost = 44
Greatest Lower Bound = 42.81
Gap = 2.694 %
```

# sets in the solution: 8

Set	Cost	# pts covered
1	3	6 3
2 3 4	4	6 3 8 3 6 3 5
6	4 5 5	6
18 22	11	3 5

# of points with
multiple covers: 11

Pt	# times covered	multiplier
2 6 7 14 15 17 19 20 23	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.2410 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000
24 24 25	22	0.8565 0.0000

## Analysis of the Results

LUB= 44 # sets in best cover: 8 (sets are 1 2 3 4 6 7 18 22) # sets eliminated by penalty: 87

Heuristic	Option	Frequency	Mean	1st found
set selection rule for add		UB=LUB	error	LUB
original cost	(1)	14	3.50	13
reduced cost	(4,1)	28	2.12	6
recomputed reduced cost	(4,2)	24	1.90	13

Frequency of <

0 6	1
20 0	8
13 8	0

i.e., the second rule outperformed the first in 20 instances, and the third in 8 instances!

@D.L.Bricker, U. of IA, 1998

Frequency of =

	36	24	0
:	34	0	24
	- 0	34	36
	0	з¥́	