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## 56:272 Integer Programming \& Network Flows Quiz \#4 - September 24, 2003

Consider the following zero-one knapsack problem, with a capacity of 8 units of weight:

| item \# | $\frac{\text { Weight }}{5}$ | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 9 | 5 |
| 2 | 3 | 3 |
| 3 | 2 | 7 |

The knapsack problem is solved by Branch-\&-Bound, with the LP relaxation providing the upper bound. First the items are sorted by $\$$ per unit weight:

| i | $W$ | $V$ | V/W | $r$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | - | - | ---- | - |
| 1 | 5 | 9 | 1.8 | 1 |
| 4 | 4 | 7 | 1.75 | 2 |
| 2 | 3 | 5 | 1.667 | 3 |
| 3 | 2 | 3 | 1.5 | 4 |

The results of the branch-and-bound search are:

```
->Subproblem # 1
Forced in:
Forced out:
Free: 1 2 3 4
Fractional solution: selected items = _A
    plus =B-B}\mathrm{ of item ##C-
    value = 14.25
    Rounding down yields value 9
    ->Subproblem # 2
    Forced in: 4
    Forced out:
    Free: 1 2 3
    Fractional solution: selected items = 4
                                    plus 0.8 of item # 1
                    value = 14.2
                            Rounding down yields value 7
        ->Subproblem # 3
        Forced in: 1 4
        Forced out:
        Free: 2 3
        Infeasible!
        <-Subproblem # 3 fathomed.
        ->Subproblem # 4
        Forced in: 4
        Forced out: 1
        Free: 2 3
        Fractional solution: selected items = 2 4
                    plus 0.5 of item # 3
                        value = 13.5
                            Rounding down yields value 12
        ->Subproblem # 5
        Forced in: 3 4
        Forced out: 1
        Free: 2
        Fractional solution: selected items = 3 4
```

$\qquad$

```
                                    plus 0.6667 of item # 2
                                    value = 13.33
                                    Rounding down yields value 10
                ->Subproblem # 6
                Forced in: 2 3 4
                Forced out: 1
                Free:
                Infeasible!
                <-Subproblem # 6 fathomed.
                ->Subproblem # 7
                Forced in: 3 4
                Forced out: 1 2
                Free:
                Integer solution: selected items = 3 4
                                    Value= 10
            <-Subproblem # 7 fathomed.
        <-Subproblem # 5 fathomed.
        ->Subproblem # 8
        Forced in: 4
        Forced out: 1 3
        Free: 2
        Integer solution: selected items = 2 4
                        Value= 12
        <-Subproblem # 8 fathomed.
        <-Subproblem # 4 fathomed.
    <-Subproblem # 2 fathomed.
->Subproblem # 9
    Forced in:
    Forced out: 4
    Free: 1 2 3
    Integer solution: selected items = 1 2
                        Value= 14
    <-Subproblem # 9 fathomed.
<-Subproblem # 1 fathomed.
Done. # subproblems = 9
```

At the top of the tree, i.e., subproblem \#1, the solution of the $L P$ relaxation is:
$\mathrm{X}_{1}=$ $\qquad$ , $\mathrm{X}_{2}=$ $\qquad$ , $\mathrm{X}_{3}=$ $\qquad$ , $\mathrm{X}_{4}=$ $\qquad$ , that is, include all of item(s) $\mathrm{A}=$ $\qquad$ and the fraction $\mathrm{B}=\ldots \quad$ of item $\mathrm{C}=\ldots$. At this time, the upper bound is $\qquad$ and the lower bound is $\qquad$ . The intial incumbent value is $\qquad$ .
When beginning to consider subproblem \#5, the current incumbent value is $\qquad$ , found in subproblem \# $\qquad$ .

Which subproblems are fathomed because the upper bound is no better than the incumbent?
Which subproblems are fathomed because the LP relaxation is infeasible?
Which subproblems are fathomed because the LP relaxation has an integer solution? $\qquad$


