## Chapter 5: Energy Decay in Isotropic Turbulence

Decay process of TKE by viscous dissipation is ideally studied for homogeneous isotropic turbulence since it contains all essential physics while yielding equations in their simplest forms. The results are used in many turbulence models, which are applied to general flows.

## Part 1: Energy Decay

Idealized problem: large region far from boundaries or box with periodic boundary conditions. In either case region/box large enough such that BCs do not influence core flow and $f(r)$ and $k(r)$ decay to zero with $r$ well within the domain.

Concept is that a complete statistical description of a homogenous isotropic turbulence is specified in the region/box of interest; and the GDE are solved for the calculation of the energy decay via the solution of the $k, \varepsilon$ equations.

## Turbulent Kinetic Energy Equation

$$
\underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{1}{2} \overline{u_{i}^{2}}\right)+\overline{U_{j}}\left(\frac{1}{2} \overline{u_{i}^{2}}\right)_{, j}}_{\left[\frac{D k}{D t}\right]}+\frac{\partial}{\frac{\partial x_{j}}{}\left[\frac{1}{\bar{\rho}}\left(\overline{u_{j} p^{\prime}}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \overline{u_{i}{ }^{2} u_{j}}\right]}=\nu \nabla^{2} k+P-\tilde{\varepsilon}
$$

Where:

$$
\begin{gathered}
P=-\overline{u_{i} u_{j}} \overline{U_{i, j}} \\
\tilde{\varepsilon}=v \overline{u_{i, j}^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

$\varepsilon$ Equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial t}+\overline{U_{j}} \frac{\partial \not \partial}{\partial x_{j}}=\frac{D \epsilon}{D t}=D_{\epsilon}^{\chi}+D_{\epsilon}^{\not Z}+D_{e} \neq P_{\epsilon}^{4}+\not X_{\epsilon}+Y_{\epsilon}+D_{\epsilon}-\Upsilon_{\epsilon}, \\
& P_{\varepsilon}^{1}=-\varepsilon_{i j}^{c} \frac{\partial \bar{U}_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}  \tag{3.43}\\
& P_{e}^{2}=-\epsilon_{i j} \frac{\partial \bar{U}_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}  \tag{3.44}\\
& P_{e}^{3}=-2 v \overline{\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{i}}} \frac{\partial^{2} \overline{u_{i}}}{\partial x_{k} \partial x_{j}}  \tag{3.45}\\
& P_{e}^{4}=-2 v \overline{\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{j}}}  \tag{3.46}\\
& \Pi_{e}=-\frac{2 v}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\overline{\partial p} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial x_{j}}{}\right)  \tag{3.47}\\
& T_{\epsilon}=-v \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}\left(\overline{u_{k} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}}\right)  \tag{3.48}\\
& D_{\epsilon}=\nu \nabla^{2} \epsilon  \tag{3.49}\\
& Y_{e}=2 \nu^{2} \overline{\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u_{i}}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{k}}\right)^{2}} . \tag{3.50}
\end{align*}
$$

In Eqs (3.43) and (3.44),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \epsilon_{i j}^{c}=2 v \overline{\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{j}}}  \tag{3.51}\\
& \epsilon_{i j}=2 v \overline{\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{k}}} \tag{3.52}
\end{align*}
$$

For homogenous isotropic turbulence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d k}{d t}=-\varepsilon \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\varepsilon=\tilde{\varepsilon}=v \overline{u_{i, j} u_{i, j}}
$$

Clearly, the other terms in the TKE equation are zero for isotropic turbulence. The governing equation for $\varepsilon$ simplifies as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \varepsilon}{d t}=P_{\varepsilon}^{4}-\Upsilon_{\varepsilon}=-2 v \overline{\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{l}} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial x_{j}}}-2 v^{2} \overline{\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u_{i}}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{l}}\right)^{2}} \tag{2a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since clearly the other terms in the $\varepsilon$ equation are zero for isotropic turbulence and it will be shown that both terms on RHS of Eq. (2a) are equal to a constant and therefore cannot be reduced to the gradient of fluctuating terms, which would be equal to zero.

Since $\varepsilon=v \zeta$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \zeta=\bar{\omega} \cdot \underline{\omega}=\overline{\omega_{i}^{2}}=\frac{\varepsilon}{v}-\overline{u_{i, j} u_{j, i}}=\frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}}{v} \\
& \frac{d \varepsilon}{d t}=v P_{\zeta}^{4}-v \Upsilon_{\zeta}=-2 v \overline{\omega_{i} \omega_{k} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{k}}}-2 v^{2} \overline{\frac{\partial \omega_{i}}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial \omega_{i}}{\partial x_{k}}} \tag{2b}
\end{align*}
$$

The physics of isotropic decay is governed by the decay of $k$ at rate $\varepsilon$, and the evolution of $\varepsilon$ due to the balance of the RHS of Eq. (2a) or (2b).

$$
\overline{\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{l}} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial x_{j}}}=\overline{\omega_{i} \omega_{k} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{k}}} \rightarrow \begin{gathered}
\text { RHS shows link to vortex } \\
\text { stretching } \underline{\omega} \cdot \nabla \underline{U}
\end{gathered}
$$

$P_{\varepsilon}^{4}$ and $v P_{\zeta}^{4}$ : effects of vortex stretching $>0 \therefore$ represents production of $\varepsilon$.
$-\Upsilon_{\varepsilon}$ and $-v \Upsilon_{\zeta}$ : effects of dissipation of dissipation
RHS of Eq. (2a) can be expressed in terms of $\mathcal{R}_{i i}$ and $S_{i l, i}$ via extension of identity (see derivation Eq. (6) Chapter 4 Part 1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\frac{\partial u_{i}(\underline{x})}{\partial x_{k}} u_{j}(\underline{y})}=-\frac{\partial \mathcal{R}_{i j}}{\partial r_{k}}(\underline{y}-\underline{x}) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $k=j$ and $j=i$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\frac{\partial u_{i}(\underline{x})}{\partial x_{j}} u_{i}(\underline{y})}=-\frac{\partial \mathcal{R}_{i i}}{\partial r_{j}}(\underbrace{\underline{y}-\underline{x}}_{\underline{\underline{r}}}) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking a derivative with respect to $x_{l}$ in Eq. (4) yields

$$
\begin{gather*}
\overline{\frac{\partial^{2} u_{i}(\underline{x})}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{l}} u_{i}(\underline{y})}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial r_{l}}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{R}_{i i}(\underline{r})}{\partial r_{j}}\right) \frac{\partial r_{l}}{\frac{\underbrace{}_{-\mathbf{1}}}{\partial x_{l}}}=\frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{R}_{i i}(\underline{r})}{\partial r_{j} \partial r_{l}}  \tag{5}\\
\frac{\partial\left(y_{l}-x_{l}\right)}{\partial x_{l}}=-\frac{\partial x_{l}}{\partial x_{l}}=-\delta_{l l}
\end{gather*}
$$

Similarly, taking two derivatives with respect to $y_{j}$ and $y_{l}$ in Eq. (5)

$$
\overline{\frac{\partial^{2} u_{i}(\underline{x})}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{l}} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{i}(\underline{y})}{\partial y_{j} \partial y_{l}}}=\frac{\partial^{4} \mathcal{R}_{i i}(\underline{r})}{\partial r_{j}^{2} \partial r_{l}^{2}}
$$

And taking the limit for $\underline{r} \rightarrow 0, \underline{y} \rightarrow \underline{x}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u_{i}}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{l}}\right)^{2}}=\frac{\partial^{4} \mathcal{R}_{i i}}{\partial r_{j}{ }^{2} \partial r_{l}^{2}}(0) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A similar simplification of the triple velocity correlation can be obtained starting from

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{i l, i}(\underline{r})=\overline{u_{i}(\underline{x}) u_{l}(\underline{x}) u_{i}(\underline{y})} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\underline{r}=\underline{y}-\underline{x}
$$

Note that $i l, i$ notation emphasizes fact that second $u_{i}$ component is at a different location $\underline{y}$ than $u_{i} u_{l}$ at location $\underline{x}$.

Taking a derivative with respect to $x_{j}$ in Eq. (7)

$$
\frac{\partial \overline{u_{i}(\underline{x}) u_{l}(\underline{x}) u_{i}(\underline{y})}}{\partial x_{j}}=\frac{\partial S_{i l, i}}{\partial x_{j}}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\frac{\partial u_{i}(\underline{x})}{\partial x_{j}} u_{l}(\underline{x}) u_{i}(\underline{y})}+\overline{u_{i}(\underline{x}) \frac{\partial u_{l}(\underline{x})}{\partial x_{j}} u_{i}(\underline{y})}=\frac{\partial S_{i l, i}}{\partial r_{j}} \frac{\partial r_{j}}{\partial x_{j}}=-\frac{\partial S_{i l, i}}{\partial r_{j}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking an additional derivative with respect to $x_{l}$ in Eq. (8) yields

$$
\begin{gather*}
\overline{\frac{\partial u_{i}(\underline{x})}{\partial x_{l}} \frac{\partial u_{l}(\underline{x})}{\partial x_{j}} u_{i}(\underline{y})}+\overline{u_{i}(\underline{x}) \frac{\partial^{2} u_{l}(\underline{x})}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{l}} u_{i}(\underline{y})}+\frac{\frac{\partial u_{i}(\underline{x})}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial u_{l}(\underline{x})}{\partial x_{l}} u_{i}(\underline{y})}{(\underline{y})}  \tag{9}\\
+\frac{\partial^{2} u_{i}(\underline{x})}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{l}} u_{l}(\underline{x}) u_{i}(\underline{y})
\end{gather*}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial r_{l}}\left(\frac{\partial S_{i l, i}}{\partial r_{j}} \frac{\partial r_{l}}{\partial x_{l}}=\frac{\partial^{2} S_{i l, i}}{\partial r_{j} \partial r_{l}}\right.
$$

Where the two crossed terms are zero due to continuity.

Finally, taking a derivative with respect to $y_{j}$ in Eq. (9) yields

$$
\overline{\frac{\partial u_{i}(\underline{x})}{\partial x_{l}} \frac{\partial u_{l}(\underline{x})}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial u_{i}(\underline{y})}{\partial y_{j}}+\overline{\frac{\partial^{2} u_{i}(\underline{x})}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{l}} u_{l}(\underline{x}) \frac{\partial u_{i}(\underline{y})}{\partial y_{j}}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial r_{j}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} S_{i l, i}}{\partial r_{j} \partial r_{l}}\right) \underbrace{}_{\tilde{\mathbf{1}}^{\frac{\partial r_{j}}{\partial y_{j}}}}{ }^{\frac{1}{2}}}
$$

Or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{3} S_{i l, i}}{\partial r_{j}^{2} \partial r_{l}}(\underline{r})=\overline{\frac{\partial u_{i}(\underline{x})}{\partial x_{l}} \frac{\partial u_{l}(\underline{x})}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial u_{i}(\underline{y})}{\partial y_{j}}}+\overline{\frac{\partial^{2} u_{i}(\underline{x})}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{l}} u_{l}(\underline{x}) \frac{\partial u_{i}(\underline{y})}{\partial y_{j}}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the limit for $\underline{r} \rightarrow 0, \underline{y} \rightarrow \underline{x}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{3} S_{i l, i}}{\partial r_{j}^{2} \partial r_{l}}(0)=\overline{\frac{\partial u_{i}(\underline{x})}{\partial x_{l}} \frac{\partial u_{l}(\underline{x})}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial u_{i}(\underline{x})}{\partial x_{j}}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since after using the incompressibility condition again

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{\frac{\partial^{2} u_{i}(\underline{x})}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{l}} u_{l}(\underline{x}) \frac{\partial u_{i}(\underline{x})}{\partial x_{j}}} & =\overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}}\left(\frac{\partial u_{i}(\underline{x})}{\partial x_{j}}\right) \frac{\partial u_{i}(\underline{x})}{\partial x_{j}} u_{l}(\underline{x})}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}\left(\frac{\partial u_{i}(\underline{x})}{\partial x_{j}}\right)^{2} u_{l}(\underline{x})} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left\{\overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}}\left[\left(\frac{\partial u_{i}(\underline{x})}{\partial x_{j}}\right)^{2} u_{l}(\underline{x})\right]} \overline{\left.\left(\frac{\partial u_{i}(\underline{x})}{\partial x_{j}}\right)^{2} \frac{\partial u_{l}(\underline{x})}{\partial x_{l}}\right\}}\right. \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}}\left[\left(\frac{\partial u_{i}(\underline{x})}{\partial x_{j}}\right)^{2} u_{l}(\underline{x})\right]
\end{aligned}=0
$$

in homogeneous turbulence.

Substituting Eq. (6) and (11) into Eq. (2a)

$$
\frac{d \varepsilon}{d t}=-2 v \frac{\partial^{3} S_{i l, i}}{\partial r_{j}^{2} \partial r_{l}}(0)-2 v^{2} \frac{\partial^{4} \mathcal{R}_{i i}}{\partial r_{j}^{2} \partial r_{l}^{2}}(0)
$$

To simplify the relation even more, recall from Chapter 4: Part 2, Eq. (9)

$$
\mathcal{R}_{i j}(\underline{r})=\overline{u^{2}}\left[\left(f+\frac{r}{2} \frac{d f}{d r}\right) \delta_{i j}-\frac{r_{i} r_{j}}{r^{2}} \frac{r}{2} \frac{d f}{d r}\right]
$$

specifying for $i=j$ and taking four derivatives of $\mathcal{R}_{i i}(\underline{r})$, two with respect to $r_{j}$ and two with respect to $r_{l}$ yields

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{R}_{i i}}{\partial r_{j}}(\underline{r})=\overline{u^{2}}\left(4 f^{\prime} \frac{r_{j}}{r}+r_{j} f^{\prime \prime}\right) & \text { Chapter 4: Part 3, Eq. (6) } \\
\frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{R}_{i i}}{\partial r_{j}^{2}}(\underline{r})=\overline{u^{2}}\left(7 f^{\prime \prime}+\frac{8 f^{\prime}}{r}+r f^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) & \text { Chapter 4: Part 3, Eq. (7) } \\
\frac{\partial^{3} \mathcal{R}_{i i}}{\partial r_{j}^{2} \partial r_{l}}(\underline{r})=\overline{u^{2}}\left(8 f^{\prime \prime} \frac{r_{l}}{r^{2}}+8 f^{\prime \prime \prime} \frac{r_{l}}{r}+r_{l} f^{I V}-8 f^{\prime} \frac{r_{l}}{r^{3}}\right) \\
\frac{\partial^{4} \mathcal{R}_{i i}}{\partial r_{j}^{2} \partial r_{l}^{2}}(\underline{r})=\overline{u^{2}}\left[\frac{24}{r} f^{\prime \prime \prime}(r)+11 f^{I V}(r)+r f^{V}(r)\right] & \text { Proof in Appendix A.1 }
\end{array}
$$

To evaluate this expression at $r=0$, use Taylor series of $f^{\prime \prime \prime}(r)$

$$
f^{\prime \prime \prime}(r)=r f^{I V}(0)+\frac{r^{3}}{3} f^{V I}(0)+\cdots
$$

Since $f$ is an even function of $r$. Consequently,

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{f^{\prime \prime \prime}(r)}{r}=f^{I V}(0)
$$

Such that

$$
\frac{\partial^{4} \mathcal{R}_{i i}}{\partial r_{j}^{2} \partial r_{l}^{2}}(0)=\overline{u^{2}}\left[35 f^{I V}(0)+r f^{V}(0)\right]
$$

Similarly, it can be shown that,

$$
\frac{\partial^{3} S_{i l, i}}{\partial r_{j}^{2} \partial r_{l}}(0)=\frac{35}{2} u_{r m s}^{3} k^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)
$$

Note that $k^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)<0$ such that $P_{\varepsilon}^{4}>0$ and represents production of $\varepsilon$ via vortex stretching. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \varepsilon}{d t}=-35 v u_{r m s}^{3} k^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)-70 v^{2} \overline{u^{2}} f^{I V}(0) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., only depends on two time-dependent scalars, along with $k$ and $\varepsilon$.

Using Eqs. (3) and (7)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\overline{\left(u_{x x}\right)^{2}}=u_{r m s}^{2} f^{I V}(0) & (13)
\end{array} \begin{array}{|} 
\\
\overline{\left(u_{x}\right)^{3}}=u_{r m s}^{3} k^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) & (14) & \text { Proof in Appendix A.3 } \\ \tag{14}
\end{array}
$$

The proof for Eq. (13) is done using both scalar and vector approaches, as per Chapter 4 Part 3 for the derivation of $\overline{u^{2}} f^{\prime \prime}(0)=-\overline{u_{x}{ }^{2}}$; and the proof for Eq. (14) is done using both scalar and tensor approaches.

The skewness of $u_{x}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{k}=-\frac{\overline{\left(u_{x}\right)^{3}}}{{\overline{\left(u_{x}\right)^{2}}}^{3 / 2}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

And found to be positive, due to the - sign in front of the definition.

$$
S_{k}{\overline{\left(u_{x}\right)^{2}}}^{3 / 2}=-\overline{\left(u_{x}\right)^{3}}=-u_{r m s}^{3} k^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)
$$

$$
\overline{\left(u_{x}\right)^{2}}=-\overline{u^{2}} f^{\prime \prime}(0)
$$

where

$$
f^{\prime \prime}(0)=\frac{\varepsilon}{-15 v \overline{u^{2}}}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\left(u_{x}\right)^{2}}=\frac{\varepsilon}{15 v}=\frac{15 v \overline{u^{2}}}{\lambda_{g}^{2}} \frac{1}{15 v}=\frac{\overline{u^{2}}}{\lambda_{g}^{2}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\overline{u^{2}}=u_{r m s}^{2}
$$

Using Eq. (14) and (16), it follows that Eq. (15) becomes,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=-\frac{S_{k}}{\lambda_{g}^{3}}=-S_{k}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{15 u_{r m s}^{2} v}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Palenstrophy coefficient of $u_{x}$ can be defined as See Appendix A. 5

$$
G=\frac{\overline{u^{2}} \overline{\left(u_{x x}\right)^{2}}}{{\overline{\left(u_{x}\right)^{2}}}^{2}}
$$

Eqs. (13) and (16) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{I V}(0)=\frac{G}{\lambda_{g}^{4}}=G\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{15 u_{r m s}^{2} v}\right)^{2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting Eqs. (17) and (18) into Eq. (12), gives the $\varepsilon$ equation for homogeneous isotropic turbulence in the standard form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \varepsilon}{d t}=S_{k}^{*} R_{T}^{1 / 2} \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{k}-G^{*} \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{k} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{K}^{*}=\frac{7}{3 \sqrt{15}} S_{k} \\
G^{*}=\frac{7}{15} G \\
R_{T}=\frac{k^{2}}{v \varepsilon}
\end{gathered}
$$

This equation, along with Eq. (1) represent two equations in the four unknowns $k$, $\varepsilon, S_{K}^{*}$ and $G^{*}$, i.e., not closed.

Initial state needs to be specified, i.e., at $t=0, k_{0}, \varepsilon_{0}, S_{K_{0}}^{*}$, and $G_{0}^{*}$. Alternatively, using Eqs. (17) and (18), initial forms for $f(r)$ and $k(r)$ can be specified, from which $S_{k_{0}}$ and $G_{0}$ can be obtained.

## Turbulent Reynolds Number

$$
R_{T}=\frac{k^{2}}{v \varepsilon}=\text { turbulent } \operatorname{Re}=\frac{\sqrt{k} k^{3 / 2} / \varepsilon}{v}
$$

Velocity scale $u=\sqrt{k}$ and length scale $l=k^{3 / 2} / \varepsilon$, where $l$ is related to the eddy turnover time:

$$
T_{t}=\frac{k}{\varepsilon}
$$

Which shows that,

$$
\frac{1}{T_{t}}=\frac{\varepsilon}{k}=-\frac{1}{k} \frac{d k}{d t}
$$

And can be interpreted as the fractional rate of energy dissipation and $T_{t}=$ time scale of TKE dissipation.

Alternatively,
$R_{T}=(k / \varepsilon) /(v / k)=$ ratio of turbulent and viscous time scales $=T_{t} / T_{\mu}$, where $T_{\mu}=v / k=$ time scale of viscous dissipation

Large $R_{T}=$ very energetic turbulence

Small $R_{T}=$ energy in dissipation range since rate flow energy drops = rate at which energy is dissipated $=$ weak turbulence.
$R_{T} \rightarrow 0$ during decay of isotropic turbulence

Since $R_{T}$ appears in the stretching term of $d \varepsilon / d t$, the equation indicates that stretching is important for energetic turbulence vs. dissipative range.

Another useful turbulence Reynolds number is,

$$
R_{\lambda}=\frac{\lambda u_{r m s}}{v}
$$

Where $\lambda=\lambda_{g}$ or $\lambda_{f}$.
Using

$$
\varepsilon=\frac{30 v \overline{u^{2}}}{\lambda_{f}{ }^{2}}=\frac{15 v \overline{u^{2}}}{\lambda_{g}{ }^{2}}
$$

It is possible to obtain the relationship between $R_{T}$ and $R_{\lambda}$

$$
R_{T}=\frac{k^{2}}{v \varepsilon}=\frac{k^{2} \lambda_{g}{ }^{2}}{15 v^{2} \overline{u^{2}}}=\frac{9 \bar{u}^{2} \lambda_{g}{ }^{2}}{60 v^{2} \overline{u^{2}}}=\frac{3}{20} R_{\lambda}{ }^{2} \quad k=\frac{3}{2} \overline{u^{2}}
$$

$R_{T}$ or $R_{\lambda}$ can be used to characterize degree of turbulence for homogeneous flow:

- $R_{\lambda}>100$ turbulence not weak
- $R_{\lambda}>1000$ strong turbulence
- $R_{\lambda}<1$ very weak turbulence, final period decay before it relaminarizes

Interest is in decay process from initial state $R_{T} \gg 1$ to $R_{T}<1$.

Eqs. (1) and (19) can be combined into a single equation for $R_{T}$. Starting from

$$
\begin{gathered}
R_{T}=\frac{k^{2}}{v \varepsilon} \\
\frac{d R_{T}}{d t}=\frac{2 k}{v \varepsilon} \frac{d k}{d t}-\frac{k^{2}}{v \varepsilon^{2}} \frac{d \varepsilon}{d t}
\end{gathered}
$$

Substituting Eqs. (1) and (19)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d R_{T}}{d t}=-\frac{2 k}{v}-S_{k}^{*} \sqrt{R_{T}} \frac{k}{v}+G^{*} \frac{k}{v} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $k$ and $\varepsilon$ are always positive, a dimensionless time can be defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\varepsilon\left(t^{\prime}\right)}{k\left(t^{\prime}\right)} d t^{\prime} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where it is assumed that $\tau(0)=0$. Note that $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This can be integrated exactly using Eq. (1), to obtain,

$$
\tau(t)=\ln (k(0) / k(t))
$$

It is also possible to obtain the inverse mapping of $\tau$ to $t$.

Defining

$$
R_{T}^{*}(\tau)=R_{T}(t(\tau))
$$

Or equivalently

$$
R_{T}^{*}(\tau(t))=R_{T}(t)
$$

Such that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d R_{T}}{d t}=\frac{d R_{T}^{*}}{d t} \frac{d \tau}{d t}=\frac{\varepsilon}{k} \frac{d R_{T}^{*}}{d \tau} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

using Eq. (21).

Substituting Eq. (22) into (20) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d R_{T}^{*}}{d \tau}=R_{T}^{*}\left(G^{*}-2-S_{k}^{*} \sqrt{R_{T}^{*}}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$ See Appendix A. 6

Thus, an alternative to solving the decay problem via Eqs. (1) and (19) is the option of solving Eq. (23).
$G^{*}$ and $S_{k}^{*}$ are $f(t)$ such that additional assumptions are required.
A. 1

P73.
Eq. 5.10
Started from $E q 442$ and 4.43
Eq. 4.43

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Started from } z q .4-42 \text {, and } 4.43 \\
& \frac{\partial R_{i i} .43}{\partial r_{j}}=\overline{u^{2}}\left[4 \frac{r_{j}}{r} f^{\prime}+r_{j} f^{2}\right] \Rightarrow f^{2}=f^{\prime \prime} \quad f^{3}=f^{\prime \prime \prime} \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} R_{i i}}{\partial^{2} r_{j}}=\overline{u^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r j}\left[4 \frac{r_{j}}{r} f^{\prime}+r j f^{2}\right] \Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial j}\left[4 \frac{r_{j}}{r} f^{\prime}+r j f^{2}\right]=4 \frac{r_{j}}{r} \frac{\partial f^{\prime}}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial r j}+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
4 f^{\prime} \frac{\partial\left(\frac{r_{j}}{r}\right)}{\partial r_{j}}+r_{j} \frac{\partial f^{\prime \prime}}{\partial r^{\prime}} \frac{\partial r}{\partial r_{j}}+\frac{r_{j}}{r} \frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{} f^{\prime \prime} \frac{\partial r_{j}}{\partial r_{j}}=
$$

$$
4 \frac{r_{j}}{r} \frac{r_{j}}{r} f^{\prime \prime}+4 f^{\prime} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial\left(r_{j}\right)}{\partial r_{j}}+4 f^{\prime} r_{j}\left(-\frac{1}{r^{2}}\right) \frac{r_{j}}{r}+r_{j} f^{\prime \prime \prime} \frac{r_{j}}{r}+3 f^{\prime \prime \prime} \Rightarrow
$$

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} R i i}{\partial^{2} r_{j}}=\left[4 f^{\prime \prime}+12 f^{\prime} \frac{1}{r}+r f^{\prime \prime \prime}+3 f^{\prime \prime}-4 f^{\prime} \frac{1}{r}\right] \widetilde{u}^{2} \Rightarrow
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial^{2} R \ddot{u}}{\partial r \partial^{2}}=\left[7 f^{\prime \prime}+r f^{\prime \prime \prime}+8 f^{\prime} \frac{1}{r}\right] \bar{u}^{2}=\left[8 f^{\prime} \frac{1}{r}\right. \\
& \frac{\partial^{3} R i \prime}{\partial r^{2} \partial r^{\prime \prime}}=\bar{u}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r l}\left[8 f^{\prime} \frac{1}{r}+7 f^{\prime \prime}+r f^{\prime \prime \prime}\right] \Rightarrow
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial r l}[\quad & =8 \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial f^{\prime}}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial r_{l}}+8 f^{\prime} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{l}}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)+7 \frac{\partial f^{\prime \prime}}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial r_{l}}+f^{\prime \prime \prime} \frac{\partial r}{\partial r_{l}}+r \frac{\partial f^{\prime \prime \prime}}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial r_{l}} \\
& =8 f^{\prime \prime} \frac{r_{l}}{r^{2}}-8 f^{\prime} \frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{r_{l}}{r}+7 f^{\prime \prime} \frac{r_{l}}{r}+f^{\prime \prime \prime} \frac{r_{l}}{r}+r f^{4} \\
& =8 f^{\prime \prime} \frac{r_{l}}{r^{2}}+8 f^{\prime \prime \prime} \frac{r_{l}}{r}+r_{l} f^{4}-8 f^{\prime} \frac{r_{l}}{r^{3}} \Rightarrow \\
\frac{\partial^{3} k_{i 1}}{\partial r^{2} j \partial r_{l}} & =\overline{U^{2}}\left[8 f^{\prime \prime} \frac{k_{l}}{r^{2}}+8 f^{\prime \prime} \frac{r_{l}}{r}+r e^{4}-8 f^{4} \frac{r_{l}}{r^{3}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{4}=t^{2 v}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =84^{4}-8 f^{\prime \prime \prime} \frac{n c}{r^{2}} \frac{n}{r}+8 f^{\prime \prime} \frac{3}{r}+8 f^{\prime \prime \prime} \frac{1}{r}+8 f^{\prime \prime k} k(2) \frac{1}{r^{\prime}} \frac{n}{r}+ \\
& \frac{8}{r^{2}} f^{\prime \prime}(3)+3 f^{4}+1 f^{5}-\frac{8 f^{\prime}(3)}{r^{3}}-8 f^{\prime} k \frac{-3}{r^{4}} \frac{n}{r}-8 f^{\prime \prime} \frac{1}{r^{2}} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
=8 f^{4}-8 f^{\prime \prime \prime} \frac{1}{r}+24 f^{\prime \prime \prime} \frac{1}{r}+8 f^{\prime \prime \prime} \frac{1}{r}-16 f^{\prime \prime} \frac{1}{r^{2}}+ \\
24 f^{\prime \prime} \frac{1}{r^{2}}+3 f^{4}+r f^{5}-24 f^{\prime} \frac{1}{r^{3}}+24 f^{\prime} \frac{1}{r^{3}}-8 f^{\prime \prime} \frac{1}{r^{2}}
\end{array} \\
& =84^{4}+34^{4}+r f^{5}+244^{\prime \prime} \frac{1}{r}=11 f^{4}+r r^{5}+24 f^{\prime \prime \prime} \frac{1}{r} \Rightarrow \\
& \frac{\partial^{4} R i \cdots}{\partial r^{2} \partial r^{2}}=\bar{u}^{2}\left[\frac{24}{r} f^{\prime \prime \prime}+1 f^{4}+r r^{5}\right] \quad E=S: 10
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { dixims }{ }_{j=l} l=i
$$

p73. zq. 5.14. $s_{i l, i}=u_{\text {rms }}^{3}\left[\left(k-r k^{\prime}\right) v_{i} r_{r} r_{i} / 2 r^{3}-\right.$
$\partial s$

$$
\frac{k}{2} \delta_{i l}\left(\frac{r_{i}}{r}+\frac{1}{4 r} \frac{d\left(k r^{2}\right)}{d r}\left(\delta_{i i} \frac{r_{k}}{r}+\delta_{i} \frac{\gamma_{i}}{r}\right)\right.
$$

$$
S_{u_{l} i}=u_{m_{m s}}^{3} I\left(k-r k^{\prime}\right) \frac{r_{i}}{2 r}-\frac{k r_{i}}{2 r}+\left(\frac{r}{4} k^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} k\right)\left(\frac{3 k_{k}}{r}+\frac{r_{i}}{r}\right)
$$

$$
=u_{r m s}^{3}\left[\frac{k}{2 r}\left(k-r k^{\prime}-k\right)+\left(\frac{r}{4} k^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} k\right)\left(\frac{4 k_{2}}{r}\right)\right.
$$

$$
=u_{\text {rms }}^{3}\left[\frac{-r_{2}}{2 r} r k^{\prime}+r_{c k} k^{\prime}+\frac{2 k r_{c}}{r}\right]
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - } s_{i, l_{i}}=u_{r m s}^{3}\left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{r_{2}}{2} k_{k}^{\prime}+2 k \frac{k}{r}\right] \\
& \frac{\partial s_{i l_{i}}}{\partial k_{l}}=k^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial k}\left[\frac{1}{2} r_{l} k^{\prime}+2 r k \frac{k}{r}\right] \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial k^{2}}[]=\frac{1}{2} r_{l} \frac{\partial k^{\prime}}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial r_{l}}+\frac{1}{2} k^{\prime} \frac{\partial k}{\partial k_{k}}+2 k \frac{\partial\left(\frac{k}{r}\right)}{\partial r k}+\frac{2 k}{r} \frac{\partial r_{l}}{\partial k} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} r k^{\prime \prime} \frac{k_{1}}{r}+\frac{3}{2} k^{\prime}+\frac{2 k}{r} \frac{\partial k}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial k^{\prime}}+2 r k k \frac{\partial\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)}{\partial r e}+\frac{6 k}{r} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} r k^{\prime \prime}+\frac{3}{2} k^{\prime}+2 \frac{r_{k} r_{c} k^{\prime}}{r^{2}}+2 k_{c} k(-1) \frac{\partial r}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial r^{\prime}}{2}+\frac{6 k}{r} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} r k^{\prime \prime}+\frac{3}{2} k^{\prime}+2 k^{\prime}-\frac{2 r_{c k}}{r^{2}} \frac{r_{c}}{r}+\frac{6 k}{r^{2}} \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial r^{2}}[]=\frac{1}{2} r k^{\prime \prime}+\frac{7}{2} k^{\prime}-\frac{2 k}{r}+\frac{6 k^{2}}{r}=\frac{1}{2} r k^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{2} k^{\prime}+\frac{4 k}{r} \Rightarrow \\
& \frac{\partial \text { Sil }^{2}}{\partial k_{k}}=u_{\text {mas }}^{3}\left[\frac{1}{2} r k^{\prime \prime}+\frac{7}{2} k^{\prime}+\frac{4 k}{r}\right] \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} \operatorname{siciti}^{\partial r_{i} \partial r_{j}}}{}=u_{r m s}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{j}}[\text { ] }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} S_{i, i} i}{\partial r_{i} \partial j}=\left[\frac{1}{2} k^{\prime \prime} r_{j}^{\prime}+4 k^{\prime \prime} r_{1} \frac{1}{r}+4 k^{-} \frac{r_{i}}{r^{2}}-4 k \frac{r_{i}}{r^{3}}\right] u^{2}{ }^{2} \text {, }
$$

$$
\frac{\partial^{3} \sin _{1, i}}{\partial r_{\partial} r_{j}^{2}}=u_{m s}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial r j}[
$$

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial r_{j}}[]=\frac{1}{2} k^{\prime \prime} \frac{r_{j}}{\partial r_{j}}+\frac{1}{2} r_{i} \frac{\partial k^{\prime \prime}}{\partial r^{\prime}} \frac{\partial r}{\partial r^{\prime}}+4 \frac{r_{j}}{r} \frac{\partial k^{\prime \prime}}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial r^{\prime}}+4 k^{\prime \prime} \frac{\partial\left(\frac{r_{j}}{r}\right)}{\partial r_{j}}+
$$

$$
\frac{4 r_{j}}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial j}+4 k^{\prime} \frac{\partial}{\partial j}\left(\frac{r_{0}}{r^{2}}\right)-\frac{4 r_{j}}{r^{3}} \frac{\partial k}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial r_{j}}-4 k \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{j}}\left(\frac{r_{i}}{r_{3}}\right)
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{2} k^{\prime \prime \prime}(3)+\frac{1}{2} k^{4} \frac{r_{j} \cdot \frac{r_{j}}{r}}{r}+4 \frac{r_{j}}{r} k^{\prime \prime} \frac{r_{j}}{r}+4 k^{\prime \prime} r \frac{\partial\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)}{\partial r_{j}}+\frac{4 k^{\prime \prime}}{r} \frac{\partial r_{j}}{\partial r_{j}}+
$$

$$
\frac{4 r_{j}}{r^{\prime \prime}} k^{\prime \prime} \frac{r_{j}}{r}+\frac{4 k^{\prime}}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial r_{j}}{\partial r_{j}}+4 k r_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial\left(\frac{1}{p_{p}}\right)}-\frac{\partial r_{j}}{r^{3}} k \frac{r_{j}}{r_{j}}-4 k r \frac{\partial}{\partial r^{\prime}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta^{\prime}}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial^{2} s_{1, i}}{\partial r_{\partial j}}=u_{m s}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{j}}[] \Rightarrow \\
& \text { eq.5-14 contrimed } \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta^{2}}[]=\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}\left[\frac{1}{2} r k^{\prime \prime}+\frac{7}{2} k^{\prime}+\frac{4 k}{r}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2} r \frac{\partial k^{\prime}}{\partial r y}+\frac{1}{2} k^{\prime \prime} \frac{\partial r}{\partial r j}+\frac{7}{2} \frac{\partial k^{\prime}}{\partial r j}+\frac{4}{r} \frac{\partial k}{\partial r j}+4 k \frac{\partial\left(r^{\prime}\right)}{\partial r j} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} r \frac{\partial k^{\prime \prime}}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial r j}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{r i}{r}+\frac{7}{2} \frac{\partial k}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial r_{j}}+\frac{4}{r} \frac{\partial k}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial j}+4 k(-1) \frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial r}{\partial r_{j}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} r k^{\prime \prime \prime} \frac{r_{j}}{r}+\frac{1}{2} k^{\prime \prime \prime} \frac{r_{i}}{r}+\frac{7}{2} k^{\prime \prime} \frac{r_{j}}{r}+\frac{4}{r} k^{\prime} \frac{r_{j}}{r}-4 k \frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{r_{i}}{r} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} k^{\prime \prime} r_{j}+\frac{1}{2} k^{\prime \prime} \frac{r_{j}}{r}+\frac{7}{2} k^{\prime \prime} \frac{r_{j}}{r}+4 k^{k} \frac{r_{j}}{r^{2}}-4 k \frac{r_{j}}{r^{3}} \Rightarrow
\end{aligned}
$$

E-q. 5.14 continued. (3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{j}}[]=\frac{3}{2} k^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{\frac{1}{2} k^{4}}{}+4 k^{\prime \prime \prime}-4 \frac{k^{\prime \prime}}{r}+\frac{12 k^{\prime \prime}}{r}+4 k^{\prime \prime} \frac{1}{r}+\frac{12 k^{2}}{r^{2}} \\
& -8 k \frac{1}{r^{2}}-4 k \frac{1}{r^{2}}+12 k \frac{1}{r^{3}}-\frac{12 k}{r^{3}} \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial r j}[]=\frac{1}{2} k^{4}+\frac{11}{2} k^{\prime \prime \prime}+12 k^{\prime \prime} \frac{1}{r} \Rightarrow \\
& \frac{\partial^{3} S_{i l, i}}{\partial r_{2} \partial r_{j}^{2}}=u_{r m s}^{3}\left[\frac{1}{2} r k^{4}+\frac{11}{2} k^{\prime \prime \prime}+12 k^{\prime \prime} \frac{1}{r}\right] \\
& k^{\prime \prime}(r)=r k^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)+\frac{r^{3}}{8!} k^{5}(0)+\cdots \\
& \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{k^{\prime \prime}(r)}{r}=k^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) \text {. } \\
& \frac{\partial^{3} S_{i l i}}{\partial r_{l} \partial r_{j}^{2}}(0)=\left[\frac{11}{2} k^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)+12 k^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)\right]^{u_{n 3}^{3}}=\frac{35}{2} k^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) U_{\text {m m }}^{3} \\
& \frac{\partial^{3} S_{i l, i}}{\partial r \partial r_{j}^{2}}(0)=\frac{35}{2} U_{m s}^{3} K^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) \quad \text { (5.14) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## A. 3

## Scalar approach

Define

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{R}_{11}(\underline{r})=\overline{u^{2}(x)} f(r)=\overline{u(x) u\left(x^{\prime}\right)}=\overline{u(x) u(x+r)} \\
x+r=x^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

Where $x, r, x^{\prime}$ represent scalar quantities and $x, r$ are two independent variables.

$$
\overline{u^{2}(x)} f(r)=\overline{u(x) u(x+r)}
$$

Taking two derivatives with respect to $r$, we have shown that (Chapter 4 Part 3)

$$
\overline{u^{2}(x)} f^{\prime \prime}(r)=\overline{u(x) \frac{\partial^{2} u\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\partial x^{\prime 2}}}
$$

Where the following rules were used

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial f}{\partial r}=f^{\prime} \\
& \frac{\partial x^{\prime}}{\partial r}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking two additional derivatives with respect to $r$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{u^{2}(x)} f^{\prime \prime \prime}(r)=\overline{u(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\partial x^{\prime 2}}\right)} \\
= & \overline{u(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\partial x^{\prime 2}}\right) \underbrace{}_{\underbrace{\frac{\partial x^{\prime}}{\partial r}}_{1}}}=\overline{u(x) \frac{\partial^{3} u\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\partial x^{\prime 3}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{u^{2}(x)} f^{I V}(r)=\overline{u(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(\frac{\partial^{3} u\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\partial x^{\prime 3}}\right)} \\
= & \overline{u(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\partial^{3} u\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\partial x^{\prime 3}}\right) \frac{\partial x^{\prime}}{\partial r}}=\overline{\underbrace{}_{1}}=\overline{u(x) \frac{\partial^{4} u\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\partial x^{\prime 4}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\overline{u^{2}(x)} f^{I V}(r)=\overline{u(x) \frac{\partial^{4} u\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\partial x^{\prime 4}}}
$$

Taking the limit for $r \rightarrow 0, x^{\prime} \rightarrow x$

$$
\overline{u^{2}(x)} f^{I V}(0)=\overline{u(x) \frac{\partial^{4} u(x)}{\partial x^{4}}}
$$

Focus on the RHS

$$
u(x) \frac{\partial^{4} u(x)}{\partial x^{4}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left[u(x) \frac{\partial^{3} u(x)}{\partial x^{3}}\right]-\frac{\overline{\partial u(x)}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^{3} u(x)}{\partial x^{3}}
$$

Where the first term on the RHS is zero due to hypothesis of homogeneous turbulence (gradient of fluctuating quantity).

Apply same step one more time

$$
-\frac{\overline{\partial u(x)}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^{3} u(x)}{\partial x^{3}}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left[\frac{\frac{\partial u(x) \partial^{2} \not x(x)}{\partial x^{2}}}{\partial x}\right]+\frac{\overline{\partial^{2} u(x)}}{\partial x^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} u(x)}{\partial x^{2}}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
\overline{u^{2}(x)} f^{I V}(0)=\overline{u_{1}(x) \frac{\partial^{4} u_{1}(x)}{\partial x^{4}}}=\frac{\overline{\partial^{2} u_{1}(x)}}{\partial x^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}(x)}{\partial x^{2}} \\
\overline{u^{2}(x)} f^{I V}(0)=\frac{\overline{\partial^{2} u_{1}(x)}}{\partial x^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}(x)}{\partial x^{2}}=\overline{u_{, x x}^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Vector approach

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{R}_{11}(\underline{r})=\overline{u^{2}} f\left(r \widehat{\hat{e}_{1}}\right)=\overline{u_{1}(\underline{x}) u_{1}\left(\underline{x^{\prime}}\right)}=\overline{u_{1}(\underline{x}) u_{1}\left(\underline{x}+r \widehat{e_{1}}\right)} \\
\underline{y}=\underline{x}+\underline{r}
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\underline{r}=r \widehat{e_{1}}
$$

And

$$
y_{l}=x_{l}+r_{l}
$$

Taking a first derivative with respect to $r$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\overline{u^{2}} f^{\prime}(r)=\overline{u(\underline{x}) \frac{\partial u\left(\underline{x}+r \widehat{e}_{1}\right)}{\partial r}}+\overline{\frac{\partial u(\underline{x})}{\partial r} u\left(\underline{x}+r \widehat{e_{1}}\right)} \\
=\overline{u(\underline{x}) \frac{\partial u\left(\underline{x}+r \widehat{e_{1}}\right)}{\partial y_{l}} \frac{\partial y_{l}}{\partial r}}+\overline{\frac{\partial u(\underline{x})}{\partial x_{l}} \frac{\partial x_{l}}{\partial r} u\left(\underline{x}+r \widehat{e}_{1}\right)} \\
=\overline{u(\underline{x}) \frac{\partial u\left(\underline{x}+r \widehat{e_{1}}\right)}{\partial y_{l}} \frac{\partial r_{l}}{\partial r}}=\overline{u(x) \frac{\partial u\left(\underline{x}+r \widehat{e_{1}}\right)}{\partial y_{l}} \frac{r}{r_{l}}} \\
\overline{u^{2}} f^{\prime}(r)=\overline{u(\underline{x}) \frac{\partial u\left(\underline{x}+r \widehat{e_{1}}\right)}{\partial y_{l}} \frac{r}{r_{l}}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Taking a second derivative with respect to $r$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{u^{2}} f^{\prime \prime}(r)=\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left[u(\underline{x}) \frac{\partial u\left(\underline{x}+r \widehat{e_{1}}\right)}{\partial y_{l}} \frac{r}{r_{l}}\right] \\
& =\underbrace{\frac{\partial u(\underline{x})}{\partial r} \frac{\partial u\left(\underline{x}+r \widehat{e_{1}}\right)}{\partial y_{l}} \frac{r}{r_{l}}}_{0}+\overline{u(\underline{x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(\frac{\partial u\left(\underline{x}+r \widehat{e_{1}}\right)}{\partial y_{l}}\right) \frac{r}{r_{l}}} \overline{u(\underline{x}) \frac{\partial u\left(\underline{x}+r \widehat{e_{1}}\right)}{\partial y_{l}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(\frac{r}{r_{l}}\right)} \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(\frac{r}{r_{l}}\right)=\frac{1}{r_{l}}-\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial r_{l}}{\partial r}=\frac{1}{r_{l}}-\frac{1}{r} \frac{r}{r_{l}}=0 \\
& \overline{u^{2}} f^{\prime \prime}(r)=\overline{u(\underline{x}) \frac{\partial^{2} u\left(\underline{x}+r \widehat{e_{1}}\right)}{\partial y_{l}^{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking a third derivative with respect to $r$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\overline{u^{2}} f^{\prime \prime \prime}(r)=\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left[\overline{\left.u(\underline{x}) \frac{\partial^{2} u\left(\underline{x}+r \widehat{e_{1}}\right)}{\partial y_{l}^{2}}\right]}\right. \\
=\underbrace{\frac{\partial u(\underline{x})}{\partial r}}_{\square} \frac{\partial^{2} u\left(\underline{x}+r \widehat{e_{1}}\right)}{\partial y_{l}^{2}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{l}}\left[\overline{\left.u(\underline{x}) \frac{\partial^{2} u\left(\underline{x}+r \widehat{e_{1}}\right)}{\partial y_{l}^{2}}\right]}\right] \frac{\partial y_{l}}{\partial r} \\
\overline{u^{2}} f^{\prime \prime \prime}(r)=u(\underline{x}) \frac{\partial^{3} u\left(\underline{x}+r \widehat{e_{1}}\right)}{\partial y_{l}^{3}} \frac{r}{r_{l}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Taking a fourth derivative with respect to $r$ (like the second derivative) yields

$$
\overline{u^{2}} f^{I V}(r)=\overline{u(\underline{x}) \frac{\partial^{4} u\left(\underline{x}+r \widehat{e_{1}}\right)}{\partial y_{l}^{4}}}
$$

Taking the limit for $r \rightarrow 0, y_{l} \rightarrow x_{l}$

$$
\overline{u^{2}} f^{I V}(0)=\overline{u(\underline{x}) \frac{\partial^{4} u(\underline{x})}{\partial x_{l}^{4}}}
$$

And applying homogeneity follows same steps as scalar proof.

## A. 4

## Scalar approach

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{111}\left(r \widehat{e_{1}}=\underline{r}\right)=u_{r m s}^{3} k(r) \\
S_{111}(\underline{r})=\overline{u(x) u(x) u(x+r)}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
x+r=x^{\prime}
$$

Where $x, r, x^{\prime}$ represent scalar quantities and $x, r$ are two independent variables.

$$
u_{r m s}^{3} k(r)=\overline{u(x) u(x) u(x+r)}
$$

Taking three derivatives with respect to $r$ yields (same procedure as $f^{\prime \prime \prime}(r)$ )

$$
u_{r m s}^{3} k^{\prime \prime \prime}(r)=\overline{u(x) u(x) \frac{\partial^{3} u\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\partial x^{\prime 3}}}
$$

Taking the limit for $r \rightarrow 0, x^{\prime} \rightarrow x$

$$
u_{r m s}^{3} k^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=\overline{u(x) u(x) \frac{\partial^{3} u(x)}{\partial x^{3}}}
$$

Focus on the RHS

$$
\overline{u(x) u(x) \frac{\partial^{3} u(x)}{\partial x^{3}}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left[u(x) u(x) \frac{\partial^{2} u(x)}{\partial x^{2}}\right]-2 \frac{\overline{\partial u(x)}}{\partial x} u(x) \frac{\partial^{2} u(x)}{\partial x^{2}}
$$

Where the first term on the RHS is zero due to hypothesis of homogeneous turbulence (gradient of fluctuating quantity).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left[\overline{\frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x} u(x) \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x}}\right] \\
& \begin{array}{l}
=\frac{\overline{\partial^{2} u(x)}}{\frac{\partial x^{2}}{\partial u(x)} \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x}}+\frac{\overline{\partial u(x)} \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x} \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x}}{\partial(x) \frac{\partial^{2} u(x)}{\partial x^{2}}}+\frac{\overline{\frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial u(x)}} \frac{\partial u(x) \frac{\partial^{2} u(x)}{\partial x} \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x}}{\partial x}}{\partial x} \\
=2 \frac{\partial x^{2}}{\partial x} u(x)
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, multiplying the last relation by -1 and isolating the first term on the RHS

$$
-2 \overline{\frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x} u(x) \frac{\partial^{2} u(x)}{\partial x^{2}}}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left[\overline{\frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x}} u(x) \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x}\right]+\overline{\frac{\overline{\partial u(x)}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x} \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x}}
$$

Or equivalently

$$
\begin{gathered}
\overline{u(x) u(x) \frac{\partial^{3} u(x)}{\partial x^{3}}}=\frac{\overline{\partial u(x)} \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x} \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x}}{\partial x}=u_{r m s}^{3} k^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) \\
u_{r m s}^{3} k^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=\overline{u_{x}^{3}}
\end{gathered}
$$

P205 pope Exe 0.11

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Hiskiqr=0 } \\
& i=P \Rightarrow H_{i j} k_{i} q=\left\langle\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial u_{q}} \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial r}\right\rangle=0 \text {. } \\
& =a_{1} \delta_{i i} \delta_{j q} \delta_{k v}+a_{2}\left(\delta_{i i} \delta_{j k} \delta_{q r}+\delta_{j q} \delta_{i k} \delta_{i r}+\delta_{k 2} \delta_{i j} \delta_{i q}\right)+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =3 a_{1} \delta_{j} q \delta_{k r}+a_{2}\left(3 \delta_{j k} \delta_{q}+\delta_{j} q \delta_{k r}+\delta_{k r} \delta j z\right)+ \\
& a_{3}\left(3 \delta_{j r} \delta_{k}+\delta_{j} \delta \delta_{k} r+\delta_{k r} \delta_{j} q\right)+a_{4}\left(\delta_{k q} \delta_{j r}+\delta_{j} r \delta_{k q}\right)+ \\
& a_{5}\left(\delta_{j} k \delta_{q} q+\delta_{k} q_{j} r+\delta_{j} k \cdot \delta r q+j k q-j j r+\delta_{j k} \delta_{r q}+\delta_{j k} \delta q\right) \\
& =3 a_{1} \delta_{j q} \delta_{k r}+a_{2} 3 \delta_{j k} \delta_{k r}+2 a_{2} \delta_{j q \delta k r}+ \\
& 3 a_{3} \delta_{j} r \delta k q+2 a_{3} \delta j q \delta k r+a_{4} \delta k q \delta j r+a_{4} \delta_{j} \delta k q+ \\
& 4 a_{5} \delta_{j k} \delta_{q}+2 a_{5} \delta_{k q \delta_{j}}=0 \Rightarrow \\
& \delta j k \delta q r\left(3 a_{2}+4 a_{5}\right)+\delta j q_{d k r}\left(3 a_{1}+2 a_{2}+2 a_{3}\right)+ \\
& \delta_{j r} \delta_{k} q\left(3 a_{3}+2 a_{4}+2 a_{5}\right)=0 \Rightarrow \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
3 a_{1}+2 a_{2}+2 a_{3}=0 \\
3 a_{2}+4 a_{5}=0 \\
3 a_{3}+2 a_{4}+2 a_{5}=0
\end{array} \quad \text { eq. } 6.96\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Prob Pape Exe 0.11

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1) } \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\left\langle u_{k} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{k}}\right\rangle=0 \Rightarrow \\
& \left\langle u_{k} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{j}^{j}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{k}}+u_{k} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{i}}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{k}}+\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{k}}\right\rangle=0 \Rightarrow \\
& \frac{\left\langle u_{k} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{i}}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{k}}\right.}{A}+\frac{\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{j}}}{H_{i j k k_{i j}} C}=0 \\
& \text { (2) } \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left\langle u_{k} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{k}}\right\rangle=0 \Rightarrow \\
& \left\langle u_{k} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{i}=0}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{k}}+u_{k} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2} u_{j}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{k}}+\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{k}}\right\rangle=0 \Rightarrow \\
& \left\langle\frac{\left\langle u_{k} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{j}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{k}}\right.}{13}+\frac{\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{i}}}{D}=0\right. \\
& \text { (3) } \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}\left\langle u_{k} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}\right\rangle=0 \Rightarrow \\
& \left\langle\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}+u_{k} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{i}}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}+u_{k} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{j}}{\partial x_{k} \partial x_{i}}\right\rangle=0 \Rightarrow \\
& \left\langle\frac{u_{k} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{i}}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}}{A}+\frac{\left.u_{k} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{j}}{\partial x_{k} \partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{B}\right\rangle}{B}=0\right. \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\langle A+C\rangle=0 \\
\langle B+D\rangle=0 \\
\langle A+B\rangle=0
\end{array}\right. \\
& C=\text { Hjkiijk. } D=\text { Hijkjki For Symmetries: } \\
& C=H_{j k_{i i j} k}=H_{i j k j k_{i}}=D \Rightarrow C=D \\
& \left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ \langle A + C \rangle = 0 } \\
{ \langle B + C \rangle = 0 } \\
{ \langle A + B \rangle = 0 }
\end{array} \Rightarrow \left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ \langle A - B \rangle = 0 } \\
{ \langle A + B \rangle = 0 }
\end{array} \Rightarrow \left\{\begin{array}{l}
A=0 \\
B=0
\end{array} \Rightarrow\right.\right.\right. \\
& C=D=0 \text {. ie. } \quad H_{i j k j k_{i}}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

P206 POPC Exe 6.11

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p= j q=k r=i \\
& H i j k j k i=0= a_{1} \delta_{i j} \delta_{j k} \delta_{k i}+a_{2}\left(\delta_{i j} \delta_{j k} \delta_{k i}+\delta_{j k} \delta_{i k} \delta_{j i}+\delta_{k i} \delta_{i j} \delta_{j k}\right) \\
&+a_{3}\left(\delta_{i j} \delta_{j i} \delta_{k k}+\delta_{j k} \delta_{i i} \delta_{j k}+\delta_{k i} \delta_{i k} \delta_{j j}\right)+a_{4}\left(\delta_{i k} \delta_{j k} \delta_{j i}+\right. \\
&\left.\delta_{i i} \delta_{j j} \delta_{k k}\right)+a_{5}\left(\delta_{i j} \delta_{j k} \delta_{k i}+\delta_{i j} \delta_{k k} \delta_{j i}+\delta_{i k} \delta_{j j} \delta_{k i}+\right. \\
&\left.\delta_{i k i j} \delta_{j k}+\delta_{j k} \delta_{k i} \delta_{j i}+\delta_{j k} \delta_{i i} \delta_{j k}\right)=0 \Rightarrow \\
& a_{1} \delta_{i i}+a_{2}\left(\delta_{i i}+\delta_{i 1}+\delta_{k k}\right)+a_{3}\left(\delta_{i i} \delta_{k k}+\delta_{j j} \delta_{i i}+\delta_{i i j j j}\right)+a_{4}\left(\delta_{i i}+27\right)+ \\
& a_{5}\left(\delta_{i i}^{3}+\delta_{i i} \delta_{k k}+\delta_{i i}^{9} \delta_{j j}+\delta_{j j}^{3}+\delta_{i i}^{3}+\delta_{j j}^{2} \delta_{i i}\right)=0 \Rightarrow \\
& 3 a_{1}+9 a_{2}+27 a_{3}+30 a_{4}+36 a_{5}=0 \Rightarrow \\
& a_{1}+3 a_{2}+9 a_{3}+10 a_{4}+\left(2 a_{5}=0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad e_{2} 6.97\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

From eqs.6.90~ $0.97: \quad a_{2}=-\frac{4}{3} a_{1}, a_{3}=-\frac{1}{6} a_{1}, a_{4}=-\frac{3}{4} a_{1}, a_{5}=a_{1}$ eq. 0.98

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\left(\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}\right)^{3}\right\rangle=H_{11111} & =a_{1}+3 a_{2}+3 a_{3}+2 a_{4}+6 a_{5} \\
& =a_{1}-4 a_{1}-\frac{1}{2} a_{1}-\frac{3}{2} a_{1}+6 a_{1}=a_{1} \\
& =S\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{15 v}\right)^{3 / 2} \quad \text { eq. } 6.99
\end{aligned}
$$

From 6.84. $\left\langle\left(\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}\right)^{3}\right\rangle=-\frac{2}{35}\left\langle\omega_{i} \omega_{j} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{j}}\right\rangle=a_{1} \Rightarrow$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle w_{i} w_{j} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}\right\rangle=-\frac{35}{2} a_{1} \quad \text { eq. 6.100 } \\
H_{i i k k q q}= & 3 a_{1}+21 a_{2}+15 a_{3}+12 a_{4}+54 a_{5} \\
& =3 a_{1}-28 a_{1}-\frac{5}{2} a_{1}-9 a_{1}+54 a_{1}=\frac{35}{2} a_{1} \quad \text { eq.6.101 }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathcal{H}_{i j k p q r}$ is a sixth order tensor,

$$
\mathcal{H}_{i j k p q r} \equiv \overline{\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{p}} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{q}} \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{r}}}
$$

And we have shown that,

$$
\mathcal{H}_{111111}=\overline{\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}}=\overline{\left(u_{x}\right)^{3}}=a_{1}
$$

And

$$
\mathcal{H}_{i i k k q q}=\overline{\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{q}} \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{q}}}=\frac{35}{2} a_{1}
$$

If we make the following change of indices: $k=l$ and $q=j$, we obtain,

$$
\mathcal{H}_{i i l l j j}=\overline{\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{l}} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial x_{j}}}=\frac{35}{2} a_{1}
$$

And comparison with Eq. (11), results in,

$$
\mathcal{H}_{i i l l j j}=\frac{\partial^{3} S_{i l, i}}{\partial r_{j}^{2} \partial r_{l}}(0)
$$

Similarly,

$$
\mathcal{H}_{111111}=\frac{\partial^{3} S_{11,1}}{\partial r_{1}{ }^{3}}(0)=\overline{\frac{\overline{u_{1}(\underline{x})}}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{\partial u_{1}(\underline{x})}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{\partial u_{1}(\underline{x})}{\partial x_{1}}}=\overline{u_{x}^{3}}
$$

## A. 5

Definition Skewness and Palinstrophy (related to Palenstrophy?)
The skewness is the third moment of $v^{\prime}$, normalized by the variance:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { skewness }=\frac{\left\langle v^{\prime 3}\right\rangle}{\left\langle v^{\prime 2}\right\rangle^{3 / 2}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

A PDF which is symmetric about the mean $\langle v\rangle$ will have zero skewness. All higher odd moments of such a symmetric PDF will also be identically zero. The skewness reveals information about the asymmetry of the PDF. Positive skewness indicates that the PDF has a longer tail for $v-\langle v\rangle>0$ than for $v-\langle v\rangle<0$. Hence a positive skewness means that variable $v^{\prime}$ is more likely to take on large positive values than large negative values. A time series with long stretches of small negative values and a few instances of large positive values, with zero time mean, has positive skewness (Fig. 3.1).


Figure 3.1: Signal with a positive skewness.

Davidson, Turbulence, Chapter 10, Two-Dimensional Turbulence, 2004.
${ }^{2}$ Palinstrophy is defined as $\frac{1}{2}(\nabla \times \omega)^{2}$, which in two-dimensions is $\frac{1}{2}(\nabla \omega)^{2}$. The etymology of the word is given in Lesieur (1990). It was introduced by Pouquet et al. (1975) and is constructed from palin and strophy, which are the Greek for again and rotation respectively. Thus Palinstrophy is 'again rotation' or 'curl curl'.

It can be shown that (Batchelor, G., \& Townsend, A. (1948). Decay of isotropic turbulence in the initial period).

$$
G=\frac{30 v}{7} \frac{\frac{\overline{\omega_{i, j} \omega_{i, j}}}{\overline{\omega_{k} \omega_{k}}}}{\varepsilon / k}
$$

So that $G$ is a ratio of turbulent to dissipative timescales. (Speziale, C., \& Bernard, P. (1992). The energy decay in self-preserving isotropic turbulence revisited. Journal of Fluid Mechanics).
A. 5

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{T}=k^{2} / V E \\
& \frac{d R_{T}}{d t}=\frac{2 k}{d \Sigma} \frac{d k}{d t}-\frac{k^{2}}{V \Sigma^{2}} \frac{d \Sigma}{d t} \\
& =\frac{-2 k}{v}-\frac{1 L^{2}}{v g^{x}}\left[5 k R_{T}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{2^{2}}{15}-6^{+\frac{z^{2}}{15}}\right] \\
& =-\frac{2 K}{V}-S_{K}^{*} \sqrt{R T} \frac{K}{V}+6 \frac{K}{V} \\
& \tau(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\varepsilon}{k} d t \text { usir } \frac{d t}{d t}=-\Sigma \\
& =-\int_{0}^{t} \frac{A k}{i<}=-\left.\ln k\right|_{0} ^{t}=\ln k \theta / k(t) \\
& R_{T}(\tau)=R_{T}(z) \Rightarrow \frac{d R_{T}}{d S}=\frac{d R_{i}}{d L} \frac{d \Sigma}{d t}=\frac{d R_{T}}{d T} \frac{\sum}{K} \\
& \frac{d R_{*}^{*}}{d z}=\frac{k}{2}\left[-\frac{2 k}{v}-5_{k}^{*} \sqrt{r}+\frac{k}{v}+6^{x} \frac{k}{V}\right] \\
& =\frac{k^{2}}{2 v}\left[6^{4}-2-5_{4 x} \sqrt{n} T\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

