



page 1 of 13

# 

© Dennis Bricker Dept of Mechanical & Industrial Engineering The University of Iowa

Walnut Orchard

9/16/2004

#### **Decision variables:**

- C1 = # of acres of Farm 1 planted in corn
- W1 = # of acres of Farm 1 planted in wheat
- C2 = # of acres of Farm 2 planted in corn
- W2 = # of acres of Farm 2 planted in wheat

#### **Constraints**:

- Restrictions of the number of acres of each farm which are planted in crops.
  - $C1 + W1 \leq 100$
  - C2 + W2 ≤150
- Restrictions of the minimum quantity of each crop.
  - 100C1 + 120C2 ≤11000
  - 40W1 + 35W2 ≤6000
- Walnut orchard · Nonnegativity constraint on each of the four variables.  $C1 \ge 0$ ,  $C2 \ge 0$ ,  $W1 \ge 0$ ,  $W2 \ge 0$

## **Objective:**

**Minimize** 90 C1 + 115 C2 + 90 W1 + 80 W2

#### Walnut Orchard

## Walnut Orchard has two farms that grow wheat & corn.

Because of differing soil conditions, there are differences in the yields and costs of growing crops on the two farms:

	Farm #1	Farm #2
Corn yield/acre	100 bushels	120 bushels
Cost/acre of corn	\$90	\$115
Wheat yield/acre	40 bushels	35 bushels
Cost/acre of wheat	\$90	\$80

- Farm #1 has 100 acres available for cultivation, while Farm #2 has 150 acres.
- The farm has contracted to grow 11,000 bushels of corn and 6000 bushels of wheat.
- Determine a planting plan that will minimize the cost of meeting these contracts.
  - Note: We are assuming that the costs and yields are known with certainty, which is not the case in the "real world"!

Walnut	Orchard
--------	---------

9/16/2004

page 2 of 13

## **Complete LP formulation with solution :**

MIN 90 SUBJECT TC 2) 3) 4) 5)	C1 + 115 C2 + 90 W1 C1 + W1 <= 100 C2 + W2 <= 150 100 C1 + 120 C2 >= 40 W1 + 35 W2 >=	+ 80 W2	
END			
OBJE	CTIVE FUNCTION VALUE		
1)	24096.15		
VARIABLE C1 C2	VALUE 3.846154 88.461540	REDUCED COST 0.000000 0.000000	
W1	96.153847	0.000000	
W2	61.538460	0.000000	
ROW 2) 3) 4) 5)	SLACK OR SURPLUS 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000	DUAL PRICES 17.692308 14.230769 -1.076923 -2.692308	

Walnut Orchard

That is, the optimal plan is to plant

- $\blacklozenge$  3.85 acres of corn on farm #1 ,
- $\blacklozenge$  88.46 acres of corn on farm #2 ,
- ♦ 96.15 acres of wheat on farm #1 and
- ♦ 61.54 acres of wheat on farm #2.

The total cost will be \$24,096.15.

The LINGO model (*without using sets, etc.*) is nearly the same:

MIN = 90 \* C1 + 115 \* C2 + 90 \* W1 + 80 \* W2; C1 + W1 <= 100; C2 + W2 <= 150; 100 \* C1 + 120 \* C2 >= 11000; 40 \* W1 + 35 \* W2 >= 6000; END

Note that LINGO requires the "\*" to indicate multiplication, and the semicolon to indicate end of statement.

Using sets allows us to generalize the model,

separating the data from the model.

Walnut Orchard 9/1	16/2004	page 5 of 13	Walnut Orchard	9/16/2004	page 6 of 13
--------------------	---------	--------------	----------------	-----------	--------------

SET	<u>'S:</u>
	FARM/12/:ACRES;
	CROP/CORN, WHEAT/:RQMT;
	PLANT (FARM, CROP) :COST, YIELD, X;
ENI	SETS
DAI	'A :
	ACRES = 100 150;
	ROMT = 11000 6000;
	YIELD= 100 40
	120 35;
	COST= 90 90
	110 80:
ENI	DATA
MIN	I = ACIIM(DI ANIM, COCM+V).
MITN	$I = (GSOM(PLANI: COSI^X);$

@SUM(CROP(J): X(I,J)) <= ACRES(I)); @FOR(CROP(J): @SUM(FARM(I): YIELD(I,J)\*X(I,J)) >= RQMT(J)); END





MIN 90 X( 1, CORN) + 90 X( 1, WHEAT) + 110 X( 2, CORN) + 80 X( 2, WHEAT) SUBJECT TO 2] X( 1, CORN) + X( 1, WHEAT) <= 100 3] X( 2, CORN) + X( 2, WHEAT) <= 150 4] 100 X( 1, CORN) + 120 X( 2, CORN) >= 11000 5] 40 X( 1, WHEAT) + 35 X( 2, WHEAT) >= 6000 END

9/16/2004

G

# The solution:

Globa Objec	4 23653.85							
Variak	ole		Value	Reduced Cost				
X(1,	CORN)		3.846154	0.000000				
X(1,	WHEAT)		96.15385	0.000000				
X(2,	CORN)		88.46154	0.000000				
X(2,	WHEAT)		61.53846	0.000000				
Row	Slack	or S	urplus	Dual Price				
1	23653.	85		1.000000				
2	0.	00		2.307692				
3	0.	00		0.7692308				
4	0.	0.00 -0.9230769						
5	0.	00		-2.307692				

Ranges in which the basis is unchanged: Objective Coefficient Ranges Current Allowable Allowable Coefficient Variable Increase Decrease X(1, CORN) 90.00000 0.2380952 INFINITY X(1, WHEAT) 90.00000 TNFINITY 0.2380952 X(2, CORN) 110.0000 INFINITY 0.2857143 X(2, WHEAT) 80.00000 0.2083333 INFINITY Righthand Side Ranges Row Allowable Current Allowable RHS Increase Decrease 2 100.0000 28.75000 1.041667 3

29.76190

41.66667

142.8571

1.190476

2875.000

1041.667

Walnut Orchard	9/16/2004	page 9 of 13	Walnut Orchard	9/16/2004	page 10 of 13	

#### From LINDO:

THE TABLEAU

ROW	BASIS	S C1	C2	W1	W2	SLK 2	SLK 3	SLK 4	SLK 5	
1	ART	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.692	14.231	1.1	2.7 -	-0.24E+05
2	C1	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.692	3.231	0.027	0.092	3.846
3	W2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	3.077	3.692	0.031	0.077	61.538
4	C2	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	-3.077	-2.692	-0.031	-0.077	88.462
5	W1	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	-2.692	-3.231	-0.027	-0.092	96.154

#### Sensitivity Analysis

150.0000

11000.00

6000.000

4

5

- 1. By what amount may the cost of planting corn on Farm #1 *increase* without changing the solution?
- 2. Suppose that the farmer has decided to plant one acre of Farm #1 in vegetables, so that the amount of land available to corn and wheat is decreased. What will be his increased cost of filling the contract for corn and wheat? \_\_\_\_\_ How would he change his plan to accomplish this?
- 3. Suppose that in (2) the farmer has decided to plant two acres in vegetables rather than one acre. What will be his increased cost?
- 4. The farmer asks you, "What is my marginal cost per bushel of corn to meet my contract to deliver corn?" That is, what would be the cost of delivering an extra bushel of corn?
- 5. "What is my average cost per bushel of wheat to meet my contract to deliver wheat?"\_\_\_\_\_