

# Benders' Method

## Farmer's Problem

A variation of Example problem in Birge & Louveaux, *Introduction to Stochastic Programming*.

©D.L.Bricker, 2001  
Dept. of Industrial Engineering  
The University of Iowa

General Stochastic LP model:

$$Z = \min cx + \sum_{k=1}^K p_k q_k y_k$$

subject to

$$T_k x + W y_k = h_k, k = 1, \dots, K;$$

$$x \in X$$

(In the original problem, only matrix  $T$  was random, whereas in this version,  $q$  and  $h$  are also random.)

A farmer raises **wheat**, **corn**, and **sugar beets** on 500 acres of land. Before the planting season he wants to decide how much land to devote to each crop.

- wheat and corn are needed for **cattle feed**, which can be purchased from a wholesaler if not raised on the farm. *The amount needed depends upon the type of growing season.*
- Any grain in excess of the cattle feed requirement can be sold, with *the prices also dependent upon the type of growing season.*
- Up to 6000 tons of sugar beets can be sold for \$36 per ton; any additional amounts can be sold for \$10/ton.

*In the original version of the problem, grain requirements for cattle and grain prices were not dependent upon the type of growing season.*

-----  
First-stage data:

A, B=

1 1 1 < 500

i	variable	cost
1	Wheat acres	-150
2	Corn acres	-230
3	Beet acres	-260

-----  
The APL algorithm is designed to minimize, and so we will minimize the **negative** of the profits!

Objective: Maximize

-----  
Second-stage data: K= # scenarios = 3

The following data vary by scenario: T, q, h

i	variable	Costs:		
		Good	Fair	Poor
scenario: 1 (Good) 2 (Fair) 3 (Poor)				
1	Wheat purchase	-220	-238	-245
2	Corn purchase	-195	-210	-215
3	Wheat sold	155	170	185
4	Corn sold	130	150	160
5	Subsidized beet sales	36	36	36
6	Unsubsidized beet sales	8	10	15

-----  
*When yields are good, market prices are lowered, while cattle can supplement their diet with grass & fodder, requiring less grain.*

Scenario # 1, with probability 0.33333333

Cost= -220 -195 155 130 36 8

T,W,h=

3	0	0		1	0	-1	0	0	0	>	190	<i>Cattle rqmt for wheat</i>
0	3.6	0		0	1	0	-1	0	0	>	230	<i>Cattle rqmt for corn</i>
0	0	-24		0	0	0	0	1	1	<	0	
0	0	0		0	0	0	0	1	0	<	6000	<i>Quota for beet subsidy</i>

Scenario # 2, with probability 0.33333333

Cost= -238 -210 170 150 36 10

T,W,h=

2.5	0	0		1	0	-1	0	0	0	>	200
0	3	0		0	1	0	-1	0	0	>	240
0	0	-20		0	0	0	0	1	1	<	0
0	0	0		0	0	0	0	1	0	<	6000

Scenario # 3, with probability 0.33333333

Cost= -245 -215 185 160 36 15

T,W,h=

2	0	0		1	0	-1	0	0	0	>	210
0	2.4	0		0	1	0	-1	0	0	>	250
0	0	-16		0	0	0	0	1	1	<	0
0	0	0		0	0	0	0	1	0	<	6000

**Iteration #1:** Trial X for primal subproblems is

i	Variable	Value
1	Wheat acres	0
2	Corn acres	0
3	Beet acres	500

**Primal subproblems** summary: Second stage costs:

k	cost	p[k]
1	-177350	0.33333333
2	-158000	0.33333333
3	-140800	0.33333333

First stage cost: 130000.00

Expected second stage cost: -158716.67

Total: **-28716.67**

Lagrangian multipliers

1	220	195	-8	-28
2	238	210	-10	-26
3	245	215	-15	-21
Sum	703	620	-33	-75

**Initial Upper Bound**

**Scenario #1** Optimal objective: -177350

i	variable	value
1	Wheat purchase	190
2	Corn purchase	230
5	Subsidized beet sales	6000
6	Unsubsidized beet sales	6000

**Scenario #2** Optimal objective: -158000

i	variable	value
1	Wheat purchase	200
2	Corn purchase	240
5	Subsidized beet sales	6000
6	Unsubsidized beet sales	4000

**Scenario #3** Optimal objective: -140800

i	variable	value
1	Wheat purchase	210
2	Corn purchase	250
5	Subsidized beet sales	6000
6	Unsubsidized beet sales	2000

**SUBPROBLEMS  
DETAILS**

The LP dual extreme points for each of the three scenarios are readily available from the LP solver:

Lagrangian multipliers

Scenario				
1	220	195	-8	-28
2	238	210	-10	-26
3	245	215	-15	-21
Sum	703	620	-33	-75

There are four dual variables, one for each of the constraints in the second-stage LP.

**Estimating the Second-Stage Expected Costs:**

For each scenario k, the dual information from the LP subproblem provides an *underestimating linear function* of  $Q_k(x)$ :

-----  
**Scenario 1**

Cut	Lambda	Alpha
-----	--------	-------

1	-660	-702 -192 -81350	i.e., -660X <sub>1</sub> -702X <sub>2</sub> -192X <sub>3</sub> -81350
---	------	------------------	--

-----  
**Scenario 2**

Cut	Lambda	Alpha
-----	--------	-------

1	-595	-630 -200 -58000
---	------	------------------

-----  
**Scenario 3**

Cut	Lambda	Alpha
-----	--------	-------

1	-490	-516 -240 -20800
---	------	------------------

-----

**Benders' (Partial) Master Problem**

Minimize  $150X_1 + 230X_2 + 260X_3 + \frac{1}{3}\theta_1 + \frac{1}{3}\theta_2 + \frac{1}{3}\theta_3$

subject to

$$X_1 + X_2 + X_3 \leq 500 \quad (\text{first-stage constraints})$$

$$\begin{cases} \theta_1 \geq -660X_1 - 702X_2 - 192X_3 - 81350 \\ \theta_2 \geq -595X_1 - 630X_2 - 200X_3 - 58000 \\ \theta_3 \geq -490X_1 - 516X_2 - 240X_3 - 20800 \end{cases}$$

$$X_j \geq 0, \quad j=1,2,3$$

**Solution of Master Problem**

value= -269216.67

X= 500 0 0

First-stage cost: 75000

Estimated Q(X): -411350 -355500 -265800

Total (estimated) expected value: -269216.67

This is an **underestimate** of the minimum expected cost  
 (or, since the maximization problem was converted to a minimization problem, the maximum expected profit is bounded above by \$269216.67.)

**Initial Lower Bound**

**Iteration #2**

Trial X for primal subproblems is

i	Variable	Value
1	Wheat acres	500
2	Corn acres	0
3	Beet acres	0

**Primal subproblems summary:** Second stage costs:

k	cost	p[k]
1	-158200	0.33333333
2	-128100	0.33333333
3	-92400	0.33333333

First stage cost: 75000.00

Expected second stage cost: -126233.33

Total: **-51233.33**

Lagrangian multipliers

1	155	195	-36	0
2	170	210	-36	0
3	185	215	-36	0
Sum	510	620	-108	0

**New Upper Bound**

Scenario #1 Optimal objective: -158200

i	variable	value
2	Corn purchase	230
3	Wheat sold	1310
10	slack_4	6000

Scenario #2 Optimal objective: -128100

i	variable	value
2	Corn purchase	240
3	Wheat sold	1050
10	slack_4	6000

Scenario #3 Optimal objective: -92400

i	variable	value
2	Corn purchase	250
3	Wheat sold	790
10	slack_4	6000

Scenario	Lagrangian multipliers		
1	155	195	-36 0
2	170	210	-36 0
3	185	215	-36 0

**SUBPROBLEMS**

**Generating New Optimality Cuts**

**Scenario 1**

Cut	Lambda	Alpha
1	-660 -702 -192	-81350
2	-465 -702 -864	74300

*i.e.,*  
 $Q_k(x) \geq -660X_1 - 702X_2 - 192X_3 - 81350$   
 $Q_k(x) \geq -465X_1 - 702X_2 - 864X_3 - 74300$

**Scenario 2**

Cut	Lambda	Alpha
1	-595 -630 -200	-58000
2	-425 -630 -720	84400

**Scenario 3**

Cut	Lambda	Alpha
1	-490 -516 -240	-20800
2	-370 -516 -576	92600

**Benders' (Partial) Master Problem**

Minimize  $150X_1 + 230X_2 + 260X_3 + \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3$

subject to

$X_1 + X_2 + X_3 \leq 500$  (first-stage constraints)

$$\begin{cases} \theta_1 \geq -660X_1 - 702X_2 - 192X_3 - 81350 \\ \theta_1 \geq -465X_1 - 702X_2 - 864X_3 + 74300 \\ \theta_2 \geq -595X_1 - 630X_2 - 200X_3 - 58000 \\ \theta_2 \geq -425X_1 - 630X_2 - 720X_3 + 84400 \\ \theta_3 \geq -490X_1 - 516X_2 - 240X_3 - 20800 \\ \theta_3 \geq -370X_1 - 516X_2 - 576X_3 + 92600 \end{cases}$$

$X_j \geq 0, j=1,2,3$

**Solution of Master Problem**

value= -126373.36

X= 0 268.37798 231.62202

That is, plant 268.38 acres of corn and 231.62 acres of beets.

First-stage cost: 121948.66

Estimated Q(X): -314222.77 -251445.98 -179297.32

Total (estimated) expected value: -126373.36

This is a new underestimate of the minimum expected cost.

Therefore,  $-126373.36 \leq Z^* \leq -51233.33$

*i.e., the farmer can make a profit of at least \$51233.33, but no more than \$126373.36.*

**New Lower Bound**

**Iteration #3**

Trial X for primal subproblems is

i	Variable	Value
1	Wheat acres	0.00000
2	Corn acres	268.37798
3	Beet acres	231.62202

Primal subproblems summary: Second stage costs:

k	cost	p[k]
1	-254022.32	0.33333333
2	-203937.95	0.33333333
3	-145021.43	0.33333333

First stage cost: 121948.66  
 Expected second stage cost: -200993.90  
 Total: **-79045.24**

Lagrangian multipliers

1	220	130	-36	0
2	238	150	-36	0
3	245	160	-36	0
Sum	703	440	-108	0

**New Upper Bound**

Scenario #1 Optimal objective: -254022.32

i	variable	value
1	Wheat purchase	190.00000
4	Corn sold	736.16071
5	Subsidized beet sales	5558.92857
10	slack_4	441.07143

Scenario #2 Optimal objective: -203937.95

i	variable	value
1	Wheat purchase	200.00000
4	Corn sold	565.13393
5	Subsidized beet sales	4632.44048
10	slack_4	1367.55952

Scenario #3 Optimal objective: -145021.43

i	variable	value
1	Wheat purchase	210.00000
4	Corn sold	394.10714
5	Subsidized beet sales	3705.95238
10	slack_4	2294.04762

**SUBPROBLEMS  
DETAILS**

**Generating New Optimality Cuts**

Scenario 1

Cut	Lambda	Alpha
1	-660 -702 -192	-81350
2	-465 -702 -864	74300
3	-660 -468 -864	71700

Scenario 2

Cut	Lambda	Alpha
1	-595 -630 -200	-58000
2	-425 -630 -720	84400
3	-595 -450 -720	83600

Scenario 3

Cut	Lambda	Alpha
1	-490 -516 -240	-20800
2	-370 -516 -576	92600
3	-490 -384 -576	91450

**Solution of Master Problem**

value= -114970.69

X= 117.12197 115.05964 267.81839

First-stage cost: 113664.79  
 Estimated Q(X): -290843.5 -230693.65 -164369.3  
 Total (estimated) expected value: -114970.69

Our bounds on the optimal solution are now:

$$-114970.69 \leq Z^* \leq -79045.24$$

**New Lower Bound**

**Iteration #4**

Trial X for primal subproblems is

i	Variable	Value
1	Wheat acres	117.12197
2	Corn acres	115.05964
3	Beet acres	267.81839

Primal subproblems summary: Second stage costs:

k	cost	p[k]
1	-268380.76	0.33333333
2	-224382.92	0.33333333
3	-162931.42	0.33333333

First stage cost: 113664.79  
 Expected second stage cost: -218565.03  
 Total: -104900.24

Lagrangian multipliers

1	155	130	-8	-28
2	170	150	-36	0
3	185	160	-36	0
Sum	510	440	-80	-28

**New Upper Bound**

Primal subproblem details:

Scenario #1 Optimal objective: -268380.76

i	variable	value
3	Wheat sold	161.36592
4	Corn sold	184.21471
5	Subsidized beet sales	6000.00000
6	Unsubsidized beet sales	427.64128

Scenario #2 Optimal objective: -224382.92

i	variable	value
3	Wheat sold	92.804931
4	Corn sold	105.178922
5	Subsidized beet sales	5356.367736
10	slack_4	643.632264

Scenario #3 Optimal objective: -162931.42

i	variable	value
3	Wheat sold	24.243945
4	Corn sold	26.143138
5	Subsidized beet sales	4285.094189
10	slack_4	1714.905811

**SUBPROBLEM DETAILS**

**Solution of Master Problem**

value= -106947.41

X= 175.61012 80 244.38988

First-stage cost: 108282.89  
 Estimated Q(X): -274671.56 -216595.01 -154424.32  
 Total (estimated) expected value: -106947.41

Our bounds on the optimal solution are now:

$$-106947.41 \leq Z^* \leq -104900.24$$

The gap between the upper & lower bounds on the profit has now been narrowed to \$1047!

**New Lower Bound**

**Iteration #5** Trial X for primal subproblems is

i	Variable	Value
1	Wheat acres	175.61012
2	Corn acres	80.00000
3	Beet acres	244.38988

Primal subproblems summary: Second stage costs:

k	cost	p[k]
1	-270901.56	0.33333333
2	-216595.01	0.33333333
3	-154424.32	0.33333333

First stage cost: 108282.89  
 Expected second stage cost: -213973.63  
 Total: -105690.74

Lagrangian multipliers

1	155	130	-36	0
2	170	150	-36	0 * Repeated
3	185	215	-36	0 * Repeated
Sum	510	495	-108	0

Only one new "cut" is generated for the master problem!

**New Upper Bound**

Primal subproblem details:

Scenario #1 Optimal objective: -270901.56

i	variable	value
3	Wheat sold	336.83036
4	Corn sold	58.00000
5	Subsidized beet sales	5865.35714
10	slack_4	134.64286

Scenario #2 Optimal objective: -216595.01

i	variable	value
3	Wheat sold	239.0253
5	Subsidized beet sales	4887.7976
10	slack_4	1112.2024

Scenario #3 Optimal objective: -154424.32

i	variable	value
2	Corn purchase	58.00000
3	Wheat sold	141.22024
5	Subsidized beet sales	3910.23810
10	slack_4	2089.76190

**SUBPROBLEMS  
DETAILS**

**Solution of Master Problem**

value= -106756.67

X= 170 80 250

First-stage cost: 108900

Estimated Q(X): -273140 -218250 -155580

Total (estimated) expected value: -106756.67

**New Lower Bound**

Our bounds on the optimal solution are now:

$$-106756.67 \leq Z^* \leq -105690.74$$

Iteration #6 Trial X for primal subproblems is

i	Variable	Value
1	Wheat acres	170
2	Corn acres	80
3	Beet acres	250

Primal subproblems summary: Second stage costs:

k	cost	p[k]
1	-273140	0.33333333
2	-218250	0.33333333
3	-155580	0.33333333

First stage cost: 108900.00

Expected second stage cost: -215656.67

Total: -106756.67

Lagrangian multipliers

1	155	130	-8	-28	*Repeated!
2	170	150	-36	0	*Repeated!
3	185	215	-36	0	*Repeated!
Sum	510	495	-80	-28	

**New Upper Bound**

Primal subproblem details:

Scenario #1 Optimal objective: -273140

i	variable	value
3	Wheat sold	320
4	Corn sold	58
5	Subsidized beet sales	6000

Scenario #2 Optimal objective: -218250

i	variable	value
3	Wheat sold	225
5	Subsidized beet sales	5000
10	slack_4	1000

Scenario #3 Optimal objective: -155580

i	variable	value
2	Corn purchase	58
3	Wheat sold	130
5	Subsidized beet sales	4000
10	slack_4	2000

**SUBPROBLEMS  
DETAILS**

**Solution of Master Problem**

value= -106756.67

X= 170 80 250

First-stage cost: 108900

Estimated Q(X): -273140 -218250 -155580

Total (estimated) expected value: -106756.67

**Unchanged!**


\*\*\*\*\*

**Converged at iteration #6!**

*X was generated by previous master problem!*


*(The algorithm could have been terminated after the previous subproblem solution, since the gap between upper & lower bounds had then been reduced to zero!)*

**Plot of Convergence of Bounds**



*Convergence is monotonic, i.e., each upper bound is at least as good as the previous one, and likewise for lower bounds!*

**Plot of Convergence of First-Stage Decisions**



*Convergence of first-stage variables is not monotonic!*

**Final List of Optimality Cuts**

**Scenario 1**

Cut	Lambda	Alpha
1	-660 -702 -192	-81350
2	-465 -702 -864	74300
3	-660 -468 -864	71700
4	-465 -468 -192	-108650
5	-465 -468 -864	59350
6	-465 -468 -192	-108650

**Scenario 3**

Cut	Lambda	Alpha
1	-490 -516 -240	-20800
2	-370 -516 -576	92600
3	-490 -384 -576	91450
4	-370 -384 -576	78850
5	-370 -516 -576	92600
6	-370 -516 -576	92600

**Scenario 2**

Cut	Lambda	Alpha
1	-595 -630 -200	-58000
2	-425 -630 -720	84400
3	-595 -450 -720	83600
4	-425 -450 -720	70000
5	-425 -450 -720	70000
6	-425 -450 -720	70000