

Simplex Algorithm: Pivoting in the Tableau

Maximize $12X_1 + 8X_2$
 subject to $5X_1 + 2X_2 \leq 150$
 $2X_1 + 3X_2 \leq 100$
 $4X_1 + 2X_2 \leq 80$
 $X_1 \geq 0, X_2 \geq 0$


Inequalities are converted to equations by the introduction of slack variables.

Maximize $12X_1 + 8X_2 = Z$
 subject to $5X_1 + 2X_2 + S_1 = 150$
 $2X_1 + 3X_2 + S_2 = 100$
 $4X_1 + 2X_2 + S_3 = 80$
 $X_1 \geq 0, X_2 \geq 0, S_1 \geq 0, S_2 \geq 0, S_3 \geq 0$

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Maximize $12X_1 + 8X_2 = Z$
 subject to $5X_1 + 2X_2 + S_1 = 150$
 $2X_1 + 3X_2 + S_2 = 100$
 $4X_1 + 2X_2 + S_3 = 80$
 $X_1 \geq 0, X_2 \geq 0, S_1 \geq 0, S_2 \geq 0, S_3 \geq 0$

Tableau	-Z	X ₁	X ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	rhs
	1	12	8	0	0	0	0
	0	5	2	1	0	0	150
	0	2	3	0	1	0	100
	0	4	2	0	0	1	80




©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

-Z	X ₁	X ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	rhs
1	12	8	0	0	0	0
0	5	2	1	0	0	150
0	2	3	0	1	0	100
0	4	2	0	0	1	80


Increasing either X_1 or X_2 will increase the objective function. Let's choose X_1 .

Minimum ratio test:
 $\text{Min} \left\{ \frac{150}{5}, \frac{100}{2}, \frac{80}{4} \right\}$
 $= \text{Min} \{30, 50, 20\} = 20$



©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998



-Z	X ₁	X ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	rhs
1	0	2	0	0	-3	-240
0	0	-0.5	1	0	-1.25	50
0	0	2	0	1	-0.5	60
0	1	0.5	0	0	0.25	20

Pivoting has taken us from one "corner" to an adjacent "corner"

-Z	X ₁	X ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	rhs
1	0	2	0	0	-3	-240
0	0	-0.5	1	0	-1.25	50
0	0	0	0	1	-0.5	60
0	1	0.5	0	0	0.25	20

The objective function may be increased further by increasing X_2 .


Minimum ratio test

$\text{Min} \left\{ -, \frac{60}{2}, \frac{20}{0.5} \right\}$
 $= \text{Min} \{ -, 30, 40 \} = 30$

(Only ratios of RHS's to positive substitution rates are used in the minimum ratio test!)

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998



-Z	X ₁	X ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	rhs
1	0	0	0	-1	-2.5	-300
0	0	0	1	0.25	-1.38	65
0	0	1	0	0.5	-0.25	30
0	1	0	0	-0.25	0.375	5


-Z	X ₁	X ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	rhs
1	0	0	0	-1	-2.5	-300
0	0	0	1	0.25	-1.38	65
0	0	1	0	0.5	-0.25	30
0	1	0	0	-0.25	0.375	5

Because no variable has a positive relative profit, this basic solution is optimal, i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} Z = 300 \\ S_1 = 65 \\ X_2 = 30 \\ X_1 = 5 \end{cases}$$

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998



-Z	X ₁	X ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	rhs
1	12	8	0	0	0	0
0	5	2	1	0	0	150
0	2	3	0	1	0	100
0	4	2	0	0	1	80

What if you make a mistake in performing the "minimum-ratio test"?

Suppose, in the first tableau, we had mistakenly chosen to pivot in row 2 (rather than in the correct row, which is row 4).

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998


©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

-Z	X ₁	X ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	rhs
1	12	8	0	0	0	0
0	5	2	1	0	0	150
0	2	3	0	1	0	100
0	4	2	0	0	1	80



-Z	X ₁	X ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	rhs
1	0	3.2	-2.4	0	0	-360
0	1	0.4	0.2	0	0	30
0	0	2.2	-0.4	1	0	-40
0	0	0.4	-0.8	0	1	-40

The result is a tableau with a negative basic variable!



At the point $X_1 = 0, X_2 = 30$, the slack variable for the third constraint (S_3) is negative, i.e., the third constraint is violated!

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Maximize $3X_1 + 2X_2$
 subject to $6X_1 + 4X_2 \leq 24$
 $10X_1 + 3X_2 \leq 30$
 $X_1 \geq 0, X_2 \geq 0$

**Example:
Multiple
Optima**

Maximize $3X_1 + 2X_2 = Z$
 subject to $6X_1 + 4X_2 + S_1 = 24$
 $10X_1 + 3X_2 + S_2 = 30$
 $X_1 \geq 0, X_2 \geq 0, S_1 \geq 0, S_2 \geq 0$

Maximize $3X_1 + 2X_2 = Z$
 subject to $6X_1 + 4X_2 + S_1 = 24$
 $10X_1 + 3X_2 + S_2 = 30$
 $X_1 \geq 0, X_2 \geq 0, S_1 \geq 0, S_2 \geq 0$

-Z	X ₁	X ₂	S ₁	S ₂	rhs
1	3	2	0	0	0
0	6	4	1	0	24
0	10	3	0	1	30

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

-Z	X ₁	X ₂	S ₁	S ₂	rhs
1	3	2	0	0	0
0	0	6	4	1	24
0	0	10	3	0	30



-Z	X ₁	X ₂	S ₁	S ₂	rhs
1	0	1.1	0	-0.3	-9
0	0	2.2	1	-0.6	6
0	1	0.3	0	0.1	3

-Z	X ₁	X ₂	S ₁	S ₂	rhs
1	0	1.1	0	-0.3	-9
0	0	2.2	1	-0.6	6
0	1	0.3	0	0.1	3



-Z	X ₁	X ₂	S ₁	S ₂	rhs
1	0	0	-0.5	0	-12
0	0	1	0.455	-0.273	2.73
0	1	0	-0.136	0.182	2.18

There is no positive relative profit, so this basic solution is optimal.

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Note, however, that there is a nonbasic variable (S₂) with a zero relative profit.

-Z	X ₁	X ₂	S ₁	S ₂	rhs
1	0	0	-0.5	0	-12
0	0	1	0.455	-0.273	2.73
0	1	0	-0.136	0.182	2.18


basic solution $\begin{cases} Z = 12 \\ X_2 = 2.73 \\ X_1 = 2.18 \end{cases}$



-Z	X ₁	X ₂	S ₁	S ₂	rhs
1	0	0	-0.5	0	-12
0	1.5	1	0.25	0	6
0	5.5	0	-0.75	1	12

Both of these basic solutions are optimal!

basic solution $\begin{cases} Z = 12 \\ X_2 = 6 \\ S_2 = 12 \end{cases}$



Every point on this edge (including the corner points) is optimal. Only the two corners are basic, however.

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Maximize $2X_1 + 3X_2$
 subject to $X_1 + X_2 \geq 3$
 $X_1 - 2X_2 \leq 4$
 $X_1 \geq 0, X_2 \geq 0$

Example: Unbounded Solution

Maximize $2X_1 + 3X_2$
 subject to $X_1 + X_2 - S_1 = 3$
 $X_1 - 2X_2 + S_2 = 4$
 $X_1 \geq 0, X_2 \geq 0, S_1 \geq 0, S_2 \geq 0$

-Z	X ₁	X ₂	S ₁	S ₂	rhs
1	-1	0	3	0	-9
0	1	1	-1	0	3
0	3	0	-2	1	10

Suppose that, after one or more pivots, we obtain this tableau.

This tableau is not optimal, because S₁ has a positive relative profit.


©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

-Z	X ₁	X ₂	S ₁	S ₂	rhs
1	-1	0	3	0	-9
0	1	1	-1	0	3
0	3	0	-2	1	10



S₁ is selected to enter the basis, but the minimum ratio test provides no "block" on the increase of S₁.

$$\begin{bmatrix} Z \\ X_2 \\ S_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 3 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix} X_1 + \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} S_1$$



When a pivot column is selected (i.e., a variable is selected for increase) but there is no positive substitution rate on which to pivot, then the solution is unbounded.

If this is a "real-world" problem, this usually means that some error has been made in the formulation or in a previous pivot, since it is impossible to make unlimited profits!

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Example

Maximize $X_1 + 2X_2 + 3X_3 + 4X_4$
 subject to

$$\begin{cases} 2X_1 - X_2 + X_3 + 3X_4 \leq 10 \\ X_2 + X_3 - X_4 \leq 12 \\ X_1 + 2X_2 + 5X_3 + 2X_4 \leq 20 \\ 4X_3 - X_4 \leq 10 \\ X_j \geq 0, j=1,2,3,4 \end{cases}$$

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

Initial Tableau

-Z	X ₁	X ₂	X ₃	X ₄	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	S ₄	rhs
1	1	2	3	4	0	0	0	0	0
0	2	-1	1	-3	1	0	0	0	10
0	0	1	1	-1	0	1	0	0	12
0	1	2	5	2	0	0	1	0	20
0	0	0	4	-1	0	0	0	1	10

(max)

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

-Z	X ₁	X ₂	X ₃	X ₄	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	S ₄	rhs
1	-1.67	3.33	1.67	0	-1.33	0	0	0	-13.3
0	0.667	-0.333	0.333	1	0.333	0	0	0	3.33
0	0.667	0.667	1.333	0	0.333	1	0	0	15.3
0	-0.333	2.67	4.33	0	-0.667	0	1	0	13.3
0	0.667	-0.333	4.33	0	0.333	0	0	1	13.3

(max)

-Z	X ₁	X ₂	X ₃	X ₄	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	S ₄	rhs
1	-1.54	2.31	0	0	-1.08	0	-0.385	0	-18.5
0	0.692	-0.538	0	1	0.385	0	-0.0769	0	2.31
0	0.769	-0.154	0	0	0.538	1	-0.308	0	11.2
0	-0.0769	0.615	1	0	-0.154	0	0.231	0	3.08
0	1	-3	0	0	1	0	-1	1	0

(max)

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

-Z	X ₁	X ₂	X ₃	X ₄	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	S ₄	rhs
1	-1.25	0	-3.75	0	-0.5	0	-1.25	0	-30
0	0.625	0	0.975	1	0.25	0	0.125	0	5
0	0.75	0	0.25	0	0.5	1	-0.25	0	12
0	-0.125	1	1.63	0	-0.25	0	0.375	0	5
0	0.625	0	4.88	0	0.25	0	0.125	1	15

(max)

-Z	X ₁	X ₂	X ₃	X ₄	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	S ₄	rhs
1	-1.67	3.33	1.67	0	-1.33	0	0	0	-13.3
0	0.667	-0.333	0.333	1	0.333	0	0	0	3.33
0	0.667	0.667	1.333	0	0.333	1	0	0	15.3
0	-0.333	2.67	4.33	0	-0.667	0	1	0	13.3
0	0.667	-0.333	4.33	0	0.333	0	0	1	13.3

(max)

What if an error is made in selection of the pivot column?

Suppose that, after the first pivot produced the tableau above, we selected the X_1 column for the pivot.

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998

-Z	X ₁	X ₂	X ₃	X ₄	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	S ₄	rhs
1	-1.67	3.33	1.67	0	-1.33	0	0	0	-13.3
0	0.667	-0.333	0.333	1	0.333	0	0	0	3.33
0	0.667	0.667	1.333	0	0.333	1	0	0	15.3
0	-0.333	2.67	4.33	0	-0.667	0	1	0	13.3
0	0.667	-0.333	4.33	0	0.333	0	0	1	13.3

(max)

↓

-Z	X ₁	X ₂	X ₃	X ₄	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	S ₄	rhs
1	0	2.5	2.5	2.5	-0.5	0	0	0	-5
0	1	-0.5	0.5	1.5	0.5	0	0	0	5
0	0	1	1	-1	0	1	0	0	12
0	0	2.5	4.5	0.5	-0.5	0	1	0	15
0	0	0	4	-1	0	0	0	1	10

The objective is worse, not better!

©Dennis Bricker, U. of Iowa, 1998