

Production Planning with 2 Items & Random Demand

Consider a production facility which can be devoted in each period to one of two products. For simplicity, we assume that the production rate is deterministic and that production is always at full capacity. Demand for the two products is random.

©2001, Dennis Bricker
Dept of Industrial Engineering
The University of Iowa

- In our previous stochastic DP model for production planning, there was only a **single** item being produced.
- Suppose that there is a single facility which produces **two** items, each experiencing **random** demand.
- Production rate is constant—if the facility is devoted to item #i in a period, it produces *only* that item at *full* capacity.

Example

Daily Demand distribution:

- Item #1

D_1	0	1	2	3	4
$P\{D_1\}$	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.1

- Item #2

D_2	0	1	2	3	4	5
$P\{D_2\}$	0.05	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.15

Costs & Production Rates:

Item	Production Rate	Production Cost	Storage Cost/day	Shortage Cost/day
1	4/day	\$5/day	\$0.50	\$20
2	3/day	\$10/day	\$1.00	\$30

Salvage value:

Item	Value
1	\$1.00
2	\$1.50

- The demand distributions are stationary, i.e., remain the same each day.
- Production is to be planned for a six-day planning horizon.
- Backorders are limited to 1 unit; storage is limited to 3 units.
- State: (I_1, I_2) where I_i is the inventory position for item #i:
 $I_i \in \{-1, 0, 1, 2, 3\}$
- Decision: $x \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ where x is the item to be produced.
- Demand = $[d_1, d_2]$ where d_i is demand for item #i

If there had been a cost for changing machine setup from one item to the other, then a state variable would need to be added, specifying the current machine setup (the decision x in the previous stage.)

Dynamic Programming Model:

State: (s_1, s_2) where s_i = inventory position for item i ,

$$s_i = s_i^+ - s_i^-, s_i^+ = \max\{0, s_i\}$$
 is the stock on hand and

$$s_i^- = \max\{0, -s_i\}$$
 is the number of backorders

Decision: $x \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ **is the item to be produced**

$R^x = (R_1^x, R_2^x)$ is the vector of production rates for the products

corresponding to decision x , namely

$$R^0 = (0, 0), R^1 = (3, 0), R^2 = (0, 4)$$

Demand: (d_1, d_2) with probability $p_1 \times p_2$

Costs:

h = holding cost,

k = shortage cost,

g = production cost,

a = salvage value,

b = penalty for any final backorders at stage 0

Optimal value function:

$f_n(s_1, s_2)$ = minimum expected cost for the final n stages if, at the beginning of that interval, the state of the system is (s_1, s_2)

Recursion:

For $n=1, 2, \dots$

$$f_n(s_1, s_2) = \min_x \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^2 (h_i s_i^+ + k_i s_i^-) + g_x + \sum_{d_1, d_2} p_1 p_2 f_{n-1}(s_1 + R_1^x - d_1, s_2 + R_2^x - d_2) \right\}$$

where

$$f_0(s_1, s_2) = \sum_{i=1}^2 ([h_i - a_i] s_i^+ + [k_i + b_i] s_i^-)$$

APL Implementation of Model

State is two-dimensional (s_1, s_2) where s_i = inventory position of item i

$$s \leftarrow (s_1, s_2)$$

where s_i is list of inventory positions for product i

Demand for product i is random vector d_i , so that demand set is

$$d \leftarrow (d_1, d_2)$$

and (assuming independence of demands)

$$P \leftarrow (p_1 \times p_2)$$

Decision set is $\{0, 1, 2\}$ where **0** indicates machine is idle, and $i=1$ or **2** specifies the item to be produced.

It is assumed that machine produces at full capacity (**Ri**) if not idle.

Costs and demands are **stationary**:

H is holding cost matrix,

$$H \leftarrow H_1 \circ + H_2$$

H

0	1	2	3
0.5	1.5	2.5	3.5
1	2	3	4
1.5	2.5	3.5	4.5

K is shortage cost matrix,

$$K \leftarrow K_1 \circ + K_2$$

K

0	30	60	90
20	50	80	110
40	70	100	130
60	90	120	150

G is production cost vector of length 3,

```
G
      0  5 10
```

A is salvage value matrix,

```
A← A1 ◦.+ A2
```

```
A
  0  1.5  3  4.5
  1  2.5  4  5.5
  2  3.5  5  6.5
  3  4.5  6  7.5
```

B is cost matrix for filling any final backorders

```
B← B1 ◦.+ B2
B
  0 10 20 30
  5 15 25 35
 10 20 30 40
 15 25 35 45
```

R is (nested) vector of production rates

```
R← (0, R1, 0), '(0, 0, R2)
```

```
R
      0  0      4  0      0  3
```

where R_i is production rate for product i

The following arrays are the same for all stages, and may be defined for use at each stage:

```
▽ Define_Arrays;Πio
[1] A
[2] A Define arrays for DP model for planning production
[3] A of two products
[4] Πio←0
[5] H←H1 ◦.+ H2
[6] A←A1 ◦.+ A2
[7] B←B1 ◦.+ B2
[8] K←K1 ◦.+ K2
[9] R←(0,R1,0), '(0,0,R2)
[10] Current_C←((H[0f s])+K[0r-s])◦.+G)◦.+(pd)ρ0
[11] Next_S←(r/s)L(L/s)r s◦.+R◦.-d
[12] PAUSE
▽
```

APL Definition of Optimal Value Function

```
▽ z←F N;t
[1] A
[2] A Optimal Value Function
[3] A for DP model of production planning problem with
[4] A two products and constant production rate
[5] A
[6] :if N>NN
[7] z←{(H-A)[1+0r s]+(K+B)[1+0r-s]},BIG
[8] :else
[9] A Recursive definition of optimal value function
[10] z←P Minimize_E Current_C+(F N+1)[TRANSITION Next_S ]
[11] :endif
▽
```

Recursion type: forward

S t a g e 6

s	\ x:	0	1	2	Minimum
-1	-1	120.0000	105.2250	101.8000	101.8000
-1	0	86.0000	71.2250	63.4500	63.4500
-1	1	78.9500	64.1750	60.0500	60.0500
-1	2	63.8000	49.0250	60.7000	49.0250
-1	3	56.4500	41.6750	61.5500	41.6750
0	-1	98.5000	78.9250	80.3000	78.9250
0	0	64.5000	44.9250	41.9500	41.9500
0	1	57.4500	37.8750	38.5500	37.8750
0	2	42.3000	22.7250	39.2000	22.7250
0	3	34.9500	15.3750	40.0500	15.3750
1	-1	95.9750	74.5750	77.7750	74.5750
1	0	61.9750	40.5750	39.4250	39.4250
1	1	54.9250	33.5250	36.0250	33.5250
1	2	39.7750	18.3750	36.6750	18.3750
1	3	32.4250	11.0250	37.5250	11.0250
2	-1	90.4000	74.7500	72.2000	72.2000
2	0	56.4000	40.7500	33.8500	33.8500
2	1	49.3500	33.7000	30.4500	30.4500
2	2	34.2000	18.5500	31.1000	18.5500
2	3	26.8500	11.2000	31.9500	11.2000
3	-1	81.7250	75.0750	63.5250	63.5250
3	0	47.7250	41.0750	25.1750	25.1750
3	1	40.6750	34.0250	21.7750	21.7750
3	2	25.5250	18.8750	22.4250	18.8750
3	3	18.1750	11.5250	23.2750	11.5250

Sample Calculation

Consider state $s=(1,2)$ and decision $x=1$, that is, there are currently one unit of item 1 and 2 of item 2 in stock, and the decision is made to produce item 1.

The costs in stage 6 are

- **storage** cost $H[1,2] = 0.5 + 2.0 = 2.5$
- **production** cost = 5

Total: **7.5**

To this we must add the expected value of the **terminal costs**, i.e., $f_7(s_1, s_2)$.

The terminal costs (i.e., $f_7(s_1, s_2)$) are determined by the salvage values, cost of filling final backorders, etc., and are shown in the following table:

State #	(s_1, s_2)	Cost $F_7(s)$
1	-1 -1	65
2	-1 0	25
3	-1 1	24.5
4	-1 2	24
5	-1 3	23.5
6	0 -1	40
7	0 0	0
8	0 1	-0.5
9	0 2	-1
10	0 3	-1.5
11	1 -1	39.5
12	1 0	-0.5
13	1 1	-1
14	1 2	-1.5
15	1 3	-2
16	2 -1	39
17	2 0	-1
18	2 1	-1.5
19	2 2	-2
20	2 3	-2.5
21	3 -1	38.5
22	3 0	-1.5
23	3 1	-2
24	3 2	-2.5
25	3 3	-3

For example, if the terminal state is $(1, -1)$ then the terminal costs are:

- Item #1: storage (0.5) + salvage (-1) = -0.5
- Item #2: shortage (30) + cost of filling final backorders (10) = 40

Total: 39.5

Table of computations of expected terminal cost for $s = (1,2)$ and $x = 1$:

Demand #	Demand D	Probability P{D}	Resulting state	Terminal Costs	P{D}xCost
1	0 0	0.005	3 2	-2.5	-0.0125
2	0 1	0.01	3 1	-2	-0.02
3	0 2	0.02	3 0	-1.5	-0.03
4	0 3	0.01	3 -1	38.5	0.385
5	0 4	0.005	3 -1	38.5	0.1925
6	1 0	0.01	3 2	-2.5	-0.025
7	1 1	0.02	3 1	-2	-0.04
8	1 2	0.04	3 0	-1.5	-0.06
9	1 3	0.02	3 -1	38.5	0.77
10	1 4	0.01	3 -1	38.5	0.385
11	2 0	0.02	3 2	-2.5	-0.05
12	2 1	0.04	3 1	-2	-0.08
13	2 2	0.08	3 0	-1.5	-0.12
14	2 3	0.04	3 -1	38.5	1.54
15	2 4	0.02	3 -1	38.5	0.77

Continued on next page....

Table of computations, continued....

Demand #	Demand D	Probability P{D}	Resulting state	Terminal Costs	P{D}xCost
15	2 4	0.02	3 -1	38.5	0.77
16	3 0	0.03	2 2	-2	-0.06
17	3 1	0.06	2 1	-1.5	-0.09
18	3 2	0.12	2 0	-1	-0.12
19	3 3	0.06	2 -1	39	2.34
20	3 4	0.03	2 -1	39	1.17
21	4 0	0.02	1 2	-1.5	-0.03
22	4 1	0.04	1 1	-1	-0.04
23	4 2	0.08	1 0	-0.5	-0.04
24	4 3	0.04	1 -1	39.5	1.58
25	4 4	0.02	1 -1	39.5	0.79
26	5 0	0.015	0 2	-1	-0.015
27	5 1	0.03	0 1	-0.5	-0.015
28	5 2	0.06	0 0	0	0
29	5 3	0.03	0 -1	40	1.2
30	5 4	0.015	0 -1	40	0.6

For example, after producing 4 units of item 1 there will be 5 units of item 1 and 2 of item 2 available to satisfy the demand.

If the demand happens to be (3,2), then the resulting state is $(5,2) - (3,2) = (2,0)$, i.e., 2 units of item 1 and 0 units of item 2 remain.

In this case, the terminal cost (from earlier table) is $f_7(2,0) = -1$.

The sum of the products $p_d \times f_7(s + R - d)$ in the last column is 10.875.

Adding this to the costs in stage 6 (namely, 7.5) yields **18.375**.

s	x:	0	1	2	Minimum
-1	-1	151.8000	139.6187	132.8325	132.8325
-1	0	117.9650	105.8890	90.8625	90.8625
-1	1	110.9550	99.0193	81.4675	81.4675
-1	2	94.8325	83.0029	76.9825	76.9825
-1	3	83.8625	71.6136	75.4100	71.6136
0	-1	130.6562	112.3962	111.7061	111.7061
0	0	96.8281	78.6847	69.6951	69.6951
0	1	89.8285	71.8333	60.2178	60.2178
0	2	73.7061	55.9259	55.6881	55.6881
0	3	62.6951	44.6451	54.0950	44.6451
1	-1	128.6512	106.1475	109.7724	106.1475
1	0	94.8460	72.3971	67.6611	67.6611
1	1	87.8762	65.4962	58.0099	58.0099
1	2	71.7724	49.7058	53.3819	49.7058
1	3	60.6611	38.8920	51.7475	38.8920
2	-1	124.0225	102.9175	105.2279	102.9175
2	0	90.2470	69.1305	62.9666	62.9666
2	1	83.3175	62.1790	53.0416	53.0416
2	2	67.2279	46.6222	48.2619	46.6222
2	3	55.9666	36.4958	46.5613	36.4958
3	-1	116.1187	101.3262	97.5029	97.5029
3	0	82.3890	67.4912	55.1136	55.1136
3	1	75.5193	60.4813	44.9859	44.9859
3	2	59.5029	45.0363	40.0819	40.0819
3	3	48.1136	35.4213	38.3350	35.4213

S
t
a
g
e
5

State	Decision	Optimal Value
-1 -1	Produce 2	96.8000
-1 0	Produce 2	58.4500
-1 1	Produce 2	55.0500
-1 2	Produce 1	47.2750
-1 3	Produce 1	39.9250
0 -1	Produce 2	75.5500
0 0	Produce 2	37.2000
0 1	Produce 2	33.8000
0 2	Produce 1	21.9750
0 3	Produce 1	14.6250
1 -1	Produce 2	73.5250
1 0	Produce 2	35.1750
1 1	Produce 2	31.7750
1 2	Produce 1	18.3750
1 3	Produce 1	11.0250
2 -1	Produce 2	68.9500
2 0	Produce 2	30.6000
2 1	Produce 2	27.2000
2 2	Produce 1	18.5500
2 3	Produce 1	11.2000
3 -1	Produce 2	61.7750
3 0	Produce 2	23.4250
3 1	Produce 2	20.0250
3 2	Produce 1	18.8750
3 3	Produce 1	11.5250

Stage 6

State	Decision	Optimal Value
-1 -1	Produce 2	128.1575
-1 0	Produce 2	86.8375
-1 1	Produce 2	78.7425
-1 2	Produce 2	74.9075
-1 3	Produce 1	68.6424
0 -1	Produce 2	107.0748
0 0	Produce 2	65.7143
0 1	Produce 2	57.5383
0 2	Produce 1	52.9334
0 3	Produce 1	42.2776
1 -1	Produce 1	103.8438
1 0	Produce 2	63.8429
1 1	Produce 2	55.4734
1 2	Produce 1	47.2336
1 3	Produce 1	36.9784
2 -1	Produce 1	100.9725
2 0	Produce 2	59.4426
2 1	Produce 2	50.7811
2 2	Produce 1	44.6588
2 3	Produce 1	34.9963
3 -1	Produce 2	93.8816
3 0	Produce 2	52.1424
3 1	Produce 2	43.2089
3 2	Produce 2	38.9546
3 3	Produce 1	34.0388

Stage 5

State	Decision	Optimal Value
-1 -1	Produce 2	156.4215
-1 0	Produce 2	113.5260
-1 1	Produce 2	105.9880
-1 2	Produce 2	102.9054
-1 3	Produce 1	95.3232
0 -1	Produce 2	135.3609
0 0	Produce 2	92.4337
0 1	Produce 2	84.8354
0 2	Produce 1	81.2295
0 3	Produce 1	68.6011
1 -1	Produce 2	133.6046
1 0	Produce 2	90.5894
1 1	Produce 2	82.8066
1 2	Produce 1	75.4358
1 3	Produce 1	62.7715
2 -1	Produce 2	129.4012
2 0	Produce 2	86.2131
2 1	Produce 2	78.0991
2 2	Produce 1	72.6276
2 3	Produce 1	60.1107
3 -1	Produce 2	122.2589
3 0	Produce 2	78.8232
3 1	Produce 2	70.2484
3 2	Produce 2	65.9208
3 3	Produce 1	58.7602

Stage 4

State	Decision	Optimal Value
-1 -1	Produce 2	183.8250
-1 0	Produce 2	140.8559
-1 1	Produce 2	133.3093
-1 2	Produce 2	129.9061
-1 3	Produce 1	122.6649
0 -1	Produce 2	162.7673
0 0	Produce 2	119.7663
0 1	Produce 2	112.1580
0 2	Produce 2	108.6483
0 3	Produce 1	95.8949
1 -1	Produce 2	161.0395
1 0	Produce 2	117.9321
1 1	Produce 2	110.1225
1 2	Produce 1	102.9387
1 3	Produce 1	89.9427
2 -1	Produce 2	156.8722
2 0	Produce 2	113.5634
2 1	Produce 2	105.3864
2 2	Produce 1	100.0526
2 3	Produce 1	87.1341
3 -1	Produce 2	149.7859
3 0	Produce 2	106.1649
3 1	Produce 2	97.4472
3 2	Produce 2	92.5621
3 3	Produce 1	85.6714

Stage 3

State	Decision	Optimal Value
-1 -1	Produce 2	211.1423
-1 0	Produce 2	168.1251
-1 1	Produce 2	160.5094
-1 2	Produce 2	157.1776
-1 3	Produce 1	149.9731
0 -1	Produce 2	190.0869
0 0	Produce 2	147.0395
0 1	Produce 2	139.3657
0 2	Produce 2	135.9223
0 3	Produce 1	123.1938
1 -1	Produce 2	188.3645
1 0	Produce 2	145.2137
1 1	Produce 2	137.3454
1 2	Produce 1	130.2881
1 3	Produce 1	117.2042
2 -1	Produce 2	184.2079
2 0	Produce 2	140.8599
2 1	Produce 2	132.6356
2 2	Produce 1	127.3802
2 3	Produce 1	114.3294
3 -1	Produce 2	177.1347
3 0	Produce 2	133.4731
3 1	Produce 2	124.7154
3 2	Produce 2	119.8114
3 3	Produce 1	112.8213

S t a g e 2

State	Decision	Optimal Value
-1 -1	Produce 2	238.4124
-1 0	Produce 2	195.3758
-1 1	Produce 2	187.7774
-1 2	Produce 2	184.4676
-1 3	Produce 1	177.2332
0 -1	Produce 2	217.3571
0 0	Produce 2	174.2904
0 1	Produce 2	166.6337
0 2	Produce 2	163.2121
0 3	Produce 1	150.4603
1 -1	Produce 2	215.6359
1 0	Produce 2	172.4659
1 1	Produce 2	164.6145
1 2	Produce 1	157.5849
1 3	Produce 1	144.4744
2 -1	Produce 2	211.4823
2 0	Produce 2	168.1150
2 1	Produce 2	159.9060
2 2	Produce 1	154.6832
2 3	Produce 1	141.6006
3 -1	Produce 2	204.4149
3 0	Produce 2	160.7332
3 1	Produce 2	151.9862
3 2	Produce 2	147.0852
3 3	Produce 1	140.0913

S t a g e 1

Suppose that the **initial inventory position** (state at stage 6) is **(0,0)**, that is, we initially have no stock of either item.

Consulting the table, we find that

- the minimum expected cost for the six-day planning period is **\$174.29**, and
- the optimal decision is to produce item **#2**.

Table showing **optimal policies** for each stage:

		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2						
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5
6	3	3	3	2	2	3	3	3	2	2	3	3	3	2	2	3	3	3	2	2	3	3	3	2	2	
5	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	2	2	2	3	3	2	2	2	3	3	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	2
4	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	2	2	2	3	3	3	2	2	3	3	3	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	2
3	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	2	2	3	3	3	2	2	3	3	3	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	2
2	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	2	2	3	3	3	2	2	3	3	3	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	2
1	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	2	2	3	3	3	2	2	3	3	3	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	2

The optimal policies have converged....