56:171 Operations Research Fall 1998

# Quizzes

© D.L.Bricker Dept of Mechanical & Industrial Engineering University of Iowa

For each statement, indicate "+"=**true** or "o"=**false**.

- Part I: LINDO
- \_\_\_\_\_a. After the LP model has been entered, the **SOLVE** command finds the optimal solution.
- \_\_\_\_\_b. In the simplex method (as described in the lectures, not the textbook), the quantity
  - <sup>-</sup>Z serves as a basic variable, where Z is the value of the objective function.
- \_\_\_\_\_c. To begin your entry of the LP model into LINDO, you should use the command "ENTER".
- \_\_\_\_\_ d. In a basic LP solution, the nonbasic variables equal zero.
- \_\_\_\_\_e. If a slack variable S<sub>i</sub> for row i is basic in the optimal solution, then variable X<sub>i</sub> cannot be basic.
- \_\_\_\_\_ f. The "minimum ratio test" is used to select the pivot column in the simplex method for linear programming.
- \_\_\_\_ g. LINDO does not accept "strict inequalities" such as X + 2Y < 10, so that you must enter X + 2Y <= 10 instead.
- h. When entering your LP model, the last constraint which you enter should be followed by "END".
- \_\_\_\_\_i. The **PRINT** command may be used to print any LINDO output which has previously appeared on the monitor.
- \_\_\_\_\_j. LINDO assumes that all variables are restricted to be nonnegative, so that you need not explicitly enter these constraints.
- \_\_\_\_\_k. After you have entered the objective function, you must enter "SUBJECT TO" before entering the first constraint.
- \_\_\_\_\_l. When you enter an LP formulation into LINDO, you must first convert all inequalities to equations.
- \_\_\_\_\_ m. When you enter an LP formulation into LINDO, you must manipulate your constraints so that all variables appear on the left, and all constants on the right.

## Multiple-Choice:

- \_\_\_\_n. If you make a mistake in choosing the pivot column in the simplex method, the solution in the next tableau
  - (a) will be nonbasic

(c) will have a worse objective value

(b) will be infeasible

- (d) *None of the above*
- \_\_\_\_\_o. If you make a mistake in choosing the pivot row in the simplex method, the solution in the next tableau
  - (a) will be nonbasic

(c) will have a worse objective value

(b) will be infeasible

(d) *None of the above* 

 $\land$ 

### Part II: Investment problem

Consider the following investment problem: You now have \$100 available for investment (beginning of year #1). Your objective is to maximize the value of this initial investment after four years, i.e., the end of year #4 or equivalently, the beginning of year #5. The available investments are:

- Investment **A** is available <u>only at the beginning of years 1 and 2</u>; each \$1 invested in A will be returned in two equal payments of \$0.70 at the beginning of each of the following 2 years. (For example, if you invest \$1 now, at the beginning of year 1, then you receive \$0.70 at the beginning of year 2 and another \$0.70 at the beginning of year 3.)
- Investment **B** is available only once, <u>at the beginning of year 2</u>; each \$1 invested in B at the beginning of year 2 returns \$2 after 3 years, i.e., the beginning of year 5.
- A Money Market fund (**R**) is <u>available every year</u>; each \$1 invested in this way will return \$1.10 after 1 year.

The following table displays these cash flows. For example, -1 indicates \$1 put into the investment, and +0.70 indicates \$0.70 received from the investment.

begin							
year #	A1	A2	В	<b>R</b> 1	R2	R3	R4
1	-1			-1			
2	+0.7	-1	-1	+1.1	-1		
3	+0.7	+0.7			+1.1	-1	
4		+0.7				+1.1	-1
5			+2				+1.1

- p. Complete the equation: 0.7A2 + 1.1R3 R4 =
- q. The objective should be to maximize (*select one*):
  - 1.4A2 + 2B + 1.1R4
  - \_\_\_\_ 2B + 1.1R4
  - --- 1.4A1 + 1.4A2 + 2B + 1.1R1 + 1.1R2 + 1.1R3 + 1.1R4
  - ---- 0.4A2 + 1B + 0.1R4
  - \_\_\_\_ none of the above

Name

Consider the simplex tableaus below, where the objective is to maximized or minimized, as specified. In each tableau, circle <u>every</u> element which could be selected for the next pivot such that (unless there is degeneracy) the objective function is improved and the solution remains feasible.

	-Z	X1	X2	X3	X4	X5	X6	X7	X8	X9	RHS
MIN	1	3	0	-1	0	2	-2	0	0	1	-16
	0	0	0	2	0	-1	3	1	0	-2	8
	0	2	1	3	0	0	-1	0	0	3	0
	0	-1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	6
	0	1	0	-4	0	2	0	0	1	0	5
	-Z	X1	X2	X3	X4	X5	X6	X7	X8	X9	RHS
MAX	1	-2	0	3	0	-2	4	0	0	2	-24
	0	0	0	2	0	-1	3	1	0	-2	4
	0	2	1	3	0	0	1	0	0	3	8
	0	-1	0	0	1	1	-1	0	0	1	2
	Δ	1	Ο	4	Δ	2	Δ	Δ	1	0	1

For each situation (1) through (6) below, enter <u>both</u> a variable <u>and</u> a value (or a range of values, e.g., " $\geq 0$ ") which would result in that situation.

	-Z	$X_1$	X2	X3	X4	X5	X6	I	RHS
(MIN)	1	0	-1	a	-2	0	b		-11
	0	0	С	0	3	1	-2		6
	0	0	-1	1	-1	0	3		d
	0	1	-3	0	e	0	5		15

		Variable	Value (or
			range of values)
1.	Optimal basic solution is unbounded		
2.	Current basic solution is degenerate		
3.	A tableau represents a basic solution		
4.	X4 will enter the basis and X5 remains in the basis		
5.	If the objective were to be <u>MAX</u> imized instead,		
	the current basic solution is optimal		
6.	The current basic solution is infeasible		

Name	
------	--

The following questions refer to the LP model for PAR, Inc. and its LINDO output. Select the answers from the list at the bottom of the quiz and **write only the alphabetic letter** in the blank.

#### ትትትት PAR, inc. ትትትት

<b>Processing times</b> (hrs/golf bag):	Cut &			Inspect
	Dye	Sew	<b>Finish</b>	& Pack
Standard	0.7	0.5	1	0.1
Deluxe	1	0.8666	0.6666	0.25
Available hrs	630	600	708	135

#### Variables:

X1 = production of STANDARD golf bags (bags/quarter)

X2 = production of DELUXE golf bags (bags/quarter)

#### LINDO output:

MAX	10	X1 + 9 X2	
SUBJECT	TO TO		
	2)	0.7 X1 + X2 <= 630	
	3)	0.5 X1 + 0.86666 X2 <= 6	00
	4)	X1 + 0.66666 X2 <= 708	
	5)	0.1 X1 + 0.25 X2 <= 135	
END			

OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE 1) 7668.01200

VARIABLE	VALUE	REDUCED COST
X1	540.003110	.000000
X2	251.997800	.000000
ROW	SLACK OR SURPLUS	DUAL PRICES
2)	.000000	4.375086
3)	111.602000	.000000
4)	.000000	6.937440
5)	18.000232	.000000

RANGES IN WHICH THE BASIS IS UNCHANGED:

		OBJ COEFFICIENT	RANGES
VARIABLE	CURRENT	ALLOWABLE	ALLOWABLE
	COEF	INCREASE	DECREASE
Xl	10.00000	3.500135	3.700000
X2	9.00000	5.285715	2.333400
		RIGHTHAND SIDE R	ANGES
ROW	CURRENT	ALLOWABLE	ALLOWABLE
	RHS	INCREASE	DECREASE
2	630.00000	52.364582	134.400000
3	600.000000	INFINITY	111.602000
4	708.00000	192.000010	128.002800
5	135.000000	INFINITY	18.000232

THE TABLEAU         ROW (BASIS)       X1       X2       SLK 2       SLK 3       SLK 4       SLK5         1       ART       .000       .000       4.375       .000       6.937       .000       7668.012         2       X2       .000       1.000       1.875       .000       -1.312       .000       251.998         3       SLK 3       .000       .000       -1.000       1.000       .200       .000       111.602         4       X1       1.000       .000       -1.250       .000       1.875       .000       540.003         5       SLK 5       .000       .000      344       .000       .141       1.000       18.000				
1. There are unused hours in the finishing department.				
2. The reduced cost of the variable X2 is				
<ul> <li>3. If the profit per STANDARD bag were to increase from \$10 to \$12, the quantity of these bags which should then be manufactured would <ul> <li>increase    decrease    remain the same    not sufficient info.</li> </ul> </li> <li>4. If the profit per DELUXE bag were to increase from \$9 to \$15, the quantity of these bags which should then be manufactured would</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>increase     decrease     remain the same     not sufficient info.</li> <li>a.) If an additional hour were available in the <u>Cutting&amp;Dyeing Dept</u>., PAR would be able to obtain an additional \$ in profits. <i>If positive, answer parts (b) &amp; (c):</i></li> <li>b.) To determine the effect of this change, we would consider the slack variable for row# to change from to</li> <li>c.) According to the substitution rates, the change in the number of STANDARD bags is (circle: increase or decrease?). the change in the number of DELUXE bags is (circle: increase or decrease?).</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>6. a.) If an additional hour were available in the <u>Sewing Dept.</u>, PAR would be able to obtain an additional \$ in profits. <i>If positive, answer parts (b) &amp; (c):</i></li> <li>b.) To determine the effect of this change, we would consider the slack variable for row# to change from to</li> <li>c.) According to the substitution rates, the change in the number of STANDARD bags is (circle: increase or decrease?). the change in the number of DELUXE bags is (circle: increase or decrease?).</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>For each statement, indicate "+"=true or "o"=false.</li> <li>6. If the primal LP has an equality constraint, the corresponding dual variable must be zero.</li> <li>7. If an LP problem has 3 constraints (not including non-negativity) and 5 variables, then its dual problem also has 3 constraints (not including non-negativity) and 5 variables.</li> <li>8. If the increase in the right-hand-side of a "tight" constraint remains less than the "ALLOWABLE INCREASE" reported by LINDO, then the optimal values of all variables will be unchanged.</li> <li>9. If you increase the right-hand-side of a "≤" constraint in a minimization LP, the optimal objective value will either increase or stay the same.</li> <li>10. The optimal basic solution to an LP with 4 constraints (excluding non-negativity constraints) can have at most 4 positive decision variables.</li> <li>11. In the "symmetric primal/dual pair", one LP problem is a MINIMIZE problem with " " constraints</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>12. If the increase in the cost of a nonbasic variable remains less than the "ALLOWABLE INCREASE" reported by LINDO, then the optimal values of all variables will be unchanged.</li> <li>13. At the completion of the revised simplex method applied to an LP, the simplex multipliers give the optimal solution to the dual of the LP.</li> <li>14. In the "symmetric primal/dual pair", one LP problem is a MAXIMIZE problem with " " constraints.</li> <li>15. If a minimization LP problem has a cost which is unbounded below, then its dual problem has an objective (to be maximized) which is unbounded above.</li> <li>16. The "reduced cost" in LP provides an estimate of the change in the objective value when the cost of a primal variable changes.</li> </ul>				

**Integer Programming Model Formulation.** Coach Night is trying to choose the starting linerup for the basketball team. The team consists of seven players who have been rated (on a scale of 1=poor to 3=excellent) according to their ball-handling, shooting, rebounding, and defensive abilities. The positions that each player is allowed to play (G=guard, C=center, F=forward) and the player's abilities are:

Player	Position	Ball-handling	Shooting	Rebounding	Defense
1	G	3	3	1	3
2	С	2	1	3	2
3	G-F	2	3	2	2
4	F-C	1	3	3	1
5	G-F	1	3	1	2
6	F-C	3	1	2	3
7	G-F	3	2	2	1

For each stated constraint, indicate the mathematical expression of that constraint from the list below. (*Note: if more than one answer is correct, only one answer is required.*)

- \_\_\_\_\_1. The starting lineup is to consist of five players.
- \_\_\_\_\_2. At least 2 members must be able to play guard.
- \_\_\_\_\_ 3. If player 7 starts, then player 3 cannot start.
- \_\_\_\_\_ 4. If player 2 starts, then player 6 must start.
- \_\_\_\_ 5. Either player 3 or player 5 (or both) must start.
- \_\_\_\_\_6. The average rebounding rating of the starting lineup must be at least 2.
- \_\_\_\_\_7. At least one member must be able to play center.
- \_\_\_\_\_ 8. At least 2 members must have a rating of 3 in shooting.
- 9. Not more than one of the members may have a rebounding rating of 1.
- \_\_\_\_10. At least four members must be able to play at more than one position.

a. $X_1 + X_2 \le 1$	b. $X_1 + X_3 + X_5 + X_7 \le 2$
c. $X_5 \ge X_1$	d. $X_3 + X_7 \le 1$
e. $X_1 + 3X_2 + 2X_3 + 3X_4 + X_5 + 2X_6 + 2X_7 \ge 10$	f. $X_1 + X_5 \le 1$
g. $X_3 + X_4 + X_5 + X_6 + X_7 \ge 4$	h. $X_1 + X_3 + X_4 + X_5 \ge 2$
i. $X_2 \ge X_6$	j. $X_3 + X_7 \ge 1$
k. $X_1 + X_2 \ge 1$	l. $X_1 + 3X_2 + 2X_3 + 3X_4 + X_5 + 2X_6 + 2X_7 \ge 2$
m. $X_1 \ge X_2$	n. $X_2 + X_4 + X_6 \ge 1$
0. $X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + X_4 + X_5 + X_6 + X_7 = 5$	p. $X_3 + X_5 \ge 1$
q. $X_3 \ge X_7$	$\mathbf{r.} \ X_3 \leq X_7$
s. $X_2 \le X_6$	t. $X_1 + X_3 + X_5 + X_7 \ge 2$
u. $X_2 + X_6 \le 1$	v. $X_1 + X_3 + X_4 + X_5 \le 2$
w. $X_6 \ge X_2$	$\mathbf{x.} \ X_5 \le X_1$
y. $X_1 + X_3 + X_4 + X_5 \ge 2$	Z. $X_3 + X_5 \ge 1$

Name \_\_\_

Careful study of a reservoir over the past 20 years has shown that, if the reservoir was full at the beginning of the summer, then the probability it would be full at the beginning of the following summer is **60%**, independent of its status in previous years. On the other hand, if the reservoir was <u>not</u> full at the beginning of one summer, the probability it would be full at the beginning of the following summer is only **20%**. Define a Markov chain model of this reservoir, with the states (1)"full" and (2)"not full". The transition probability matrix and several higher powers, as well as some other computations, are shown below.

1. If	the reservoir was full at the begin	ning of summer 1997, what is the p	probability that it will be full		
at	the beginning of summer 1999 (r	rounded to the nearest 10%)?			
a.	20%	b. 30%	c. 40%		
d.	50%	e. 60%	f. none of the above		
2. S	uppose the reservoir was full at t	he beginning of summer 1997, and	consider the first year that		
fo	llows in which the reservoir is no	t full at the beginning of the summe	er. What is the probability		
tha	at this occurs in 1999? (rounded t	to the nearest 10%)			
a.	10%	b. 20%	c. 30%		
d.	40%	e. 50%	f. none of the above		
3. If	the reservoir was full at the begin	nning of summer 1997, the expected	d number of years until it		
wi	ill next be "not full" is: (choose r	nearest value)			
a.	2	b. 2.5	c. 3		
d.	3.5	e. 5	f. none of the above		
4. If	the reservoir was full at the begin	nning of summer 1997, the probabil	ity that 2000 is the first		
ye	ar it is not full is (rounded to the	nearest 10%)			
a.	20%	b. 30%	c. 40%		
d.	50%	e. 60%	f. none of the above		
5. If	f the reservoir was full at the begin	nning of summer 1997, the probabi	lity that in 2000 it is not full		
is	(rounded to the nearest 10%)				
a.	20%	b. 30%	c. 40%		
d.	50%	e. 60%	f. none of the above		
6. D	uring the next hundred years, in h	now many the reservoir be full at the	e beginning of the summer,		
as	predicted by this model? (choose	e nearest value)			
a.	20	b. 33	c. 40		
d.	60	e. 67	f. none of the above		
7. It	f the reservoir is full at the beginr	ning of <b>both</b> summer 1997 and summ	mer 1998, the probability		
tha	at it will be full at the beginning o	of summer 1999 is (rounded to the	nearest 10%)		
a.	40%	b. 50%	c. 60%		
d.	70%	e. 80%	f. none of the above		
8. It	f the reservoir was full at the begin	nning of summer 1997, what is the	expected number of years		
un	itil it is expected to be full again?	(choose nearest value)			
a.	1.5	b. 2	c. 2.5		
d.	3	e. 5	f. none of the above		
9. I	f the reservoir was full at the begin	inning of summer 1997, the probabi	lity that 2000 is the first		
ye	ar it is full again is (rounded to t	he nearest 10%)			
a.	10%	b. 20%	c. 30%		
d.	40%	e. 50%	f. none of the above		
10. C	Consider a different reservoir: if i	t was full at the beginning of the su	mmer, then the probability		
it	would be full at the beginning of	the following summer is 50%, while	le if the reservoir was <u>not</u>		
fu	full at the beginning of one summer, the probability it would be full at the beginning of the				
fo	llowing summer is <b>25%</b> . The st	eadystate probability distribution $\pi$	for this Markov chain must		
sa	tisty the following equation:				
a.	$\pi_1 + \pi_2 = 0$	b. $\pi_2 = 0.25\pi_1 + 0.75\pi_2$	c. $\pi_2 = 0.75\pi_1 + 0.25\pi_2$		
d.	$\pi_2 = 0.5\pi_1 + 0.25\pi_2$	e. $0.5\pi_1 + 0.5\pi_2 = 1$	f. none of the above		

You may use the computational results below to answer questions (1) through (9):

~~~~~ ~~~~~	<><>> 56:171 Operati ><><>> Quiz #8 - Nov	ons Research <><><><>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>				
<b>Part I</b> : At the end of each day, a store observes its stock-on-hand (SOH). If the SOH is 2 or fewer, enough is ordered to bring the on-hand inventory level up to 4. During each day, demand is 1, 2, or 3 units with						
probability $1/4$ , $1/2$ , and $1/4$ , state 2 = {SOH=1}, state 5	respectively. A Marko = {SOH=4}.	v chain model is defined, with state $1 = {SOH=0},$				
1. If the SOH is 3 units at the end of the day on Monday, what is the probability that there is a stockout (SOH=0) at the end of the day Wednesday? (Select nearest value.)						
a. 5%	b. 10%	c. 15%				
d. 20%	e. 25%	f. 30%				
2. The steadystate probability distribution $\pi$ for this Markov chain must satisfy the following equation:						
a. $p_1 = 0.25 p_3 + 0$	.5 p <sub>4</sub> +0.25 p <sub>5</sub>	b. $0.25 p_3 + 0.5 p_4 + 0.25 p_5 = 0$				
c. $0.25 p_1 + 0.25 p_1$	$p_{4} + 0.25 p_{3} + 0.25 p_{4} + 0.25 p_{4}$	$0.5 p_5 = 0$				
d. $0.25 p_1 + 0.25 p_2$	$p_{1} + 0.25 p_{2} + 0.25 p_{4} + 0.25 p_{$	$0.5 p_5 = p_2$				
e. $0.25 p_2 + 0.5 p_4$	$+0.25 p_{s} = p_{2}$	f. none of the above				
3. If the SOH=4 Monda	v p.m., the expected nu	mber of days until the next stock-out occurs is (Select				
nearest value.)	51 / 1	5				
a. 2.5 days	b. 5 day	c. 7.5 days				
d. 10 days	e. 12.5	days f. 15 days				
4. If the SOH=0 Monda	y p.m., the probability th	hat the next stockout to occur is observed Wednesday				
p.m. is (rounded to t	he nearest 1%):					
a. 5%	b. 10%	c. 15%				
d. 20%	e. 25%	f. 30%				
5. If the SOH=4 Monda	y p.m., the expected nu	mber of stockouts during the remainder of the week,				
i.e., during the next	four days, is (Select nea	vrest value.)				
a. 0.2	b. 0.4	c. 0.6				
d. 0.8	e. 1	f. >1.2				
$P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0.29 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.29 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.29 \\ 0.25 & 0.5 & 0.29 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$	0.5 0.25 0.5 0.25 0.5 0.25 0 0 0.25 0	$F = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0.25 & 0.5 & 0.25 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.25 & 0.5 & 0.25 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.25 & 0.5 & 0.25 \\ 0.25 & 0.5 & 0.25 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0.5 & 0.25 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$				
$P^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.125 & 0.3125 & 0.312 \\ 0.125 & 0.3125 & 0.312 \\ 0.125 & 0.3125 & 0.312 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.25 \\ 0.0625 & 0.125 & 0.25 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{array}{c} 25 & 0.1875 & 0.0625 \\ 25 & 0.1875 & 0.0625 \\ 25 & 0.1875 & 0.0625 \\ 0.5 & 0.25 \\ 0.375 & 0.1875 \\ \end{array}$	$F^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.125 & 0.3125 & 0.25 & 0.1875 & 0.0625 \\ 0.125 & 0.3125 & 0.25 & 0.1875 & 0.0625 \\ 0.125 & 0.3125 & 0.25 & 0.1875 & 0.0625 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.1875 & 0.5 & 0.25 \\ 0.0625 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.375 & 0.1875 \end{bmatrix}$				
$M = \begin{bmatrix} 12.889 & 5.2727 & 3.515 \\ 12.889 & 5.2727 & 3.515 \\ 12.889 & 5.2727 & 3.515 \\ 12.889 & 5.2727 & 3.515 \\ 10.667 & 3.6364 & 3.636 \\ 13.333 & 4.5455 & 2.787 \end{bmatrix}$	2 2.2222 6 2 2.2222 6 2 2.2222 6 4 3.2222 7 9 2.6667 7.25	$\sum_{n=1}^{4} P^{n} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.26953 & 0.66406 & 1.125 & 1.3359 & 0.60547 \\ 0.26953 & 0.66406 & 1.125 & 1.3359 & 0.60547 \\ 0.26953 & 0.66406 & 1.125 & 1.3359 & 0.60547 \\ 0.42188 & 0.92188 & 1.0781 & 1.0781 & 0.5 \\ 0.22266 & 0.76953 & 1.3242 & 1.2305 & 0.45313 \end{bmatrix}$				

Name \_\_\_\_\_

**Part II**: The college admissions officer has modeled the path of a student through the Engineering College as a Markov chain. Each student's classification is observed at the beginning of each fall semester, and a transition probability matrix was computed, based upon past history. For example, if a student is a junior at the beginning of the current fall semester, there is an 80% chance that he will be a senior at the beginning of the next fall semester, a 15% chance that he will still be a junior, and a 5% chance that he will have quit. (Assume that once a student quits, he never re-enrolls.)

<u>State</u>	Description	<u>State</u>	<b>Description</b>
1	Freshman	4	Senior
2	Sophomore	5	Drop-out
3	Junior	6	Graduated

6. Indicate the possible transitions in the diagram for this Markov chain. (You need not include probabilities!)



Name



A machine operator has sole responsibility for keeping three semi-automatic machines busy. The time required to ready a machine (unloading & reloading) has exponential distribution with mean 15 minutes. The machine will then run unattended for an average of 1 hour (but with actual time having exponential distribution) before it requires the operator's attention again.

$-\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_1}$	<u>^2</u>
	3
`μ <sub>1</sub> μ <sub>2</sub>	μ <sub>3</sub>
 1. The Markov chain model diagrammed above	is (select one <u>or more</u> ):
a. a discrete-time Markov chain	b. a continuous-time Markov chain
c. a Birth-Death process	d. an M/M/1 queue
e. an M/M/3 queue	f. an M/M/1/3 queue
g. an $M/M/1/3/3$ queue	h. a Poisson process
 2. The value of $\lambda_2$ is	
a. 1/hr.	b. 2/hr.
c. 3/hr.	d. 4/hr.
e. 0.5/hr.	f. none of the above
 3. The value of $\mu_2$ is	
a. 1/hr.	b. 2/hr.
c. 3/hr.	d. 0.5/hr.
e. 0.5/hr.	f. none of the above
 4. The value of $\lambda_0$ is	
a. 1/hr.	b. 2/hr.
c. 3/hr.	d. 0.5/hr.
e. 0.5/hr.	f. none of the above
 5. The steady-state probability $\pi_0$ is computed by	by solving
a. $\frac{1}{\pi_0} = 1 + \frac{3}{4} + \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^3 \approx \frac{1}{0.366}$	b. $\frac{1}{\pi_0} = 1 + \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{4} \times \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{3}{4} \approx \frac{1}{0.451}$
c. $\frac{1}{\pi_0} = 1 + \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \approx \frac{1}{0.4}$	d. $\frac{1}{\pi_0} = 1 + \frac{1}{4} + \left(\frac{1}{4}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \approx \frac{1}{0.753}$
e. $\frac{1}{\pi_0} = 1 + \frac{3}{4} + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \approx \frac{1}{0.496}$	f. none of the above
 o. The operator will be busy what fraction of the	b 45%
a. $40\%$	0. 45% d. 60%
e. 65%	f 75%
7 What fraction of the time will the operator be	busy but with no machine waiting to be
 serviced? (choose nearest value)	busy but which its indefinite waiting to be
a. 10%	b. 20%
c. 30%	d. 40%
e. 50%	f. 60%
 8. Approximately 2.2 machines per hour require	e the operator's attention. What is the average
length of time that a machine waits before the op	perator begins to ready the machine for the next
job? (select nearest value)	
a. 0.1 hr. (i.e.,6 min.)	b. 0.15 hr. (i.e., 9 min.)
c. 0.2 hr. (i.e., 12 min.)	d. 0.25 hr. (i.e., 15 min.)
e. 0.3 hr. (i.e., 18 min.)	f. greater than 0.33 hr. (i.e., >20 min.)
 9. What will be the utilization of this group of 3	machines? (choose nearest value)
a. 50%	b. 55%
c. 60%	d. 65%
e. 70%	f. greater than 75%